

A blueprint to save billions of dollars, end federal court oversight and improve the prison system

# THE FUTURE OF CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONS

A Blueprint to Save Billions of Dollars, End Federal Court Oversight, and Improve the Prison System

# **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION	I
Saving Billions of Dollars	1
Realignment is Reducing Prison Crowding and Facilitating Compliance with the Supreme Court's Order	4
Even After Realignment, Serious Challenges Remain	6
This Plan Addresses the Department's Remaining Challenges and Will Allow California to Satisfy Federal Court Requirements, Achieve Significant Savings, and Maintain an Effective Prison System for Years to Come	
POPULATION PROJECTIONS	10
Overview	10
Spring 2012 Population Projections	10
Total Adult Inmate Population	11
Total Parole Population	12
Projected Placement Needs for Males	13
Actual and Projected Population by Age	14
BUDGET	15
ADULT INSTITUTIONS	16
Inmate Classification	16
Gang Management	18
Standardized Staffing	19
In-Prison Rehabilitative Programs	21
Academic Education	22
Career Technical Education	22
Substance Abuse Treatment	22
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	22
Pre-Employment Transition	22
Reentry Hubs	23
Designated Enhanced-Programming Yards	24
Other Program Opportunities	24
New Program Models	24
Case Management	25
Ensure Program Accountability	25
Female Offender Programs and Services	26

Community Prisoner Mother and Family Foundations Programs	26
Female Rehabilitative Community Correctional Center	27
Contract Beds	27
Returning Out-of-State Inmates to California	28
Reactivation of In-State Correctional Facilities	28
HEALTH CARE	29
Mental Health Care	29
Mental Health Bed Plan	31
Medical Care	32
Medical Classification	33
Construction and Facility Improvements	34
Acuity Based Medical Staffing	35
Utilization Management	36
Additional Efficiencies	36
Dental Care	36
HOUSING	38
Additional Population Reduction Strategies	38
Current Design Capacity	38
New Construction	38
Contract Capacity	40
Population Density Levels	40
ADULT PAROLE OPERATIONS	42
Community Programs for Parolees	42
Enhancing Parole Program Capacity	43
Female Residential Multi-Service Center	45
BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS	46
ADMINISTRATION	47
ACCOUNTABILITY	48
LEGAL	49
CONCLUSION	52
APPENDICES A through H	

#### INTRODUCTION


For years, California's prison system has faced costly and seemingly endless challenges. Decades-old class-action lawsuits challenge the adequacy of critical parts of its operations, including its health care system, its parole-revocation process, and its ability to accommodate inmates with disabilities. In one case, a federal court seized control over the prison medical care system and appointed a Receiver to manage its operations. The Receiver remains in place today. The state's difficulty in addressing the prison system's multiple challenges was exacerbated by an inmate population that—until recently—had been growing at an unsustainable pace. Overcrowded prison conditions culminated in a ruling last year by the United States Supreme Court ordering the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to reduce its prison population by tens of thousands of inmates by June 2013. At the same time that prison problems were growing, California's budget was becoming increasingly imbalanced. By 2011, California faced a \$26.6 billion General Fund budget deficit, in part because the department's budget had grown from \$5 billion to over \$9 billion in a decade.


To achieve budgetary savings and comply with federal court requirements, the Governor proposed, and the Legislature passed, landmark prison realignment legislation to ease prison crowding and reduce the department's budget by 18 percent. Realignment created and funded a community-based correctional program where lower-level offenders remain under the jurisdiction of county governments. In the six months that realignment has been in effect, the state prison population has dropped considerably—by approximately 22,000 inmates. This reduction in population is laying the groundwork for sustainable solutions. But realignment alone cannot fully satisfy the Supreme Court's order or meet the department's other multi-faceted challenges.

This plan builds upon the changes brought by realignment, and delineates, for the first time, a clear and comprehensive plan for the department to save billions of dollars by achieving its targeted budget reductions, satisfying the Supreme Court's ruling, and getting the department out from under the burden of expensive federal court oversight.

# **Saving Billions of Dollars**

Given the ongoing budget problems facing California it has become increasingly important to reexamine the mission and priorities of the corrections system. With dedicated funding directed to county governments to manage lower-level offenders, realignment allows the state to focus on managing the most serious and violent offenders. And it allows counties to focus on community-based programs that better promote rehabilitation. Not only is this good corrections policy, but it also allows the state to achieve significant budgetary savings from a department whose share of General Fund expenditures had grown from 3 to 11 percent over the last 30 years.






One of the primary benefits of realignment is the ability of the department to comply with the Supreme Court's order without releasing tens of thousands of inmates or building costly new prisons. Absent realignment, and given the public safety risk associated with releasing offenders early, the state would have had to build up to nine new prisons and house more inmates in private contract facilities in order to comply with the Supreme Court's order. Instead, the Administration is now proposing legislation to eliminate approximately \$4.1 billion of the lease revenue bond authority in Assembly Bill 900. Eliminating this bond authority and no longer needing to build new stand-alone prisons will avoid \$2.2 billion annually in new operating costs and facility debt service costs.

In addition to billions of dollars in avoided costs, upon full implementation of realignment, the department's annual budget will be reduced by \$1.5 billion through reduced expenditures associated with declining offender populations and new efficiencies. Consequently, the department's budget will account for approximately 7.5 percent of state General Fund expenditures in the future. All departmental operations, including headquarters and administration, have reassessed their budgets to correspond with the smaller offender populations being served in prison

and on parole. As a result of the declining populations, the state will be able to save nearly half a billion dollars by closing the California Rehabilitation Center—one of its oldest, most costly, and inefficient prisons to operate—and ending contracts for out-of-state prison facilities. The savings contemplated in this plan will be attained by safely reclassifying inmates, housing inmates in facilities that are commensurate with their custody level, and working to reduce recidivism. Capitalizing on the opportunities created by realignment will create a safer, more effective correctional system, and allow the state to regain control of its prison system by satisfying federal court requirements.

Combining the actual budget savings with the avoided expenditures that would have been required without realignment, over a ten year span the state will have saved and avoided over \$30 billion in General Fund costs that may now be used to help balance the state budget or for other critical areas such as education and health care.



# Realignment is Reducing Prison Crowding and Facilitating Compliance with the Supreme Court's Order

Between 1986 and 2006, California's prison population soared from approximately 60,000 inmates to an all-time high of 173,479 inmates. At its peak, the inmate population had grown to more than double the designed housing capacity, forcing the department to house close to 20,000 inmates in gymnasiums, dayrooms, and other nontraditional housing areas—often in triple-bunks. To help alleviate the overcrowded conditions, an executive order was issued in late 2006 that authorized the department to involuntarily transfer inmates to privately-owned prisons out-of-state. Since then, the department has housed an annual average of about 10,000 inmates in other states.

The United States Supreme Court ruling in 2011 ordered the department to reduce prison crowding to 137.5 percent of the prison system's design bed capacity by June 2013. In the interim, the court ordered the department to meet incremental crowding-reduction targets at six-month intervals. The Court's final population requirement equates to a reduction of over 40,000 inmates from the department's all-time high just a few years ago.

Few options are available to satisfy the Supreme Court's order. Releasing tens of thousands of dangerous felons onto the streets would endanger public safety. And spending taxpayer dollars the state does not have to build several more costly prisons would be fiscally irresponsible. A safer and less expensive alternative—realignment—was proposed by the Administration, adopted by the California Legislature, and went into effect on October 1, 2011. Under realignment, lower-level offenders serve their sentences locally, and lower-level offenders released from state prison are supervised by local probation officers instead of state parole agents. Offenders who have been convicted of violent, sex-related, or other serious offenses continue to serve their sentences in prison. Realignment also ends the revolving door of parole violators returning to prison for only weeks or months at a time by having them serve their revocation terms in local jails rather than state prison.

Since realignment took effect, the department's offender population has dropped by approximately 22,000 inmates and 16,000 parolees. Crowding has been reduced from a high of over 200 percent of design capacity to just 155 percent today. The state achieved the first of its four court-ordered population-reduction benchmarks on time and has already met its second, two months early. The thousands of makeshift beds in gymnasiums and dayrooms that the department has been forced to use for years are now gone.

Before and after photographs of the gymnasium at the California Institution for Men show the dramatic effect realignment has had on reducing nontraditional housing:





# **Even After Realignment, Serious Challenges Remain**

Although the state's prison population continues to shrink, realignment alone will not be enough to bring the department into compliance with the Supreme Court's order. The department's newly released spring population projections suggest that although the state will meet the December 2012 court-ordered population target, it will fall a few percentage points short of meeting the final benchmark of 137.5 percent of design capacity in June 2013. The new projections indicate that the prison population will drop to about 141 percent of design capacity by June 2013. Assuming the current projections remain accurate, the additional measures in this plan will be needed to satisfy the Supreme Court's order.

Realignment itself is also creating new issues that must be addressed and managed. For example, under realignment, less serious offenders who were housed in prison camps and dormitories are now under local jurisdictions, but more serious offenders have remained in the department's celled housing units. This is resulting in increasingly uneven staffing ratios and uneven distribution of inmates throughout the state's prisons. Moreover, the 9,500 inmates being housed outside of California in expensive private facilities should be brought back. California should be housing these inmates in its own prisons and investing the money in California where jobs are needed. This plan adjusts prison housing and reforms the inmate classification system to accommodate the realities of the remaining prisoner population.

The reduction in overcrowding brought about by realignment will also not completely solve the department's other challenges—although it will help tremendously. Realignment, for example, will do nothing to address limitations in existing clinical treatment space. Improving this space as contemplated in this plan will enable the department to provide court-ordered health care services to a greater number of inmates within existing prisons instead of building costly new treatment facilities.

The measures contained in this plan will complete the substantial progress the department has made in showing the federal courts that it can ensure legally-acceptable conditions of confinement. This will allow the department to free itself from the Receivership and the numerous class-action cases in which it is entangled. These cases disrupt democratic principles by shifting control away from the state and to federal courts, make managing prison affairs more difficult, and impose enormous fiscal costs. The state spends millions of dollars each year in class-action litigation costs alone. This plan, combined with the effects of realignment, will put California in a position to end these lawsuits as soon as possible.

This Plan Addresses the Department's Remaining Challenges and Will Allow California to Satisfy Federal Court Requirements, Achieve Significant Savings, and Maintain an Effective Prison System for Years to Come

This plan will allow the department to satisfy the Supreme Court's order, end the class-action cases, maintain an effective prison system, and achieve significant savings. The key components will accomplish the following:

Improve the Inmate Classification System. As a result of research produced by a panel of correctional experts and input from seasoned professionals, the department is modifying its classification system. The modified system will enable the department to safely shift about 17,000 inmates to less costly housing where they can benefit from more access to rehabilitative programs. These modifications will begin to be implemented within six months, and they will eliminate the need to build expensive, high-security prisons.

**Return Out-of-State Inmates.** The department began sending inmates out-of-state when overcrowding was at its worst in 2007. Currently, there are more than 9,500 inmates outside of California. The department will be able to bring these inmates back as the prison population continues to drop, classification changes are made, and additional housing units are constructed at existing facilities. Returning these inmates to California will stop the flow of taxpayer dollars to other states, and is expected to save the state \$318 million annually.

*Improve Access to Rehabilitation.* This plan enables the department to improve access to rehabilitative programs and place at least 70 percent of the department's target population in programs consistent with their academic and rehabilitative needs. Increasing access to rehabilitative programs will reduce recidivism by better preparing inmates to be productive members of society. In doing so, it will help lower the long-term prison population and save the state money.

The department will establish reentry hubs at certain prisons to concentrate program resources and better prepare inmates as they get closer to being released. It will also designate enhanced programming yards, which will incentivize positive behavior. For parolees, the department will build a continuum of community-based programs to serve, within their first year of release, approximately 70 percent of parolees who need substance-abuse treatment, employment services, or education.

**Standardize Staffing Levels.** Realignment's downsizing has left the department with uneven, ratio-driven staffing levels throughout the system. Continued use of these increasingly outdated staffing ratios as the inmate population declines would be costly and prevent efficient operations. This plan establishes new and uniform staffing standards for each institution that will enable the department to operate more efficiently and safely.

Comply with Court Imposed Health Care Requirements. In recent years, numerous measures have been implemented that have significantly improved the quality of the department's health care system. The Inspector General regularly reviews and scores the department's medical care system, and these scores have been steadily rising. In addition, the capacity of the health care system will soon increase. Slated for completion during the summer of 2013, the California Health Care Facility in Stockton is designed to house inmates requiring long-term medical care and intensive mental health treatment. Its annex, the DeWitt Nelson Youth Correctional Facility, will open in the summer of 2014 to create a unified Stockton complex, allowing both facilities to efficiently transition inmate-patients between the two, while avoiding transportation and security costs as well as the need for expensive services in community hospitals and clinics. These projects, in addition to ongoing mental health and dental projects and new plans to increase medical clinical capacity at existing prisons, will satisfy court imposed requirements.

Satisfy the Supreme Court's Order to Reduce Prison Crowding. As previously mentioned, the department's newly released spring population projections suggest that the department may fall a few percentage points short of meeting the final court-ordered crowding-reduction benchmark even with realignment. In June 2013, the department's prison population is projected to be at 141 percent of design capacity rather than the 137.5 percent goal identified by the Supreme Court. The additional measures proposed in this plan will allow the state to seek and obtain from the court a modification to raise the final benchmark to 145 percent of design capacity. Otherwise, alternatives such as continuing to house inmates out-of-state will have to be considered.

In its order, the Supreme Court contemplated that appropriate modifications to its order may be warranted. The Court explained that as the state implements the order, "time and experience" may reveal effective ways of ensuring adequate health care—other than through population reductions. The state "will be free to move" the Court for modification of the order on that basis, and "these motions would be entitled to serious consideration." This plan sets forth necessary reforms to satisfy this order as well as other court imposed requirements related to the provision of health care services.

The reduced prison population has already substantially aided the department's ability to provide the level of care required by the courts. As the population further declines, the department's ability to provide the required level of prison health care will continue to improve. New health care facilities and enhanced treatment and office space at existing prisons will enable the department to maintain a health care system capable of providing this level of care for a higher density prison population than the Court originally contemplated. This plan will provide critical support for the state's ability to satisfy the Supreme Court's order without having to maintain expensive out-of-state prison beds or release inmates early.

Realignment has provided California an historic opportunity to create not just a less-crowded prison system, but one that is safer, less expensive, and better equipped to rehabilitate inmates before they are released. This plan seizes on that opportunity. Each of the following sections describes key aspects of a prison system that combines the inmate reductions achieved in realignment with a facility-improvement plan that will enable a more efficient inmate health care delivery system. This is the prison system that best serves California.

# POPULATION PROJECTIONS

#### **Overview**

Prior to realignment, the state's prison population had been rising for decades. In the 1980s and 1990s, California built more prisons. But construction could not keep pace with the prison population growth, and the state could not afford to keep building more prisons. In October 2006, the prison population reached its all-time peak of 173,479 inmates. The following month, the department began paying to house inmates in private prisons in other states, and increasingly began to rely on nontraditional beds inside gymnasiums and day rooms.

By August 2007, the number of nontraditional beds reached a peak of 19,618, occupying 72 gyms and 125 dayrooms in prisons throughout the state. With inmates sleeping in the middle of housing units, there was less programming space available and incidents of violence increased, leading to prolonged lockdowns and modified programs.

As the number of out-of-state inmates exceeded 10,000, a series of modest reforms were implemented in 2010 and crowding in prisons began to decline gradually. But it was not until realignment went into effect in October 2011 that the department began experiencing substantial reductions to its prison population. In just the first six months of realignment, the department has been able to close all of its nontraditional beds, and once again begin using the previously occupied gymnasiums and dayrooms for their intended purposes. The department has also closed 15 contract facilities.

Under realignment, offenders whose current and prior convictions are not violent, serious, sex-related, or otherwise enumerated will serve their sentence under county jurisdictions. Upon release from prison, offenders whose current convictions are non-violent, non-serious, and do not result in a three strikes sentence or a high-risk sex offender designation, are supervised by local probation. Realignment also requires that parole violators serve their revocation terms in local jails rather than state prison.


California's prison and parole populations are continuing to decline as a result of realignment. The following section sets forth the department's most recent population projections showing the continued impact of realignment.

#### **Spring 2012 Population Projections**

The department produces population projections twice a year based on current data, historical trends, and the anticipated effects of any legislative or policy changes. The department just released its spring 2012 adult population projections. They show the impact of realignment and provide the basis for this plan.


# Total Adult Inmate Population

The department projects that its total adult inmate population—including inmates in camps and contract beds—will be 133,768 on June 30, 2012, and 127,674 on June 30, 2013. The chart below shows the total adult inmate population projected through fiscal year 2016-17.



# Total Parole Population

The department projects that its total parole population—including those parolees who are not under active supervision—will be 78,215 on June 30, 2012, and 53,197 on June 30, 2013. The chart below shows the total adult parole population projected through fiscal year 2016-17.



# Projected Placement Needs for Males


The department also projects placement needs for adult males. The table below shows that realignment is projected to cause significant reductions in the need for reception center beds, level I beds, and level II beds. Level III and level IV bed needs remain generally unaffected by realignment.

Projected Placement Needs for Total Male Institution Population											
Date	Recept	Level	Level Level L		Level	Special Housing			Total		
Date	Cntr	I	II	III	IV	PHU	SHU	Total	Pop		
9/30/11*	23,116	21,558	37,395	34,888	28,767	12	5,617	5,629	151,353		
12/31/11*	15,911	19,864	35,426	33,930	28,752	12	5,649	5,661	139,544		
6/30/12	9,583	15,158	32,688	35,571	29,098	13	5,243	5,256	127,354		
6/30/13	9,228	12,681	29,863	36,028	29,537	12	4,702	4,714	122,051		
6/30/14	8,645	12,266	28,225	36,341	29,631	12	4,515	4,527	119,635		
6/30/15	8,457	12,040	27,628	36,195	29,516	12	4,404	4,416	118,252		
6/30/16	8,372	12,383	27,461	35,975	29,403	13	4,328	4,341	117,935		
6/30/17	8,329	12,719	27,761	35,933	29,419	13	4,237	4,250	118,411		

<sup>\*</sup> Actual

#### Actual and Projected Population by Age

The graph below shows that the prison population has grown older. Since 2002, age groups of "55-59" and "60 and over" have significantly increased. That trend is projected to continue.



In sum, the spring population projections show a significant decline in inmate and parole populations. The projections also show that, despite these reductions, the need for celled housing remains as great as ever. Finally, the projections show that the department should prepare to care for an increasingly elderly inmate population.

# **BUDGET**

In 2008-09, the department comprised over 11 percent of the state's budget, and until last year, managed the largest state prison system in the country—one that was deeply mired in litigation and continuing to grow beyond its capacity. With the passage of realignment, California averted the further expansion of its prisons and the financial burdens that would have been imposed. Without realignment, the state would have had to construct up to nine new prisons to accommodate 31,500 offenders while also maintaining thousands of offenders in contract beds in order to meet court ordered requirements related to population levels and health care. To fund such an expansion, the state would have needed to sell up to \$7.5 billion in lease revenue bonds, with annual debt service payments totaling \$550 million from the General Fund. The state would have also needed to augment the department's support budget with an additional \$1.6 billion in annual General Fund to staff and operate those facilities.

Instead of embarking on a massive new prison construction effort, the department is now in a position to significantly reduce its budget while improving the efficiency and effectiveness of its operations. Upon full implementation of realignment, an estimated \$1.5 billion in General Fund savings was expected. This plan, upon full implementation, achieves that level of savings. Coupled with no longer needing to construct and operate many new facilities, the state will realize over \$3 billion in General Fund savings annually. Over the span of 10 years, the state will free up over \$30 billion in General Fund that will then be available for investment in other critical areas of the state budget such as education and health care.

To achieve its budget reductions, the department ordered every division to reevaluate their future resource needs in light of the smaller offender population. As the department moves forward with implementing its housing, facility, parole, and staffing plans, budget adjustments will be made over the next several years that coincide with the projected reductions in population and the proposed deactivations of contract beds, parole units, and an aging facility. Headquarters and other administrative functions are also being reduced to reflect the fact that they will be supporting smaller field operations.

The proposed staffing and budget changes in each program area are outlined through fiscal year 2015-16 in Appendix A. As the department moves forward, any deviations from this plan resulting from a slower than expected decline in population will be addressed through the department's bi-annual population estimate proposal.

#### ADULT INSTITUTIONS

The department is responsible under state law for the incarceration of the state's most serious and violent felons. The Division of Adult Institutions currently operates 33 institutions, 8 of which have reception centers, as well as 44 conservation camps and a number of contract facilities.

As a result of reduced crowding, the department is now able to implement a number of initiatives that will improve the prison system, reduce expenses, and avoid costs. In the summer of 2012 the department will begin implementing a new inmate classification score system that will improve rehabilitation outcomes for offenders and reduce the need for the expensive construction of high security facilities. The department will also begin managing gangs differently, using prevention, interdiction, and rehabilitation, which will allow celled housing to be used for only the most dangerous offenders.

The savings associated with this plan take into consideration minimal staffing levels required to increase inmate access to care and programming, provide sufficient out of cell time, and restructure rehabilitative and gender-responsive programs. The plan also boosts California's economy by returning out-of-state inmates to California. The adult institutions segment of the plan is described below.

#### **Inmate Classification**

The department uses a classification system to ensure that inmates are properly housed and supervised, whether in camps, open dormitories, or cells. The proper housing and supervision of inmates promotes institutional order and public safety by protecting staff and inmates from in-prison misconduct, protecting the public from inmate escapes, and safeguarding opportunities for inmates to benefit from rehabilitative programming.

Male offenders are classified into four levels for housing purposes: level I through level IV, with level I and II inmates housed primarily in dorm facilities and level III and IV inmates housed in celled facilities. Female offenders are generally housed together without regard to level as their propensity for violence is much lower than that of male offenders.

In addition to an offender's score, each male and female offender also has a custody designation. The custody designations fall into four categories: minimum, medium, close, and maximum. Minimum custody offenders can live or work outside of the normal confines of a secure perimeter facility, such as fire camps. Medium custody offenders must live within a secure perimeter facility, but can live in dorms or cells and have more freedom of movement within the facility. Close custody offenders

live in celled facilities, are restricted in their work assignments, and are counted more frequently than other offenders. Maximum custody offenders live in celled units designated as an administrative segregation or security housing unit.

The department pioneered best practices in classification by being the first state correctional agency to utilize an objective classification system to determine housing needs for offenders. A variety of inmate case factors, such as age, institutional behavior, and time to serve are given a weighted point system to determine the offender's classification score. The department contracted with the University of California to validate its classification system in 1986 and again in 1997. These efforts helped the department ensure that it was focusing on the right factors to identify the inmates who posed the greatest risks and to whom the department should, as a result, allocate the greatest resources in terms of housing and supervision.

Since it was last validated in 1997, the department's classification system has undergone some changes. It continues to use what are called "preliminary scores" to designate the level of housing for inmates. Preliminary scores are based on factors previously found to be reliable predictors of risk, such as age, institutional conduct and criminal history. But the department also established new categories of "mandatory minimums" that set a minimum score for certain crimes or sentences, thereby barring certain inmates, no matter how well-behaved while in custody, from moving out of celled, or otherwise higher-level, housing without a complex classification action. The department also implemented more restrictive close custody designations, which subjected inmates to placement in more expensive celled housing to prevent escape and serious misconduct.

In 2010, the department launched an effort to review its classification system, convening a panel of seasoned correctional professionals and experts from the University of California to examine mandatory minimums, custody designations, and the point thresholds that separate the four security levels used by the department. The expert panel, using a variety of statistical models, concluded in 2011 that preliminary scores, not mandatory minimums or custody designations, are the best predictors of risk. The research also showed that the point thresholds could be changed to allow a greater number of inmates to move into less restrictive housing without increasing the risk of serious institutional misconduct. In fact, in some cases, moving inmates into less restrictive housing may lessen the inmate's risk of misconduct.

As a result of the research findings, the department will responsibly adjust the thresholds between the security levels and further refine which offenders require placement in celled housing and level IV prisons. Emergency regulations will be filed by June 2012 with the Office of Administrative Law to implement the recommendations set forth in the classification study.

The department expects that by 2015 the new regulations will be fully implemented and over 9,500 male inmates will have moved from level IV to level III, and over 7,000 male inmates will have moved from level III to level II. As a result, these male inmates will have increased access to rehabilitative programs and avoid the criminogenic influence associated with unnecessary over-classification, thereby aiding success upon release. This policy change will also reduce costs associated with construction and operation of celled housing and level IV male facilities. See Appendix C for proposed changes to the inmate classification score system.

# **Gang Management**

The department manages arguably the most violent and sophisticated criminal gangs in the nation. Prison gangs direct not only a large portion of inprison crimes, but also a significant amount of crime in the community, including murder, human trafficking, drug sales, and extortion. The responsibility and challenge of policing these gangs is immense. The department is now undertaking new targeted and programmatic strategies to minimize the negative impacts of gangs in its prisons.

The department's prior prison gang strategy was developed more than 25 years ago and relied primarily on suppression. Tested national models available today utilize a combination of prevention, interdiction, and rehabilitation measures. In recognition of evolving strategies in gang management, the department commissioned a study in 2007 entitled "Security Threat Group Identification and Management" by the California State University, Sacramento. It incorporated ideas generated by five national gang experts and also reviewed best practices from correctional agencies in Arizona, New Mexico, Colorado, Texas, Connecticut, and New York, as well as the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The study recommended that the department employ several measures:

- Offer graduated housing and privileges as incentives for positive behavior, and impose consequences for gang-related behaviors;
- Offer a step-down program for inmates to work their way from a restricted program back to a general population setting;
- Provide support and education for inmates seeking to disengage from gangs;
- Employ a weighted point system to enhance the integrity of the gangvalidation process;

- Use segregated housing only for those gang associates and suspects who engage in additional serious disciplinary behavior; and
- Offer programs designed to promote social values and behaviors in preparation for an inmate's return to the community.

Reductions in crowding and offender movement coupled with changes in the inmate classification score system now allow the department to begin a careful implementation of the recommendations. As a result of this effort, the department is already projecting a decreased need for segregated housing for gang members and has canceled the proposed construction of 50 segregated exercise yards for gang members at the California Correctional Institution in Tehachapi, avoiding approximately \$2.9 million in costs. The department will be able to project the overall long-term impact on the reduction of security housing unit beds upon the completion of the regulations and official case factor reviews of the existing population. Those steps are expected to begin in fiscal year 2012-13.

# **Standardized Staffing**

Prisons have been activated at various times since the mid-1800s, and each has been opened with varying levels of resources depending on the particular needs at the time. As the circumstances changed over time, each prison would submit individual budget requests for the resources needed to implement programs, address security issues, or satisfy obligations arising from litigation. The presumption was that the base staffing and resources provided at activation were sufficient, and that specific budget requests could address the unique situations at particular prisons. During the era of overcrowding, a standardized budgeting methodology was established to provide marginal ratio-driven staffing adjustments as population increased or decreased, but each prison had the discretion to determine the allocation of resources, with flexibility to establish or eliminate positions based on the individual needs of the prison. This led to disparate staffing between the institutions. Over time, the fundamental change in operations and the dramatic decline in population occurring under realignment created significant challenges for the institutions.

Simply reducing resources by reversing the budget augmentations provided for activation and overcrowding packages left the department vulnerable in managing its offenders. Unallocated reductions driven by the state's fiscal crisis eroded the original base budget of the prisons. Staff reductions led to situations at some institutions where general population inmates are no longer let out of their cells due to insufficient custody personnel being available to maintain safe and secure prisons. Absent standardized staffing, the realignment population reductions would continue to result in a shortage of staff and disrupt prison operations.

As an example, support positions, such as plant operations, have been reduced to protect the custody positions necessary to manage offenders and maintain public safety. Further population-driven reductions from plant operations would leave the prisons with insufficient staff to maintain the physical plant of the facility. The number of maintenance staff needed to support a facility is driven by the physical plant and not changes in population.

Standardized staffing replaces the outdated ratio-driven staffing model, and was initiated to reconcile the renewed opportunity to program and supervise offenders with the reduced resources available. The department assembled a team of correctional experts to review the custody and non-custody positions in each prison. Standardized staffing recognizes the need for a specific staff complement based on the housing unit design and achieves savings while maintaining a safe prison environment. Standardized staffing does not address medical and custody staff associated with the delivery of medical services as those positions are undergoing a separate review by the Receiver. This effort provides a centralized management evaluation of all positions based on each prison's physical plant design, inmate classification levels, perimeter security, inmate programming opportunities, and daily operational timelines (feeding, medication distribution, education). The staffing patterns are based on specific criteria that take into account specialized missions, such as security housing units and mental health treatment. By redistributing resources, standardized staffing will resolve historical variances.

Consistent with the more stable offender population, standardized staffing also provides a stable budgetary plan that accommodates fluctuations in population. This approach will eliminate the need to make marginal adjustments unless there is cause to change a specialty mission or activate or deactivate a housing unit. Standardized staffing allows prisons to safely operate housing units with a wide range of inmate population densities from 100 to 160 percent. Standardized staffing also advances the policy changes related to the inmate classification score system. The team of correctional experts reviewed and developed the staffing standards for the majority of institutions based on projected inmate populations and institutional missions that will be in place during fiscal year 2013-14. With the varying designs of each prison, onsite evaluations are required, especially for the prisons built prior to the 1980s. For those institutions that have not been reviewed, a conceptual staffing standard has been developed based on the reviews already completed. The reviews will be completed by June 2012 and may result in minor adjustments at particular institutions.

The department has developed this comprehensive plan to capitalize on the opportunity to improve operations and manage offenders more effectively, while reducing more than \$1 billion and 5,500 positions in 2012-13, with ongoing savings of \$1.5 billion and 6,400 positions. Preliminary staffing plans are included in Appendix B.

# **In-Prison Rehabilitative Programs**

The department provides in-prison programming to adult offenders. Programs include academic and vocational education, substance abuse treatment, cognitive-based behavioral programs, transitional services, and employment programs. All are aimed at reducing recidivism while keeping prisons and communities safe.

Research has shown that effective programming can reduce an offender's likelihood to reoffend. In 2007, a panel of experts made a series of recommendations to the department and the Legislature as to how the department should improve its rehabilitative outcomes. These evidence-based principles and practices were coined by the Expert Panel as the California Logic Model.

Unfortunately, beginning in fiscal year 2009-10, the department's rehabilitation programs budget began experiencing significant reductions. While the department has continued to make progress in certain areas, budget reductions and crowding have prevented the department from fully implementing the California Logic Model as originally intended. Fortunately, the population reductions resulting from realignment will allow the department to significantly increase the percentage of offenders served while also allowing the department to address a much broader array of factors that put offenders most at risk of reoffending.

Prior to realignment, the department was able to serve only a small percentage of its target population. Realignment has provided the opportunity to increase access and improve its rehabilitative programs, which will significantly lower California's recidivism rate.

Under this plan, the department intends to increase the percentage of inmates served in rehabilitative programs to 70 percent of the department's target population prior to their release. In reaching this goal, the department will employ additional structured programs to address particular needs such as criminal thinking, anger management, and family relationships. The department will also establish reentry hubs to concentrate pre-release programs that prepare inmates about to return to their communities. This cost-effective reentry option replaces an earlier strategy of building secure reentry facilities throughout the state at significant taxpayer expense.

#### Academic Education

The plan adds 159 academic teachers over a 2-year period. Academic programming will be offered throughout an inmate's incarceration and will focus on increasing an inmate's reading ability to at least a ninth-grade level. For inmates reading at ninth-grade level or higher, the focus will be on helping the inmate obtain a general education development certificate. College programs will be offered through the voluntary education program. While education will be offered to all inmates, priority will be given to those with a criminogenic need for education.

#### Career Technical Education

The proposal adds 98 vocational instructors over a 2-year period. Because the goal of career technical education is to ensure that offenders leave prison with a marketable trade, the vocational programs will target inmates with a criminogenic need for employment services who are closer to release. These programs will continue to be geared toward vocational programs that provide offenders with certification in a marketable trade that will pay former offenders a livable entry wage.

#### Substance Abuse Treatment

Substance abuse treatment programs will be located at reentry hubs. Programming will be focused on inmates with a criminogenic need for substance abuse treatment with 6 to 12 months left to serve. Offenders who receive substance abuse treatment in prison followed by aftercare services upon release to parole recidivate at approximately 30 percent, which is markedly lower than the 65.3 percent recidivism rate for those who received no substance abuse services.

#### Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

Until now, the department has not had sufficient resources to deliver programs addressing criminogenic needs such as anger management, criminal thinking, or family relationships, which were part of the California Logic Model. Under this plan, the department will add cognitive-behavioral therapy programs to address these needs. These programs will be administered by contract providers with oversight from the department in reentry hubs at designated institutions.

#### Pre-Employment Transition

One of the greatest barriers to successful reintegration into society is the ability to find employment. Until now the department has only been able to pilot its pre-employment transitions program at a few institutions. Under this plan, the department will expand this program to all of the reentry hubs. These services will include job readiness skills, as well as linkage to one-stop career centers.

#### Reentry Hubs

As indicated above, the department will establish reentry hubs at designated prisons. Reentry hubs will provide relevant services to inmates who are within four years of release and who demonstrate a willingness to maintain appropriate behavior to take advantage of such programming. Reentry hubs will provide the following array of programs:

- Career technical education programs focusing on inmates with 13 to 48 months left to serve. Reentry hubs will typically have 10 or more programs, depending on available space and population size.
- Cognitive-behavioral therapy programs, including criminal thinking, anger management, and family relationship issues, that address inmates' needs as identified through the Correctional Offender Management and Profiling Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) assessment tool. These programs will be a priority for inmates serving their last year of incarceration.
- Substance abuse treatment programs for inmates with 6 to 12 months left to serve who have a substance abuse treatment need as identified through the COMPAS tool.
- Employment training that will include job readiness skills prior to release, as well as linkage to one-stop career centers and other social service agencies in the offender's county of residence. These services will be primarily available during the last six months of prison time.
- Identification project to assist eligible inmates in obtaining state-issued identification cards to satisfy federal requirements for employment documentation. This project is a partnership with the Prison Industry Authority.
- Academic programs for general and isolated populations, the volunteer education program, and college programs.
- A variety of volunteer and self-help programs.

Reentry hub locations will be selected based upon a number of criteria, including the demographics of the institution's projected population after realignment with four years or less left to serve, the availability of adequate programming space, and their demonstrated ability to effectively utilize rehabilitative programs.

#### Designated Enhanced-Programming Yards

In addition to reentry hubs, the department will designate certain facilities as enhanced-programming units in order to support and create incentives for inmates who, based on their own behaviors and choices, are ready to take full advantage of programming opportunities. Program options in these institutions will be primarily academic and career technical education programs, volunteer, and self-help programs.

# Other Program Opportunities

The Prison Industry Authority offers programming at 22 institutions. In addition, the department's Inmate Ward Labor program trains and utilizes inmates to facilitate cost-effective construction of the department's state-owned facilities. There are also support services roles for inmates at all institutions, as well as an array of volunteer and self-help programs already in effect and slated for expansion. Programs such as these provide hundreds of inmate work opportunities year round and the potential for learning trade skills for meaningful employment upon release.

#### New Program Models

The department is developing programs to serve populations not typically included in existing program models. Specifically, the following models are proposed:

#### Long-term Offender Models

The department proposes developing reentry model programming designed for long-term offenders. Beginning in fiscal year 2013-14, the department will pilot this approach at four institutions projected to have a substantial population of long-term offenders. At these institutions, the department will implement a cognitive-based program that will include substance abuse treatment specifically structured for long-term offenders who will not be released in the near future.

Additionally, the Offender Mentor Certification Program will continue to provide an opportunity for long-term inmates to complete a certification program in alcohol and other drug counseling. Inmates are recruited from various institutions and transferred to the host institution (currently California State Prison, Solano, and the former Valley State Prison for Women) for training. Once certified as interns by the California Association of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Counselors, the inmatementors are transferred back to their original institution and are paid to co-facilitate substance abuse treatment.

#### Sex Offender Treatment

The department also proposes developing services for incarcerated sex offenders, a very difficult subpopulation to program safely in prisons. The department intends to evaluate national best practices to develop a pilot and to implement the model at one institution beginning in fiscal year 2013-14. Treatment will follow evidence-based practices, using individualized treatment plans that focus on issues such as strength and skill building, emotional regulation, and developing appropriate relationships. The specific institution will be selected once the model is developed and the target population is identified.

# **Gang Prevention**

The department's gang prevention program contains a programming component that will require support. The model under development includes anger management, substance abuse prevention, parenting skills, restorative justice, and in-cell education opportunities. As with other programs, the offender's individual criminogenic needs will be considered in assessing their program needs and compliance with the expectations of the program.

#### Case Management

Case Management will be a critical component of successfully implementing the proposals described above. For programs to be effective, inmates must be placed in the right program at the right time. Case management will help staff determine the type, frequency, and timing of programming an inmate should receive to most effectively reduce their likelihood of reoffending. The department is piloting its case planning model, beginning at a female institution this year. The department will continue to expand this process statewide as a better understanding is gained regarding resources needed for full implementation.

See Appendix B for summary information on programming by institution and Appendix D for capacity by program type.

# Ensure Program Accountability

The department has developed reporting tools and performance metrics to assist management in making decisions regarding resource allocations for programming. These metrics were used to develop the operational plan for rehabilitative programming to address a number of inmate characteristics, including risk, need, and time left to serve. All of these performance metrics will continue as access to programs increases.

Program outcomes will be closely monitored to determine the effectiveness of the reentry hubs and the enhanced programming yards in comparison with the results prior to realignment. Key performance indicators include program enrollment, attendance, and completion, as well as regression, which the department currently only has available for substance abuse programs but anticipates eventually being available for education and other programs in future reports.

Key performance indicators are reviewed monthly by executive staff and results are shared with wardens and institutional program staff. Quarterly meetings are conducted with institution staff to discuss performance in all of these areas. Significant improvement, especially in enrollment rates, has been made as a result of these reviews.

### Female Offender Programs and Services

Female prisoners have distinct rehabilitative and health care needs, and are more likely to have suffered trauma and abuse prior to incarceration. California is a national leader in gender-responsive strategies to serve those needs and will continue its commitment to provide programs and services for its female population.

Realignment is having a profound impact on the female inmate population. Prior to realignment, the female population totaled 9,458. The department managed these females in three state prisons, two fire camps, and seven community-based facilities for lower-level inmates. Today there are fewer than 7,000 women incarcerated in the state's prisons. This population is projected to further decline to 5,200 inmates by June 30, 2014.

As a result of the reduced female population, Valley State Prison for Women will be converted to a level II male facility by June 27, 2013. The department expects to consolidate the remaining female population into two female prisons – California Institution for Women and California Central Women's Facility. The department also expects to reactivate and repurpose the unused Folsom Transitional Treatment Facility into a newly designated Folsom Women's Facility to provide additional housing for the female population in a smaller prison setting. Its 400 beds will augment the rest of the facility portfolio. The facility will also be able to serve as a reentry location for some offenders. Finally, this plan allows for housing female offenders in southern, central, and northern California, keeping female offenders who are ineligible for community-based alternatives closer to their families and children.

#### Community Prisoner Mother and Family Foundations Programs

The purpose of the Community Prisoner Mother Program and the Family Foundations Programs is to break the inter-generational cycle of criminality by providing an opportunity for pregnant women or women with young children to develop life skills, remain free from alcohol and drugs, and become better parents while serving their sentence. Courts sentence female inmates to serve in Family Foundations Programs while the Community Prisoner Mother Programs allow other females to participate without having been ordered to do so by the court.

As the female inmate population continues to decline, there are fewer eligible inmates for these programs. The department anticipates that there will continue to be a viable population to support at least one Community Prisoner Mother Program. However, female inmates who would otherwise be eligible for Family Foundation Programs are no longer sentenced to prison under realignment. Accordingly, the Family Foundation Programs are set for closure.

# Female Rehabilitative Community Correctional Center

The Female Rehabilitative Community Correctional Center places low security female offenders into secure facilities located in the communities to which they will parole. The administrative, educational, vocational, and therapeutic programming is provided by qualified contract staff. A key component of this program is coordinated case management from the time a woman is sentenced through the date of her discharge from parole.

Based on the positive outcomes from this program, the department intends to continue the operation of the program located in Bakersfield. In fact, in order to increase participation levels, the department is evaluating expansion of the eligibility criteria for the program to align it with similar community-based programs. This will help fill existing beds to their maximum capacity, and provide programs and transitional services to reduce recidivism and reduce crowding. The department has existing funding for this program.

# **Contract Beds**

To address crowding conditions, the state has relied on contract facilities to provide additional capacity. In-state facilities provide dorm housing and related services for level I and II offenders who were not otherwise eligible for minimum custody settings. At the height of the program in 2008, in-state contract facilities housed over 6,400 offenders. However, these facilities did not meet the security requirements to house high level offenders.

In October 2006, an Executive Order was issued that allowed the department to contract with out-of-state prisons to house high-level offenders. Over time, the primary benefit of the out-of-state program was its ability to provide critically needed celled housing. At its peak operation in March 2011, the department had more than 10,400 offenders housed out-of-state. Currently, approximately 9,500 offenders remain out-of-state in 4 facilities.

With realignment, the department has been able to reduce reliance on out-of-state and in-state contract facilities. As of today, the department has discontinued the use of 14 in-state contract facilities and currently uses only 1 such facility for 600 male offenders.

# Returning Out-of-State Inmates to California

Due to realignment, the implementation of the inmate classification score system, and other infill projects described in greater detail below, all offenders will be returned to California. This plan eliminates the use of all out-of-state contract facilities by 2015-16.

The elimination of the out-of-state contract beds brings inmates back to California and allows state employees to provide for their security, support, and care. This keeps jobs and tax dollars in California. Upon full implementation of this plan, the elimination of the out-of-state contract beds will result in a reduction of \$318 million General Fund and over 400 positions from the department's budget. (See Appendix A for a year-by-year summary and the proposed budget adjustments.)

# Reactivation of In-State Correctional Facilities

While the state has been reducing its reliance on in-state contract beds for lower-level offenders, implementation of classification changes will provide more flexibility to house offenders in non-celled environments. For those facilities that have a sufficiently secure design to house level II inmates, the use of limited in-state contract beds still remains a cost-effective and secure option for the department to manage a segment of its offenders. This contract option reduces costs, keeps offenders close to home, reduces the need for construction, and keeps jobs in California. Accordingly, this plan retains the existing 600 in-state contract beds and adds 1,225 in-state contract beds by December 2013.

#### **HEALTH CARE**

The department provides mental health, medical, and dental care to inmates in the state prison system. This care is consistent with standards for quality and scope of services within a custodial environment. The reduction in crowding under realignment has improved the department's ability to deliver quality health care. However, the inmates who remain in the system are increasingly older and will require higher levels of treatment. Facility improvements must be made if California is going to successfully extricate itself from the class-action lawsuits that govern prison health care.

This plan proposes the following steps to return health care to state control:

- Revising the mental health bed plan to account for fewer inmates requiring mental health treatment while providing the department with flexibility for future population changes.
- Continuing the implementation of the court-approved program delivery guide to provide mental health treatment within specified timeframes.
- Improving health care facilities to provide the infrastructure necessary for the provision of efficient services.
- Implementing the medical classification system to ensure inmates are housed in the facility that most appropriately addresses their individual health care needs.
- Renovating the DeWitt Nelson Youth Correctional Facility adjacent to the California Health Care Facility in Stockton to create a unified Stockton complex that allows efficient transition of the most seriously ill inmatepatients between these two facilities.
- Continuing the Office of the Inspector General's medical inspection audits to ensure continued compliance in the medical care litigation.
- Working with the Receiver to modify the medical delivery system to account for a reduced population and increase efficiencies by implementing more robust utilization of management controls to reduce inmate medical care costs.

#### Mental Health Care

The mental health program is responsible for providing both inpatient and outpatient treatment for all inmates requiring care. Prior to realignment, the department served a mental health population of 38,804 inmates, but was limited in its effectiveness due to insufficient inpatient bed capacity and funding that did not support the level of positions needed to deliver treatment. After realignment, the

population in need of inpatient or outpatient mental health services has dropped to 31,412 inmates. In turn, this reduction assists compliance with federal court mandates, and diminishes the need for construction of treatment capacity as well as the prior need for clinical personnel.

The *Coleman* case began in 1991 when the federal court concluded that the department was not providing adequate mental health services to inmates with mental illness. In 1995, the court appointed a Special Master and the case has since been in a remedial phase. Generally, the *Coleman* court cited the following deficiencies: an inability to identify the seriously mentally ill; inadequate treatment, access to care, and treatment space; and inadequately trained professional staff.

In response to the court, the department established a reception center screening process and a coordinated referral system to properly identify the seriously mentally ill upon intake to prison. The development of the mental health services delivery system and the attendant revisions of the court-approved delivery program guide established a systematic approach to addressing patient needs by defining levels of care and service delivery policies, and ensuring access to appropriate treatment planning and intervention for each increasingly higher level of care. The state further defined which institutions could best provide the services based on the levels of care. The levels of care are as follows:

- The *Correctional Clinical Case Management System* currently accounts for about 85 percent of the inmate-patients in the department's mental health delivery system. Inmates receiving these services are housed within the general population and participate in outpatient services including individual counseling, crisis intervention, medication review, group therapy, social skills training, clinical discharge, and pre-release planning.
- Enhanced Outpatient Programs provide the most intensive level of outpatient mental health care to about 4,300 inmate-patients. The program includes separate housing units that serve mentally ill inmates who have difficulty adjusting to a general population setting, but do not need 24-hour inpatient care. Enhanced outpatient programs focus on treating chronic mental illness and resolving institutional adjustment problems. The program requires 10 hours of structured clinical activity per week, individual clinical contacts at least every two weeks, and enhanced nursing services.
- *Psychiatric Services Units* ensure the effective delivery of enhanced outpatient program services to inmate-patients who are diagnosed with a serious mental disorder and are serving a security housing unit term.
- Mental Health Crisis Beds provide short-term crisis intervention for up to ten
  days, in licensed correctional treatment centers for inmate-patients with
  acute symptoms of a serious mental health disorder, such as suicidal or selfharming behavior, or suffering from a significant or life-threatening

disability. Services include observation, monitoring, continuous nursing assistance, symptom assessment, diagnosis, development of an initial treatment plan, therapy to alleviate psychiatric distress, and referral to the appropriate level of care.

- Intermediate Care Facilities provide longer-term intermediate and non-acute treatment for inmate-patients with a serious mental disorder who cannot function adequately or stabilize at an enhanced outpatient program level of care, but may be stabilized with more intensive, inpatient services. Intermediate care is provided by the Department of Mental Health.
- Acute Psychiatric Programs provide 24-hour intensive, short-term treatment
  and serves inmate-patients who suffer impairment of functioning due to
  either acute serious mental disorder or acute exacerbation of a chronic
  serious mental disorder. Acute psychiatric care is provided by the
  Department of Mental Health.

Two critical components of the department's mental health program must be fulfilled to comply with court requirements to allow the state to regain control of mental health services. First, full funding for the mental health staffing model must be in place. The department, along with stakeholders and the courts, developed the 2010 mental health staffing model that defined appropriate classifications and numbers of mental health professionals to satisfy the court. Although the court participated in the development of the staffing model, it did not specifically order implementation of the model. While this plan along with the Governor's January Budget proposal fully funds the staffing model, the department may need to revisit the staffing model to determine whether appropriate care is being provided consistent with the court-approved program guide. The Governor's January Budget proposed \$27.3 million to fully fund the staffing model based on 31,530 inmates requiring mental health treatment. Based on spring projections, this plan requires a reduction of \$3.4 million and 26.8 positions to serve 31,412 inmate-patients in 2012-13.

The second critical component of the plan to achieve compliance in *Colema*n is revising the 2009 court-ordered mental health bed plan to reconcile with the remaining population of offenders requiring mental health services after realignment.

#### Mental Health Bed Plan

In 2009, the *Coleman* Court ordered the department to develop and implement a plan to provide the physical space necessary to meet the mental health treatment needs of the inmate population. By January 1, 2013, the department will have completed construction of 3 licensed units containing 159 beds providing crisis, intermediate, and acute mental health care for male and female inmates. An additional seven projects providing treatment and office space to support enhanced

outpatient program and psychiatric services unit mental health care are either completed or scheduled for completion by December 31, 2013. The completion of these projects, along with the impact of realignment, will allow the department to provide mental health care at population levels appropriate for the physical plant of each prison.

Construction of the California Health Care Facility in Stockton is scheduled to be completed in the summer of 2013. This facility is specially designed to house inmates requiring long-term inpatient medical care as well as inmates requiring intensive inpatient mental health services. Construction of this facility will allow for the centralization of inmates requiring the most intensive medical and mental health care, enabling the remaining prisons to operate more efficiently. The department will also renovate the DeWitt Nelson Youth Correctional Facility in Stockton, converting it to a semiautonomous level II male facility that will serve as a complementary annex to the California Health Care Facility. The DeWitt annex will provide the department with level II housing capacity to meet the needs that will materialize from implementation of the department's inmate classification score system changes. In addition, it will provide housing for the most seriously ill medical and mental health outpatient inmates. Its adjacency to the California Health Care Facility provides more efficient delivery of a continuum of care.

Since realignment has reduced the overall population of offenders, as well as the mental health population, the department has reevaluated its needs for several remaining projects contained within the mental health bed plan. Its analysis indicates that projects to convert two former juvenile facilities can be eliminated and at least one treatment and office space project to serve enhanced outpatient program inmate-patients can be downsized. These reductions cancel the construction of approximately 3,900 new beds, including approximately 800 new beds for inmate-patients receiving mental health services and approximately 250 beds for inmate-patients receiving medical services. The cost avoidance related to these construction projects is approximately \$630 million, and more than \$125 million of annual operating costs are saved and avoided.

See Appendix E for a summary of the updated bed plan.

#### **Medical Care**

The Receivership was established as the result of a class action lawsuit, *Plata v. Brown*, brought against the State of California over the quality of medical care in the state's 33 adult institutions. The court found that the medical care provided by the state was in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The state settled the suit in 2002, agreeing to a range of remedies that would bring prison medical care in line with constitutional standards. Due to the state's delay in successfully implementing the changes required by the court, the court appointed a Receiver in February 2006 to take over the medical care system and bring it into compliance with constitutional standards.

The last few years have seen significant improvements in prison medical care. Health care access units and a medical classification system were created in 2011, resulting in standardized screening, assessment, and delivery processes that ensure inmate-patients receive timely care. While the classification system has been implemented, inmate-patient movement will take place once the Stockton facility is activated. Meanwhile, combined efforts in physician and nurse hiring, the implementation of certification standards for all clinical staff, and the establishment of effective peer review and employee investigation and discipline units, have all improved medical staff standards. At the same time, important progress in the areas of utilization management and telemedicine has decreased costs and continue to move forward. There is a potential for additional cost savings as these systems are refined at the institutional level. The state is committed to continuing this progress.

Independent audits by the Office of the Inspector General demonstrate that these and other improvements have led to significant advances in medical care outcomes. The Inspector General began its first cycle of inspections in September 2008, assessing each of the 33 adult prisons for their compliance with agreed upon standards of medical care. The Inspector General assigned a score to each prison based on multiple metrics to derive an overall rating of zero to 100 percent. The Receiver considers the minimum score for moderate adherence to medical policies and procedures to be 75 percent. Scores below 75 percent reflect low adherence and scores above 85 percent reflect high adherence.

The first cycle of inspections commencing in 2008 yielded an average score of 72 percent, with 24 institutions demonstrating low adherence to medical policies and procedures, 9 institutions demonstrating moderate adherence, and no institutions showing high adherence. The lowest score was 62 percent and the highest was 83 percent.

The second round of independent inspections began in June 2010 and was completed this month. The average score of all 33 prisons climbed more than 7 points to 79.6 percent. There were only 4 prisons with low adherence to medical policies and procedures, 25 prisons showed moderate adherence, and 4 prisons showed high adherence. The lowest score was 73 percent—very close to the threshold for moderate adherence and in fact higher than the average score for the first cycle of inspections. The high score was 89.5 percent. Nearly every prison statewide improved its overall score from the first round of review.

### Medical Classification

Each institution will be assigned a mission of intermediate care, reception center, or basic care, thereby creating economies of scale and focusing upgrades on the facilities with the highest needs. The bulk of the health care facility improvements will be at the 11 intermediate care institutions. These 11 institutions

have been identified as the best locations in meeting the security and health care needs of the more clinically complex inmate-patients. This direction reduces the overall cost of improvements and increases health care efficiencies.

Along with the medical mission of institutions, inmate-patients will be categorized in one of four medical classifications:

- Clinically Complex High Medical Risk (a subset of the high medical risk inmate-patients) is the most clinically complex population;
- High Medical Risk is not clinically complex, but at high risk medically;
- Medium Medical Risk includes inmate-patients with one or more chronic conditions;
- Low Medical Risk is generally considered healthy and requires only basic care.

The intermediate care institutions will house mostly Clinically Complex High Medical Risk and High Medical Risk inmate-patients to maximize staffing efficiencies and reduce custody and transportation costs. The reception centers will house all medical classifications as they enter the correctional system and will be staffed at the intermediate level. Basic institutions will house low- and medium-risk inmate-patients and will need fewer staff than the intermediate institutions.

These medical improvements will reduce the state's reliance on expensive outside medical treatment and contract providers since the facilities in Stockton are designed to house and treat inmates requiring an inpatient level of care that may not be suitable for other institutions. In addition, the improvements and upgrades of existing health care space should create staffing efficiencies because the space will be more conducive to a clinical environment.

### Construction and Facility Improvements

As California's prison population gets older, it is important to have an appropriate number of medical beds for inmate-patients. The California Health Care Facility in Stockton will provide a total of 1,722 beds, of which 1,622 will be specialized housing beds for a population of seriously and chronically medically ill inmates requiring long term care. Its annex, the DeWitt Nelson Youth Correctional Facility, will provide a total of 1,133 beds, of which 953 will be health care beds, including 528 beds for specialized general population inmates requiring intermediate care.

### The Health Care Facility Improvement Program

The state must also address the aging infrastructure and inadequate treatment space in several prisons that hinder the department's ability to deliver care. This plan sets forth a health care facility improvement program that will provide upgrades in existing prisons to ensure adequate clinical and support service spaces are available to meet the treatment needs of inmate-patients. These improvements will address the facility needs of outpatient medical care throughout the entire adult prison system.

The health care facility improvement program will first target the 11 intermediate care prisons where inmates require more intensive medical care. Improvements will focus on addressing infection control issues such as handwashing facilities and the separation of clean and soiled supplies. They will also provide the physical separations necessary to provide inmate-patient privacy with nursing and physician staff as mandated by the federal Health Information Portability and Accountability Act.

Because of realignment, the scope of the health care facility improvement program has been refined to reduce the number of new exam rooms needed to serve the population, as well as the scope of improvements required at reception centers. Completion of the improvement program will provide the physical plant modifications required by the courts, help support the efficient provision of health care for the inmate population, and allow the department to achieve its objectives as outlined in the housing plan.

The health care facility improvement program overview outlines the existing system wide deficiencies, how the plan addresses those deficiencies, and the general scope of the improvements at the institutions. Legislative approval of this plan as proposed would authorize the health care facility improvement plan with individual projects to be established and overseen by the State Public Works Board.

### Acuity Based Medical Staffing

An acuity based medical staffing model is currently under development which will reflect the treatment needs of all inmates and provide standard staffing levels for various categories, ranging from the inmates with the highest medical need to those who are healthiest and typically only require common doctor visits and periodic check-ups. The model will be designed to provide flexibility to adjust staffing levels based on the changing needs of inmate-patients. While the specific staffing levels by institution are unknown at this time, it is anticipated that staffing reductions will occur once institutional, headquarters, and regional staffing are fully evaluated.

### **Utilization Management**

It is critical that the medical program continues to evaluate its operating policies and procedures related to providing care to inmate-patients and ensures that uniform practices are implemented statewide. Utilization management is essential for any health care delivery system and is a necessary component to ensure that the state maintains a medical system it can afford in the long-term. As the department refocuses on increasing access to inmate programming, overall inmate health is expected to improve.

While the existing utilization management program improves some consistency related to the delivery of medical care and drug prescribing, it is critical that regular performance evaluations are conducted to assess current practices and identify possible efficiencies at institutions. With the growing cost of medical care and pharmaceuticals, it is important that the medical program continually be evaluated to ensure that its policies and procedures align with those applied in the community. Lastly, the work begun and continuing by the Receivership—information technology solutions, reductions in the costs of pharmaceutical contracts and specialty care service contracts—must be continued in a manner that is the most cost-effective and appropriate for the population being served.

### Additional Efficiencies

As the medical program works toward a sustainable correctional health care system, critical components of this system will need to be regularly evaluated to ensure a sustainable, cost-effective system. Future programmatic reviews include:

- Impact of new facilities on the use of outside specialty care and contract providers;
- Impact of health care facility improvement projects on staffing needs;
- Staffing at reception centers as population declines or the need for reception center beds is reduced;
- The need for duplicate administrative functions once medical care is returned to the state; and
- Appropriate staffing and compensation levels.

### **Dental Care**

In 2006, the department stipulated to a court-ordered plan to improving its dental care system with the goal of ending the *Perez v. Cate* class action lawsuit. As part of that plan, the department resolved to improve the timely delivery of dental care to inmate-patients. To that end, in February 2010, the department implemented a scheduling, tracking and reporting database to ensure that

treatment occurred within acceptable timeframes. However, early reports showed low rates of compliance because many inmate-patients were delayed in reception centers where non-urgent dental care was unavailable. By March 2010, over 10,000 inmates were backlogged, awaiting treatment. While much of the backlog was reduced by policy and procedure changes in August 2010, realignment reduced crowding in the department's reception centers and timeframes improved significantly. Today, the backlog has been reduced to 208 inmates and the departmental compliance rate is 96 percent.

Besides addressing the backlog, there are two other outstanding components of the *Perez* plan. First, court experts must certify that each of the department's adult institutions has passed an audit demonstrating a constitutional level of care. As of today, 30 of the 33 institutions have passed these audits. The department expects the remaining institutions to pass their audits this summer, at which point the entire department will be deemed to be in compliance.

Second, the *Perez* litigation brought to light the fact that most of the department's existing dental facilities do not meet correctional safety and security standards, and do not allow for compliance with federal and state infection control standards. In order to meet state and federal compliance standards and the *Perez* stipulated plan, the department developed a limited program of capital outlay modifications to existing dental clinics at adult prisons. Two phases of this program have been initiated. Phase one is currently under construction and is scheduled for completion in the spring of 2013. Phase two will begin construction during 2012 and is scheduled for completion in the fall of 2013. Design of the third (and final) phase is scheduled to begin in the summer of 2012 and construction is scheduled to be completed during 2014. Since this construction is related to items such as infection control and the safety and security of staff and inmates within existing dental clinics, and was not scoped to increase the capacity of these clinics, the amount of construction required was not impacted by realignment.

### HOUSING

The department's comprehensive housing plan shows each component of the plan discussed so far, including construction, renovations, activations, closures, and changes to in-state and out-of-state contract beds (see Appendix B, F and G for additional details). The plan is based on the department's spring 2012 population projections. It takes into account changes in the inmate population as well as in the inmate classification score system.

The chart depicting available capacity versus population projections (Appendix G) is shown at six-month intervals for the first two years to coincide with court-ordered population benchmarks. Thereafter, the plan shows population at yearly intervals through June 30, 2016.

### **Additional Population Reduction Strategies**

The housing plan identifies two additional population reduction strategies. The first involves a change in law that would prevent civil addicts who are non-serious, non-violent, or non-sex registrants from coming to state prison. Realignment projections and funding assumed this change. The second is associated with the alternative custody program. The population as of December 2012 reflects expanded eligibility for this program.

### **Current Design Capacity**

The housing plan includes the deactivation and closure of the California Rehabilitation Center in Norco by June 2016 due to its age, dilapidated condition, and high operating costs. Because of the reduced female inmate population, Valley State Prison for Women will be converted to a level II male facility in the summer of 2013. Dormant beds at the Folsom Women's Facility will be repurposed and activated in 2013 to provide supplemental capacity for female offenders and to keep crowding levels manageable in the remaining two female facilities. Capacity also reflects the conversion of approximately 1,100 reception center beds back to their original purpose of housing general population inmates in a programming prison. The camp population reflects a decline in eligible inmates.

### **New Construction**

Without realignment, the state would have had to construct up to 9 new prisons to accommodate 31,500 offenders while also maintaining thousands of offenders in contract beds in order to meet court-ordered requirements related to population levels and health care. The inmate population reductions resulting from realignment significantly decrease the construction need. Assembly Bill 900 (Chapter 7, Statutes of 2007) appropriated approximately \$6 billion in lease revenue bond authority for construction of additional prison beds as well as improvements necessary for the delivery of medical, mental health, and dental care.

Currently, about \$1.2 billion of this funding has been allocated for projects that are underway and remain critical to the proposed housing plan. The Administration is now proposing legislation to eliminate approximately \$4.1 billion of the lease revenue bond authority in Assembly Bill 900, avoiding an estimated \$7.4 billion of future debt service payments. The remaining authority, approximately \$1.9 billion, will be sufficient to complete the necessary capacity projects currently underway and the health care facility improvement program.

The California Health Care Facility in Stockton will be activated by the summer of 2013, allowing the state to treat inmates in prison hospitals rather than in costly community settings. The department will repurpose DeWitt Nelson Youth Correctional Facility by June 2014 as an annex to the California Health Care Facility to provide a continuum of care, minimizing the need for costly community-based hospital beds and attendant transportation and guarding expenses. The department will also activate 109 mental health beds—64 at California Medical Facility and 45 at California Institution for Women—by June 2012.

### **Infill Construction**

The impacts of realignment, along with the adjustments to the inmate classification score system, place new pressure on level II housing, rather than more expensive level III and IV populations. The inmate classification study requires certain level II offenders to be housed within an electrified perimeter fence. The department has level II dorm capacity at several of the original 12 institutions constructed before the 1980s, but these older dorm facilities are in poor condition and require extensive special repair and capital outlay construction to maintain their operation. They are often not enclosed within an electrified perimeter fence and are not conducive to housing inmates requiring disabled accessibility or intermediate medical care, which limits the types of inmates that can be safely and appropriately housed within them.

This plan includes the replacement of the inefficient capacity lost with the closure of the California Rehabilitation Center with new, more efficient level II dorm capacity at the DeWitt annex and up to three other existing prisons. The department is requesting \$810 million of new lease revenue bond authority for the design and construction of three new level II dorm facilities at existing intermediate care prisons under the medical classification system. This request will be included as part of the spring update to the 2012-13 Governor's Budget.

These new facilities will use a flexible design originally developed for the substance abuse treatment program at the California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison at Corcoran. This design includes program space conducive to multiple types of inmate programming including substance abuse, medical and mental health treatment, and academic programs. Each housing unit will also accommodate up to 16 inmates who need wheelchair-accessible beds, addressing long-standing litigation concerns regarding a lack of capacity for disabled prisoners.

Each new facility will house approximately 800 inmates. Placing these new beds at existing facilities is more efficient because it takes advantage of the existing infrastructure and management of an established facility. Also, the on-going operating costs of dorm, versus celled facilities, reduces the long term costs of these beds.

### **Contract Capacity**

The department plans to eliminate out-of-state celled contract capacity by fiscal year 2015-16, resulting in the closure of four contract facilities and associated monitoring costs. As the state begins to return inmates from out-of-state facilities, it will reestablish up to 1,225 additional modified community correctional facility beds.

### **Population Density Levels**

In developing the housing plan, facilities were designated level I, II, III, and IV based on physical plant design and established operational standards, as well as security features such as cells, internal gun coverage, and security perimeters. Anticipated downward shifts in the male population based on classification changes were also taken into account. A plan was then developed that effectively balances populations by security level to achieve manageable population densities.

The plan establishes the following new standards for population density within specific unit types. Most general population housing units will be populated up to 150 percent of design capacity and out-of-cell time will be maximized. Some cells, due to size limitations, will be maintained at 100 percent and will have one inmate in one cell. The segregated housing units will have various population densities ranging from 100 percent to 125 percent based on the fact that these inmates are prone to violence toward cell mates. Mental health inmate-patients in the enhanced outpatient programs will have population densities tailored to physical plant design and the targeted population for each program.

The following chart shows the population density projected for fiscal year 2015-16 for male inmates before and after the implementation of the inmate classification score system project. Female offenders are not significantly affected by the classification changes because all levels are housed together. It is anticipated that female institutions will be populated at about 150 percent of design capacity. Reception center population density will remain consistent despite reduced offenders due to the state returning current reception center housing back to their original purpose of general population housing.

SECURITY	WITHOUT	WITH
LEVEL	ICSS	ICSS
Reception	157%	157%
Centers		
Level I	124%	124%
Level II	100%	157%
Level III	199%	154%
Level IV	181%	125%
Special	125%	125%
Condemned	100%	100%

A significant benefit of reduced crowding at prisons is the ability to move inmates to the appropriate prison in a timely manner. Inmates will no longer be idle in reception centers nor will they be held in administrative segregation units pending bed availability at another prison. This will result in savings as reception center and administrative segregation beds are more expensive to operate than general population settings. Inmates will now have the opportunity to move to prisons that have programming suited to their criminogenic needs, and more offenders can be maintained closer to their families. Because inmate housing and movements will stabilize, inmates will have a greater opportunity to complete programs and achieve better rehabilitative outcomes.

### **ADULT PAROLE OPERATIONS**

In 2007, the department's parole population reached an all-time high of 128,108 parolees. Today, as local communities assume responsibility for supervising a portion of the felons released from prison under realignment, the state population has reduced to approximately 88,000 parolees. By 2017, the parole population is projected to diminish to 28,980 offenders. This dramatic decline in the parole population provides a significant savings for the state, an incentive for local communities to become more invested in successful prisoner reentry, and an historic opportunity to recreate state parole by focusing resources on effective strategies for the most serious and violent parolees who remain under state supervision. Those strategies are set forth in the parole division's "Five Year Roadmap."

The Five Year Roadmap embraces emerging correctional practices shown to facilitate long-term behavioral changes in parolees and reduce recidivism. These practices include the use of a case management system that seamlessly follows offenders from prison to parole. The system is dynamic, assessment-driven, and tailored to individual risk and needs. As the department reduces parole agent caseloads, staff will be better equipped to use the case management system to assist parolees with stable housing, employment, and access to rehabilitative programs and community resources. These changes, developed in conjunction with researchers from the Center for Effective Public Policy, are part of a new outcomedriven parole model being implemented statewide. The Five Year Roadmap also includes greater use of electronic monitoring, reentry courts, better staff training, and the development of a system to track parole success.

The parole division has been able to implement these improvements in field supervision while significantly downsizing its headquarters operations. Staffing levels at parole headquarters have been reduced by approximately 50 percent within the past two years, and continue to downsize as part of realignment. The entire parole division budget has been recalibrated to ensure that it downsizes at a rate commensurate with the spring population projections. This includes its leases, contracts, equipment and fleet. (See Appendix A for a detailed breakdown of budget savings and staff reductions by year.)

### **Community Programs for Parolees**

Prior to realignment, the department's community-based rehabilitative programming for parolees was directed primarily toward lower-level, non-violent offenders. Due to the large number of parolees, however, the department was able to provide programs to only a small percentage of such offenders. The reduced parole population under realignment will allow the department to focus its resources on offenders with the highest risk and highest need, which will help to better prevent recidivism.

Under realignment, the parolee population will look very different than it does now and will require a more intense level of services. Lower-level offenders (non-violent, non-serious, and non-sex offenders) will ultimately not be supervised upon release by state parole agents, but instead will be placed under post-release community supervision. Those left under state supervision will be the higher-level offenders, for whom the department has not historically been able to provide substantial community-based programming. The department is working closely with its community-based providers to ensure that they are prepared and willing to provide treatment to this population.

Research shows that community-based reentry programs are most effective if applied during the first 12 months of release, when offenders are most likely to reoffend. As a result, the department will focus on programs during the first year of release. Currently, the department has the capacity to serve roughly 18 percent of first-year parolees who need substance-abuse treatment, 22 percent who need employment services, and 10 percent who need education programs. Because the chances for successful reentry increase for those with in-prison and pre-release programming, the department proposes to concentrate pre-release services at specified institutions (see section on In-Prison Programming). By building a continuum of community-based programs, by fiscal year 2013-14, approximately 70 percent of parolees who have a need for substance-abuse treatment, employment services, or education programs will have access to these services within their first year of release.

### Enhancing Parole Program Capacity

During fiscal year 2011-12, the division of rehabilitative programs assumed responsibility for a number of community-based programs that had been operated out of the division of adult parole operations, including the residential multi-service centers, the parolee service centers and the day reporting centers. Consolidating these programs into one division, along with the department's other substance-abuse treatment, employment, and reentry programs for parolees, has allowed the department to accomplish economies of scale because several of the community-based providers had previously contracted separately with both divisions. The department will be able to better direct and engage appropriate stakeholders, hold community-based providers accountable with greater efficiency, monitor outcomes with greater consistency, and reduce the department positions and costs associated with two divisions managing similar programs.

To help parolees successfully re-integrate into society, the department will develop a request for proposals or invitation for bid for a continuum of programs that will be available by fiscal year 2013-14. The contracts will be developed to meet the criminogenic needs of the higher risk post-realignment parolee in three program areas: 1) substance-abuse treatment, 2) employment, and 3) education.

Substance abuse treatment programs will include comprehensive residential programs provided by licensed providers contracted by the department or subcontracted via a revised regional or county-based service delivery structure; gender-responsive residential programs for women and their children through the female offender treatment and education program, or other modified service delivery structure; and outpatient and sober living environment treatment programs provided by community-based providers, contracted by the department or subcontracted via a revised regional or county-based service delivery structure.

Employment programs will include expanded use of transitional "work crew" programs, where parolees learn valuable work skills and earn money in real jobs while receiving needed support to pursue housing and other support services; residential employment programs, where parolees can reside for up to 180 days and are connected to job training and real jobs, in partnership with organizations that specialize in hard-to-employ individuals; and day reporting centers and other drop-in employment hubs, where parolees can access job training and workforce readiness programs, career technical education programs, and other employment services.

Education programs will include computer literacy learning centers, where parolees work with certified teachers to earn a general education development certificate or develop other computer-based literacy skills; and other education programs, perhaps in conjunction with the substance-abuse treatment and employment programs listed above.

The department expects a more comprehensive service delivery model that addresses a wider range of criminogenic needs by fiscal year 2013-14. Fiscal year 2012-13 will serve as a transition to the longer-term model. Consequently, there will be some adjustments to programs during fiscal year 2012-13 but no significant changes to service models. With the anticipated expansion, current service models will be able to accommodate 67 percent of parolees (within their first year of release) with a need for substance-abuse treatment, 59 percent with a need for employment-skills programs, and 35 percent with a need for education programs.

During this transitional year, the department will work with community-based providers to ensure that they have the appropriate training, technical assistance and support to adapt current models to the needs of the changing population. As a part of its new programming models for fiscal year 2013-14, the department will establish consistent, measurable performance matrices and will require by contract all community-based providers to submit performance reports to the department on a regular basis.

Appendix H lays out the division of rehabilitative programs' programming structure: 1) as it exists currently, 2) as it will exist in fiscal year 2012-13 during transition, and 3) as planned under the new service delivery models for fiscal year 2013-14.

### Female Residential Multi-Service Center

In addition to the female offender and treatment and employment program, the female residential multi-service center provides housing, sustenance, and gender-responsive services for eligible adult female parolees. The length of stay in this program ranges from 6 to 12 months. The female residential multi-service center provides a continuum of care and can also be used as a remedial sanction for parole violations, rather than jail placement. The objective of the program is to provide female offenders with a better opportunity to live a crime- and drug-free lifestyle, to improve their employment status and family relationships, and to reduce intergenerational crime and recidivism. The department has existing funding for this program. Despite the decline in the female parolee population, it is not anticipated at this time that there will be any changes to this program.

### **BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS**

The Board of Parole Hearings' two main functions are to conduct hearings to determine if indeterminately sentenced inmates ("lifers") should be granted parole and to conduct hearings to determine if parolees have violated the terms and conditions of their parole. While lifer suitability hearings have not been impacted by realignment, the parole revocation process has substantially changed in several ways that will result in state savings and better offender outcomes.

At the outset, the board will realize significant savings as the state parolee population decreases under realignment. The state parolee population is decreasing due to both fewer numbers of prisoners being released to parole as well as many low-level offenders now serving their sentences locally as a result of post-release community supervision. As the parolee population decreases, the number of parole revocation hearings will also decrease. In addition to fewer numbers of revocations, the state will also realize efficiencies as the revocation hearings are now held at county jails rather than decentralized revocation units.

Realignment will also transfer the revocation process to state trial courts on July 1, 2013, which results in overall savings to the state. The Judicial Branch received funding to address this workload. The courts will be able to more efficiently absorb this workload by reconciling it with existing revocation processes involving probationers and those subject to post-release community supervision. Transferring the parole revocation process to the courts should also effectively end the *Valdivia* class action lawsuit, if it has not been dismissed before then. The board is currently working with the judiciary to assist in developing their parole revocation hearing process.

As parole revocation hearings decrease, the board will continue to reduce staff. During fiscal year 2013-14, the board will stop conducting revocation hearings for parole violations occurring after July 1, 2013. Due to realignment, about 75 percent of the deputy commissioner positions will be eliminated; all field staff currently located in the board's decentralized revocation units will be eliminated; all of the board revocation representatives will be eliminated; about two-thirds of the associate chief deputy commissioners will be eliminated; the workforce development unit will be reduced by about 30 percent; the board's revocation scheduling unit will be reduced almost entirely, except for about five percent of the staff responsible for scheduling lifer suitability hearings, discharge reviews and mentally disordered offender hearings; and the quality control and human resources units will each be reduced by half.

After full implementation of realignment on July 1, 2013, the board will continue with lifer parole suitability hearings; medical parole hearings; investigations for pardons, commutations, and other matters; mentally disordered offender reviews; and sexually violent predator screenings. (See Appendix A for a detailed breakdown of budgetary savings and staff reductions by year.)

### **ADMINISTRATION**

The department's core public safety mandate is to provide safe and effective custody and supervision of offenders, and rehabilitative services to prevent further criminal behavior upon release. The department has 22 offices statewide that provide administrative support to custody operations, including accounting, human resources, information technology, and facility management. While the department has taken significant reductions in its administrative operations in recent years, particularly with the 2011-12 workforce cap reduction plan, this plan further reduces administration by \$68 million and about 200 positions in fiscal year 2012-13.

Some of these positions will be eliminated due to the declining offender populations under realignment. Ratio-driven positions such as personnel specialists or inmate trust accounting positions will be reduced accordingly. But many of the activities performed by administrative support staff will not decline due to the reduction in the offender populations. There will be the same number of information technology systems, a similar number of contracts and purchasing requests, albeit for smaller amounts, and an ongoing need for criminal intelligence analysis. Also, some offices have experienced increased activity associated with realignment, such as coordination with local law enforcement, labor negotiations associated with required operational changes, and human resources workload related to position and employee movement.

Much of the workload associated with administrative services and operations support is not directly related to the number of offenders or employees. However, a thorough review was conducted of these areas to determine the appropriate resource levels after realignment. This administrative review reconciled the budget, position, and contract need for fiscal year 2011-12 and developed a corresponding plan for fiscal year 2012-13. The evaluation determined whether adjustments were necessary based on projected population reductions or historical spending patterns and revisions were made accordingly.

The department will continually evaluate its administrative workload and make adjustments to appropriately align resources based on the level of support needed to adequately serve the department.

### **ACCOUNTABILITY**

The department is committed to achieving an efficient and effective correctional system through the implementation of this plan. Transparency, programmatic oversight, and fiscal accountability will help achieve this goal.

This plan proposes the necessary statutory changes that will enable stakeholders to view the progress the department makes as it implements this plan. The department proposes to codify specific data and fiscal reporting requirements for correctional facilities and parole operations estimates. These requirements will ensure regular reporting of facility capacity and usage, the success of rehabilitative programming, and the comparison of budgeted resources to actual expenditures to ensure that savings are met. This standard format for periodic reporting through the budget process will enable ongoing evaluation of the department's efforts to implement the operational changes required to more effectively supervise offenders in a fiscally responsible manner.

The department has worked closely with the Department of Finance to develop a thorough plan and identify the future fiscal projections based on the most current information. However, the dramatic fluctuation in resources combined with the significant operational changes limits the ability to rely on history for accurate trend information. Consequently, quarterly updates comparing budget authority, year-to-date expenditures and projections for the entire fiscal year will be provided so that the Administration can engage with the Legislature to recalibrate projections or address any changes that become necessary.

Because implementation of the plan requires a transition over time, it will be important to monitor the progress along the way. To provide independent oversight of the department, the Department of Finance's Office of State Audits and Evaluations will monitor implementation and provide annual reports through fiscal year 2015-16. These reports will address whether resources are being used consistent with the plan, any variances from the plan, and the transition from current staffing and contract models to the models envisioned after full implementation of the plan. The combination of regular reporting and external monitoring will ensure that the Administration and the Legislature can exercise appropriate fiscal oversight and continue the successful and cost-efficient operation of California's prison and parole systems.

### LEGAL

In recent years, the federal courts have become increasingly involved in the management of California's prison system. Although there are currently several major lawsuits against the department, three of the most significant ones (*Plata, Coleman,* and *Perez*) directly challenge the adequacy of the department's health care system. The courts in these cases influence or direct much of the department's medical, mental health, and dental care systems. In addition, the United States Supreme Court has upheld an order by a three-judge panel that the department reduce its prison population to a specific level by June 2013. Finally, a fourth major class action challenges the access to services and programs for disabled inmates.

The court-imposed requirements in these cases restrict the department's ability to make decisions about how to allocate limited resources. Combined, these cases result in annual litigation costs of millions of dollars, including payments to teams of lawyers as well as court-appointed experts and monitors. The department also incurs significant costs as a result of court-mandated staffing and operational requirements.

Plata v. Brown, which was filed in 2001, challenges the constitutional adequacy of the department's medical care system. In 2007, the federal court appointed a Receiver to run the department's medical care system. Since then, the system has seen significant improvements. In January 2012, the court recognized the substantial progress and instructed the parties to begin discussing termination of the Receivership and returning the medical care system to the state. The parties are currently engaging in those negotiations. The planned construction of medical facilities and upgrades to existing facilities will help ensure that the department can maintain satisfactory facilities with appropriate office and treatment space to serve the inmate population.

Coleman v. Brown, which was filed in 1991, challenges the constitutional adequacy of the department's mental health care system. The court has ordered the department to add more beds dedicated to inmates in need of mental health care. The court has also ordered the department to comply with a detailed, all-encompassing set of requirements related to the department's mental health care system. Finally, the court has appointed a Special Master who, along with his team of experts and lawyers, continually monitors all aspects of the mental health system. This plan is designed to meet the court's demands for a constitutionally adequate mental health care system with satisfactory bed capacity.

In 2007, the federal courts in *Plata* and *Coleman* convened a three-judge panel to address the deleterious effect prison overcrowding was having on the department's provision of medical and mental health care. Ultimately, the three-judge panel ordered the department to reduce its inmate population to 137.5 percent of prison design capacity by June 27, 2013. The court imposed three

incremental population-reduction benchmarks at six-month intervals leading up to the final June 2013 benchmark. California appealed the order to the United States Supreme Court. But last year the Supreme Court upheld the order requiring the state to reduce its prison population in compliance with the court-imposed benchmarks.

Because of the shrinking prison population under realignment, the department met the first benchmark in December 2011, and has now met the June 2012 benchmark two months early. The department's new spring projections also indicate the department will meet the December 2012 benchmark. But according to the projections, the department will fall a few percentage points short of meeting the final benchmark of 137.5 percent of prison design capacity in June 2013. By that time, the prison population is projected to drop to about 141 percent of design capacity. Assuming these projections hold true, additional measures will likely be needed to satisfy the Supreme Court's order. This plan sets forth effective alternative measures that will allow the department to satisfy the court by demonstrating that it can maintain a satisfactory health care system for a higher density prison population than is dictated in the order.

In fact, the Supreme Court specifically contemplated that modifications to the order may be warranted. The Court explained that as the state implements the order, "time and experience" may reveal effective ways of ensuring adequate health care—other than through population reductions. The state "will be free to move the three-judge court for modification of its order on that basis, and these motions would be entitled to serious consideration."

The reduced prison population has already aided the department's ability to provide quality health care. And as the population continues to drop, the quality of prison health care will only improve. New health care facilities and enhanced treatment and office space at existing prisons will enable the department to provide a quality health care system to a higher density prison population than the 137.5 percent of design capacity originally set by the court. This plan will put the department in a strong position to demonstrate that the order should be modified to allow the department to maintain a prison population at or under 145 percent of design capacity. Obtaining this modification will allow the state to comply with the order without having to maintain expensive out-of-state prison beds or release inmates early.

Armstrong v. Brown, which was filed in 1994, concerns the department's compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) for a class of inmates who have mobility, hearing, vision, or learning disabilities, or are on kidney dialysis. The court is still heavily involved in the department's compliance with the many requirements of the ADA. Currently the litigation is focused on issues related to facility compliance with the ADA. The health care improvement projects will help meet ADA requirements. While realignment is expected to decrease the number of disabled inmates, the number of inmates with mobility, hearing, vision, and other

disabilities protected by the ADA are expected to increase as the inmate population ages over time. The approval and implementation of this plan will help ensure that these issues are managed in a more efficient and successful manner, leading to dismissal of the case.

*Perez v. Brown*, filed in 2005, challenges the constitutional adequacy of the department's dental care system. The department is well on its way to resolving this case, and anticipates that all prisons will pass the audits by August 2012. To date, all 30 of 33 institutions have been reviewed by the experts and have satisfied all of the court-ordered mandates. *Perez* also has an important renovation related component, which has been approved and funded. The department anticipates that, with the approval and implementation of this plan, it will be able to seek termination of *Perez* in the coming year.

### **CONCLUSION**

Realignment created a real opportunity to end federal court oversight of the correctional system, while saving Californians billions of dollars. The prison system has already reduced its population by more than 22,000 inmates. While this population reduction is laying the groundwork for sustainable solutions, it does not resolve all of the challenges facing the department.

This blueprint delineates a clear and comprehensive plan to satisfy the Supreme Court's order, and allow California to regain and maintain control of the prison system for years to come. The population reduction brought on by realignment has improved the ability to provide quality health care, created the flexibility to implement new policies that will improve offender management, and increased opportunities for rehabilitative programming to reduce recidivism. To effectively implement these policies the department has reevaluated its entire operation, and set forth a plan to complete necessary facility improvements and redistribute resources to ensure safe operations.

Ultimately, the taxpayers benefit from realignment as California regains control of its prison system. The combined effect of the population reduction under realignment, new offender management policies, redistributing resources and reduced recidivism will be significant savings. The annual support budget will be reduced by \$1.5 billion, and when compared to the alternative of building and operating new prisons, the savings exceed \$3 billion annually. This blueprint represents a cost-effective strategy for California to ensure public safety and make available \$30 billion for other critical services such as education and health care in the next decade.

# Appendices A - H

	2012-13 Budget	2012-13 Position	2013-14 Budget	2013-14 Position	2014-15 Budget	2014-15 Position	2015-16 Budget	2015-16 Position
Operational Area	reduction	Reduction	Reduction	veduction	Reduction	reduction	Peduction	veduction
Headquarters and Program Admin Staffing and OE&E	68,007,000	204.8	76,707,000	204.8	78,686,000	224.8	78,686,000	224.8
DAI Staffing and OE&E	507,548,000	3,799.1	586,057,000	3,671.5	654,522,000	3,750.2	726,486,000	3,806.4
DCHCS Staffing and OE&E	32,576,000	266.5	36,011,000	287.6	35,500,000	257.2	35,500,000	283.9
DRP Staffing and OE&E	59,174,000	(26.2)	44,847,000	(192.5)	62,065,000	(192.5)	62,065,000	(192.5)
BPH Staffing and OE&E	17,666,000		57,612,000	269.2	59,670,000	269.2	59,321,000	269.2
Local Assistance	66,215,000		197,364,000	ı	212,786,000	ı	212,786,000	ı
DAPO Staffing and OE&E	248,772,000	1,244.3	318,629,000	1,790.9	355,134,000	2,122.4	368,939,000	2,238.1
Total Realignment Savings Estimate (MR):	000'856'666	5,549.1	1,317,227,000	6,031.5	1,458,363,000	6,431.3	1,543,783,000	6,629.9
				<b>Average Daily Population</b>	r Population			
Adult Inmate Average Daily Population	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
Total Adult Inmate ADP		129,961		125,934		124,225		123,446
Civil Addict Change		300		300		300		300
ACP Change		200		200		200		200
Total Adult Inmate ADP		129,461		125,434		123,725		122,946
Contract Bed ADP								
COCF		9,038		4,969		1,864		531
CCF		009		1,571		1,825		1,825
FRCCC		75		75		75		75
CPMP		24		24		24		24
Total Contract Bed ADP		9,737		6,639		3,788		2,455
Total Institution ADP (Includes Fire Camps):		119,724		118,795		119,937		120,491

Headquarters	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
Accounting	3,151,000	47.5	3,151,000	47.5	3,151,000	47.5	3,151,000	47.5
Budget Management Branch	1,000,000	1	1,000,000	1	1,000,000	ı	1,000,000	1
EIS-BIS-SOMS	3,648,000	28.5	3,648,000	28.5	3,648,000	28.5	3,648,000	28.5
Facilities Planning and Construction Management	13,000,000	28.5	21,700,000	28.5	21,700,000	28.5	21,700,000	28.5
Human Resources	2,092,000	23.0	2,092,000	23.0	3,425,000	37.0	3,425,000	37.0
Office of Business Services	1,400,000	11.5	1,400,000	11.5	1,400,000	11.5	1,400,000	11.5
Office of Internal Affairs	2,173,000	21.0	2,173,000	21.0	2,173,000	21.0	2,173,000	21.0
Office of Legal Affairs	1,075,000	10.3	1,075,000	10.3	1,075,000	10.3	1,075,000	10.3
Office of Labor Relations	161,000	1	161,000	ı	807,000	0.9	807,000	0.9
Office of the Ombudsman	1	ı	•	ı	1	1	1	1
Office of Legislation	ı	1		ı		1	ı	ı
Office of Research	•	ı	1	1	ı	ı	1	1
Office of Public and Employee Communication	000'009	2.0	600,000	2.0	600,000	2.0	000'009	2.0
Office of the Secretary	1,100,000	1.0	1,100,000	1.0	1,100,000	1.0	1,100,000	1.0
Office of Audits and Court Compliance	276,000	7.0	576,000	7.0	576,000	7.0	576,000	7.0
Office of Victim and Survivor Rights and Services	167,000	1	167,000	ı	167,000	ı	167,000	1
Regulation and Policy Management Branch	125,000		125,000	1	125,000		125,000	
Total Headquarters:	30,268,000	180.3	38,968,000	180.3	40,947,000	200.3	40,947,000	200.3
Program Administration								
Division of Health Care Services Administration	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5
Total Program Administration:	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5	9,739,000	24.5
Historical Redirections	29,000,000	•	29,000,000	1	29,000,000	ı	29,000,000	ı
Independent Review and Oversight (OSAE)	(1,000,000)	1	(1,000,000)	1	(1,000,000)	1	(1,000,000)	- (
Total Program and Administration:	000'200'89	204.8	76,707,000	204.8	78,686,000	224.8	78,686,000	224.8

Division of Adult Institutions	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
Adult Institutions Institution Staffing and OE&E Overtime AB 900	249,491,000 15,000,000 15,000,000	2,778.1	276,080,000 15,000,000	2,904.4	276,080,000 15,000,000	2,904.4	276,080,000 15,000,000	2,904.4
Total Adult Institution:	279,491,000	2,778.1	291,080,000	2,904.4	291,080,000	2,904.4	291,080,000	2,904.4
Contract Beds COCF CCF	81,806,000 80,661,000	184.7 117.6	180,959,000 62,441,000	262.7 92.8	263,250,000 48,627,000	348.6 85.6	302,718,000 48,623,000	404.8 85.6
Total Contract Bed:	162,467,000	302.3	243,400,000	355.5	311,877,000	434.2	351,341,000	490.4
Female Offender Program & Services								
FRCCC Contracts	29,366,000		29,366,000		29,366,000		29,366,000	•
ACP Contracts	(6,570,000)	1	(6,570,000)	ı	(6,570,000)	ı	(6,570,000)	ı
FIME CONTracts	807,000		796,000		784,000		784,000	
FRMSC Contracts	995,000	1	995,000	1	995,000	ı	995,000	1
Other Contracts	323,000		323,000		323,000	,	323,000	1
Staffing and OE&E	4,371,000	2.96	4,371,000	96.7	4,371,000	2.96	4,371,000	2.96
Total Female Offender Program & Services:	33,660,000	2.96	33,649,000	2.96	33,637,000	2.96	33,637,000	2.96
<b>DAI Administration</b> Headquarters Staffing and OE&E	3,953,000	42.1	10,930,000	63.0	10,930,000	63.0	10,930,000	63.0
Office of Training and Professional Development	25,518,000	576.9	4,539,000	248.9	4,539,000	248.9	4,539,000	248.9
Office of Peace Officer Selection Office of Correctional Safety	2,459,000	3.0	2,459,000	3.0	2,459,000	3.0	2,459,000	3.0
Total DAI Administration:	31,930,000	622.0	17,928,000	314.9	17,928,000	314.9	17,928,000	314.9
Construction Projects	,		,		,	ı	(42 500 000)	ı
Infill #2		,	1	,	1	•	(42,500,000)	ı
Infill #3	•				1		(42,500,000)	1
Closure of CRC	1	1	1	1	ı	1	160,000,000	ı
Total Construction Projects:	1					1	32,500,000	'
Total Division of Adult Institutions:	507,548,000	3,799.1	586,057,000	3,671.5	654,522,000	3,750.2	726,486,000	3,806.4

Division of Correctional Health Care Services	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
Mental Health Dental	3,418,000 29,158,000	26.7 239.8	3,418,000 32,593,000	26.7 260.9	3,418,000 32,082,000	26.7 257.2	3,418,000 32,082,000	26.7 257.2
Total Division of Correctional Health Care Services:	32,576,000	266.5	36,011,000	287.6	35,500,000	283.9	35,500,000	283.9
				Savings Estimate	stimate			
Division of Rehabilitative Programs	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
Academic and Vocational Education Substance Abuse Programs Administration Community Based Programs	(19,060,000) 76,142,000 2,092,000	(26.2)	(31,088,000) 60,921,000 2,092,000 12,922,000	(192.5)	(13,870,000) 60,921,000 2,092,000 12,922,000	(192.5)	(13,870,000) 60,921,000 2,092,000 12,922,000	(192.5)
Total Division of Rehabilitative Programs:	59,174,000	(26.2)	44,847,000	(192.5)	62,065,000	(192.5)	62,065,000	(192.5)
				Savings Estimate	stimate			
Board of Parole Hearings	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
CalPAP Contract Hearing Space Contract Valdivia/Revocation Workload/Administration Lifer Hearing/Transcription Service Adjustments	10,425,000 3,800,000 2,681,000 760,000	- 60.6	22,635,000 3,902,000 30,315,000 760,000	269.2	24,635,000 3,902,000 30,373,000 760,000	269.2	24,635,000 3,902,000 30,024,000 760,000	269.2
Total Board of Parole Hearings:	17,666,000	9.09	57,612,000	269.2	59,670,000	269.2	59,321,000	269.2

Local Assistance	2012-13 Budget Reduction	2012-13 Position Reduction	2013-14 Budget Reduction	2013-14 Position Reduction	2014-15 Budget Reduction	2014-15 Position Reduction	2015-16 Budget Reduction	2015-16 Position Reduction
Adult Parole Local Assistance	000'266'09		87,974,000	-   	92,974,000		92,974,000	
Adult Probation Local Assistance	(000,689)	,	103,483,000	ı	113,905,000	ı	113,905,000	1
District Attorney Local Assistance	4,504,000	,	4,504,000	ı	4,504,000	ı	4,504,000	1
Juvenile Parole Local Assistance	1,403,000	1	1,403,000	1	1,403,000	1	1,403,000	1
Total Local Assistance:	66,215,000		197,364,000		212,786,000		212,786,000	

## Division of Adult Parole Operations Multi-Year Savings and Position Reduction Figures

		Parole Population	n Projections	
Population Projections	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Active Parolees				
Felon/Other/HCPAL	37,183	18,495	8,921	6,245
EOP	4,607	4,612	4,616	4,619
2nd Striker	11,793	11,833	11,873	11,914
HRSO	3,946	4,059	4,169	4,282
Non-HRSO	6,323	6,487	6,604	6,688
Non-Felons	688	497	373	-
Pending Deportation	1,763	1,149	891	823
DII	450	285	-	-
NRP Parolees	-	-	-	-
PALs	5,613	3,381	2,374	1,745
Total Parole Population:	72,366	50,798	39,821	36,316

		Staffing No	eed	
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
DAPO Headquarters				
Executive	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.
Operations	31.0	30.0	28.0	21.
Appeals	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.
PACT (HQ)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
Support Services	12.0	12.0	11.0	8.
Health Management	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.
Litigation	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
CASOMB	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.
Interstate Compact	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0
Electronic Monitoring	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.
Warrant	27.0	23.0	20.0	20.
Valdivia (HQ)	19.0	9.5	9.5	9.
PDU/OSATS	37.0	36.0	35.0	34.
Armstrong (HQ)	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.
Case Records (North and South)	144.0	144.0	94.0	94.
Parole Planning and Placement	138.0	128.0	100.0	80.
Training	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.
Total DAPO Headquarters:	495.0	469.5	384.5	352.
DAPO Field				
Regional Admin (I, II, III, and IV)	63.0	53.0	46.0	39.0
Field Admin	30.0	25.0	20.0	16.
Re-Entry	27.0	22.0	18.0	14.
CALPU	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
POC Admin (non-ratio)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.
Armstrong Units	44.0	42.0	40.0	37.
Valdivia (Admin and Field Agents)	101.0	50.5	50.5	50.
PACT (Admin and Field Agents)	15.0	15.0	15.0	10.
Parole Agent Ratios	1,862.4	1,416.7	1,188.5	1,126.
Parole Outpatient Clinics (Ratio)	209.5	206.6	206.3	207.
Total DAPO Field:	2,382.9	1,861.8	1,615.3	1,531.6
Total DAPO Staff:	2,877.9	2,331.3	1,999.8	1,884.1

## Division of Adult Parole Operations Multi-Year Savings and Position Reduction Figures

		DAPO Budg	et Costs	
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
DAPO Personal Services				
Staff Salaries and Wages/Benefits	329,135,000	263,980,000	230,014,000	217,448,000
Overtime/Temp Help	3,790,000	2,515,000	2,503,000	2,499,000
Total DAPO Personal Services:	332,925,000	266,495,000	232,517,000	219,947,000
DAPO OE&E				
Leases	24,608,000	22,147,000	20,917,000	19,686,000
/ehicles	12,937,000	9,842,000	8,418,000	8,017,000
Sex Offender Treatment/Polygraph	21,671,000	27,435,000	28,178,000	28,942,000
GPS Devices	22,890,000	23,390,000	23,800,000	24,156,000
Psychotropic Medication & Lab Svcs	19,459,000	19,103,000	19,092,000	19,174,000
Casework Services	6,486,000	6,609,000	6,710,000	6,797,000
Clinician Training and Travel	83,000	82,000	82,000	82,000
Utilities	1,679,000	1,511,000	1,427,000	1,343,000
Specialized Waste Removal	30,000	28,000	27,000	26,000
Fraining	245,000	198,000	170,000	160,00
T Contracts	507,000	507,000	507,000	507,000
SMIP	8,083,000	6,624,000	6,624,000	6,624,000
ГСМР	8,731,000	8,244,000	7,514,000	6,785,000
Broadband Internet Cards	279,000	286,000	292,000	298,000
Other Standard Comp OE&E	3,734,000	1,989,000	1,701,000	1,636,000
Total DAPO OE&E:	131,422,000	127,995,000	125,459,000	124,233,000
Total New DAPO Budget Need:	464,347,000	394,490,000	357,976,000	344,180,000
Base DAPO Appropriation	2012-13	DAPO Savings 2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Program 30	481,260,000	481,260,000	481,260,000	481,260,000
Program 31	140,824,000	140,824,000	140,824,000	140,824,000
Program 32	91,035,000	91,035,000	91,035,000	91,035,000
Program 32	91,035,000	91,035,000	91,035,000	
Fotal Base DAPO Appropriation:	713,119,000	713,119,000	713,119,000	713,119,000
Total DAPO Savings:	248,772,000	318,629,000	355,143,000	368,939,000
Total DAPO Starting Positions:	4,122.2	4,122.2	4,122.2	4,122.2
Total DAPO Position Needs:	2,877.9	2,331.3	1,999.8	1,884.1
Total DAPO Position Reductions:	1,244.3	1,790.9	2,122.4	2,238.1



**Avenal State Prison** 

# AVENAL STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	6.0	0.0
	Captain	8.0	5.0	-3.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	33.9	32.4	-1.5
·	Sergeant	98.5	87.8	-10.7
	Officer	765.1	690.3	-74.8
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	2.0	0.0
	CCII	14.0	9.0	-5.0
	CCI	39.3	30.0	-9.3
Support Services	Total PY	175.7	142.0	-33.7
Canteen	Total PY	10.0	10.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	46.1	38.8	-7.3
Personnel	Total PY	21.6	22.0	0.4
Plant Operations	Total PY	57.7	64.0	6.3
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	47.0	50.0	3.0
Vocation	Total PY	16.0	19.0	3.0
Dental	Total PY	49.5	39.0	-10.5
Mental Health	Total PY	39.0	51.5	12.5
Custody	Subtotal	968.8	864.5	-104.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	317.1	282.8	-34.3
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	63.0	69.0	6.0
Health Care	Subtotal	88.5	90.5	2.0
Institution Total		1,437.4	1,306.8	-130.6

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# AVENAL STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
		1											
	LITY A	400	405		0.0	- V		· ·					
Bldg 110	270 Dorm	130	195		GP	X		X	X				
Bldg 120	270 Dorm	130	195		GP	X		X	X				
Bldg 130	E-Dorm	100	150	II N/A	GP	X		Х	Х				
Bldg 140	270 Cells	100 460	125 665	N/A	ASU	Х							
Total		400	000										
FACII	JITY B	1											
Bldg 210	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	х		Х	Х				
Bldg 220	E-Dorm	100	150		SNY	X		X	X				
Bldg 230	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	X		X	X				
Bldg 250	270 Dorm	130	195	"	SNY	x		X	X				
Total	270 DOIIII	490	735	11	SIVI				^				
Total		400	700										
FACIL	ITY C	Ī											
Bldg 310	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	Х		Х	Х				
Bldg 320	E-Dorm	100	150	II	SNY	Х		Х	Х				
Bldg 330	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	Х		Х	Х				
Bldg 350	270 Dorm	130	195	ii ii	SNY	X		X	X				
Total		490	735	-	5777								
FACIL	JTY D												
Bldg 410	270 Dorm	130	195	II.	SNY	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Bldg 420	E-Dorm	100	150	II.	SNY	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Bldg 430	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Bldg 450	270 Dorm	130	195	=	SNY	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Total		490	735										
		•											
FACIL	LITY E												
Bldg 510	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х		Х	Х				X
Bldg 520	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х		X	Х				Х
Bldg 530	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х		Х	Х				Х
Bldg 550	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х		Х	Х				Х
Total		490	735										
		1											
	LITY F						1		I -	-		1	
Bldg 610	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Bldg 630	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	X		X	X	X			
Bldg 640	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	X		X	X	X			
Bldg 650	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х		Х	Х	Х			
Total		490	735										
Fi		ī											
	ouse	40	40		65				I			1	
Firehouse		10	10	I	GP								L
GRAND	TOTAL	2,920	4,350			539	2,419	1,188	486	156	20		

	% O	F STAF	FED CAF	PACITY	
12%	56%	27%	11%	4%	0%

## AVENAL STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

ASP will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC Staff		1-12	EV 1	2-13	FY 13-14			
Principal		.0		.0	1.0			
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	3.0			
Office Technician		.0		.0	3.0			
Office Assistant		.0		.0	1.0			
Senior Librarian		.0		.0	1.0 1.0			
Librarian	_	.0		.0				
Library Technical Ass't Tester					3.0			
		.0		.0	2.0			
Teaching Assistant		12.0		.0	4.0			
Television Specialist		1.0 1.0		.0	1.0			
PE Teacher (Coach)				.0	1.0			
TOTALS	30	30.0		1.0	21.0			
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Rudgatad	Proposed	Budgatad		
Academic Education			Staff	Budgeted	Staff	Budgeted Capacity		
General Population	<b>Staff</b> 15.0	Capacity 810	19.0	Capacity 1,026	23.0	1,242		
Isolated Population	15.0	0	19.0	0	23.0	0		
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	6.0	720	6.0	720		
TOTALS	18.0	1,170	25.0	1,746	29.0	1,962		
TOTALS	10.0	1,170	25.0	1,740	29.0	1,902		
	And and	Decile 4 de	Daniel	Decision to d	Daniel	Declarated		
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Carpentry		0		0		0		
Computer Literacy		0		0	2.0	54		
Cosmetology		0		0		0		
Electric Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Electronics	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54		
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	2.0	54		
Machine Shop		0		0		0		
Masonry		0		0		0		
Office Technologies	5.0	135	4.0	108	4.0	108		
Plumbing	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Sheet Metal		0		0		0		
Small Engine Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
TBD		0		0	2.0	54		

## AVENAL STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Staff	FY 11-12		FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288 0	156	374	192	384 960	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0		0	0	288		
Lifer Program	0	0 0		0	TBD	TBD	
TOTALS	120	288	156	374	480	1,344	
Employment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	20	228	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	-	1,436	_	1,007	-	1,007	
TOTALS	0	1,436	20	1,235	20	1,235	
ADDITIONAL INMATE AC	TIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	381		38	81	381		
Support Services Assignments	2,419		2,4	119	2,419		
TOTALS	2,8	00	2,8	300	2,800		
Total Annual Capacity *	6,0			533	7,854		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**California Correctional Center** 

## CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL CENTER STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes		
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0		
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0		
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0		
	Captain	7.0	6.0	-1.0		
Custody**	Lieutenant	45.1	20.4	-24.7		
	Sergeant	82.3	81.0	-1.3		
	Officer	566.5	500.6	-65.9		
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0		
Co. Collonal Coundolor	CCII	7.0	7.5	0.5		
	CCI	35.1	23.0	-12.1		
	001	00.1	23.0	-12.1		
Command Committee	Total PY	135.1	407.5	7.0		
Support Services	Total PY	7.0	127.5	-7.6		
Support Services (Camps)	Total PY	7.0	8.0	1.0		
Canteen	Total PY	9.0	9.0	0.0		
Canteen (Camps)	Total PY	1.0	1.0	0.0		
Carneeri (Carnps)	Total i	1.0	1.0	0.0		
Food Services	Total PY	25.6	24.8	-0.8		
Food Services (Camps)	Total PY	3.0	3.0	0.0		
, , ,						
Personnel	Total PY	18.4	19.0	0.6		
Plant Operations	Total PY	55.0	53.0	-2.0		
Plant Operations (Camps)	Total PY	2.0	3.0	1.0		
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0		
Education	Total PY	29.0	31.0	2.0		
Vocation	Total PY	8.0	11.0	3.0		
Dental	Total PY	35.5	34.0	-1.5		
Mental Health	Total PY	3.0	11.0	8.0		
	Cubinini	754.0	640.5	104.5		
Custody	Subtotal	751.0	646.5	-104.5		
Non Custody	Subtotal	262.1	254.3	-7.8		
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	37.0	42.0	5.0		
Health Care	Subtotal	38.5	45.0	6.5		
Institution Total		1,088.6	987.8	-100.8		

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL CENTER HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
EACII	LITY A	ī											
Abel Unit	Dorm	224	336	1	GP								$\overline{}$
		<b>†</b>										<del>                                     </del>	
Baker Unit	Dorm	224	336	•	GP OB							$\vdash$	$\vdash$
Charlie Unit	Dorm	160	240	<u> </u>	GP OB							<del> </del>	
Arnold Unit	Dorm	242	242	I	GP								
Total		850	912										
FACIL	LITY B												
Delta Unit	Dorm	224	336	II	GP								
Echo Unit	Dorm	224	336	II	GP								
Fox Unit	Dorm	160	240	II	GP								
Total		608	912										
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	725										
_		-											1
	nps	400	100		CMD			1			1		_
Camp #1 Camp #2	Dorm Dorm	120 100	120 100	l I	CMP CMP							<u> </u>	
Camp #3	Dorm	120	120	l I	CMP								
Camp #4	Dorm	120	120	i	CMP								
Camp #5	Dorm	100	100	i	CMP								
Camp #6	Dorm	100	100	ı	CMP								
Camp #7	Dorm	100	100	- 1	CMP								
Camp #8	Dorm	120	120	l l	CMP								
Camp #9	Dorm	80	80 100	l l	CMP CMP							Ļ—	
Camp #10 Camp #11	Dorm Dorm	100 100	100	l	CMP							<del> </del>	$\vdash$
Camp #11	Dorm	100	100		CMP							-	<del>                                     </del>
Total	Dom	1260	1260		Civil								
Total		1200	1200									ļ	
Fireh	iouse	Ī											
Firehouse 1		8	8	1	GP								
Firehouse 2		9	9	i	GP				t				$\vdash \vdash$
Total		17	17		5								
Total													
GRAND	TOTAL	3,235	3,826			0	1,454	540	297	0	0		

## CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL CENTER PROGRAMMING PLAN

CCC will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDU	JCATION					
Staff		1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal		.0		.0		.0
Assistant Principal	3	.0		.0	2.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0	2.0	
Office Assistant		2.0		1.0		.0
Senior Librarian	1	.0	1	1.0		.0
Librarian	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0
Tester		.0	2	.0	2	.0
Teaching Assistant	3	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Television Specialist	1	.0	2	.0	2	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	2	.0	2	.0
TOTALS	17	<b>'.0</b>	17	7.0	17	7.0
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity
General Population	8.0	432	8.0	432	8.0	432
Isolated Population	1.0	108	1.0	108	1.0	108
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	5.0	600	5.0	600
TOTALS	13.0		14.0	1,140	14.0	
TOTALS	13.0	1,020	14.0	1,140	14.0	1,140
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Auto Repair	2.0	54	1.0	27	1.0	27
Building Maintenance		0	1.0	27	2.0	54
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Plumbing		0		0	1.0	27
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
TBD		0		0	0.0	0
TOTALS	7.0	189	9.0	243	11.0	297

# CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL CENTER PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,454	1,454	1,454
TOTALS	1,454	1,454	1,454

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**California Correctional Institution** 

## CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	6.0	1.0
	Captain	8.0	8.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	42.7	30.5	-12.2
,	Sergeant	131.9	96.0	-35.9
	Officer	1,123.3	952.6	-170.7
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Correctional Counselor	CCII	17.0	11.0	-6.0
	CCI	47.9	30.0	-17.9
Support Services	Total PY	202.6	147.0	-55.6
Canteen	Total PY	9.0	9.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	31.0	35.6	4.6
Personnel	Total PY	27.5	25.0	-2.5
Plant Operations	Total PY	79.0	65.0	-14.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	38.0	40.0	2.0
Vocation	Total PY	10.0	12.0	2.0
Dental	Total PY	40.0	38.0	-2.0
Mental Health	Total PY	69.8	64.0	-5.8
Custody	Subtotal	1,380.8	1,137.1	-243.7
Non Custody	Subtotal	355.1	287.6	-67.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	48.0	52.0	4.0
Health Care	Subtotal	109.8	102.0	-7.8
Institution Total		1,893.7	1,578.7	-315.0

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK	DPP FACILITY
FACIL	_ITY A												
Unit 1	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 2	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 3	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 4	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 5	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 6	180 Cells	62	78	N/A	ASU								
Unit 7	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								
Unit 8	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								
Total		500	610										
		•											
FACIL	ITY B					,						•	
Unit 1	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 2	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 3	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 4	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 5	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 6	180 Cells	62	74	N/A	SHU								
Unit 7	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								
Unit 8	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								
Total		500	600										
		Ī											
	LITY C			1								1	
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Total		500	750										
FACIL	ITV D	Ī											
	LITY D	00	400		ONIV	- V							1
Dorm 1	Dorm	80	120	II	SNY	X							
Dorm 2	Dorm	80	120	II II	SNY	X							
Dorm 3	Dorm	80	120	II II	SNY	X							
Dorm 4	Dorm	80 80	120	II II	SNY	X							
Dorm 5 Dorm 6	Dorm Dorm	80	120 120	ll ll	SNY	x							
Dorm 7	Dorm	80	120	II	SNY	x							
Dorm 8	Dorm	80	120	ll ll	SNY	x							
RestHouse	Cells	24	30	ii	ASU	x							
Total	00110	664	990	"	7.00	_							
rotai		- 557	- 550										
FACII	LITY E												
Briggs	Dorm	104	156	ı	SNY	Х							
Clark	Dorm	160	240	i	SNY	х							
Davis	Dorm	74	111	i	SNY	x							
Fire House	Dorm	10	10	i	SNY	Х							
Rex Deal	Dorm	80	120	i	SNY	Х							
Van Wess	Dorm	117	176	i	SNY	X							
Willard	Dorm	74	111	i	SNY	Х							
Total		619	924		2277								

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
7%	25%	24%	8%	4%	1%			

## CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION PROGRAMMING PLAN

CCI will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.


Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION					
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1.0	
Assistant Principal	3	.0	2	.0	2.0	
Office Technician	2	.0	2	.0	2.0	
Office Assistant	1	.0	1.0		1	.0
Senior Librarian	2	2.0		1.0		.0
Librarian	3	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Library Technical Ass't	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Tester	2	.0	3	.0	3	.0
Teaching Assistant	7	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0
TOTALS	24	l.0	16	6.0	16	6.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	9.0	486	13.0	702	17.0	918
Isolated Population	2.0	216		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	7.0	840	7.0	840
TOTALS	15.0	1,182	20.0	1,542	24.0	1,758
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	2.0	54	2.0	54	3.0	81
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electric Work		0		0		0
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
TBD		0		0		0
TOTALS	9.0	243	11.0	297	12.0	324

## CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	138	331	96	192	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	144	480	
TOTALS	120	288	138	331	240	672	
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	20	228	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	831	-	831	
TOTALS	0	0	20	1,059	20	1,059	
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	TIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	25	55	255		255		
Support Services	95	55	9	55	9.	55	
Assignments					933		
TOTALS	1,2	10	1,2	210	1,210		
Total Annual Capacity *	2,9	23	4,4	139	5,023		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California Institution for Men

# CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR MEN STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	7.0	6.0	-1.0
	Captain	7.0	6.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	35.1	23.9	-11.3
,	Sergeant	130.1	108.8	-21.3
	Officer	979.5	674.1	-305.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	4.0	2.0	-2.0
	CCII	15.4	10.0	-5.4
	CCI	56.9	26.0	-30.9
Support Services	Total PY	244.2	146.0	-98.2
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	41.5	36.4	-5.1
Personnel	Total PY	25.8	24.0	-1.8
Plant Operations	Total PY	72.0	65.0	-7.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	6.0	1.0
Education	Total PY	25.0	38.0	13.0
Vocation	Total PY	3.0	15.0	12.0
Dental	Total PY	37.0	35.0	-2.0
Mental Health	Total PY	93.4	99.0	5.6
Custody	Subtotal	1,238.0	858.8	-379.2
Non Custody	Subtotal	395.5	284.4	-111.1
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	28.0	53.0	25.0
Health Care	Subtotal	130.4	134.0	3.6
Institution Total		1,791.9	1,330.2	-461.7

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

### CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR MEN HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	1007.4	1											
	LITY A		100		0111/				1				
Angeles	Dorm	80	120	II 	SNY							Х	$\vdash$
Borrego	Dorm	80	120	II 	SNY								
Cleveland	Dorm	80	120	II II	SNY								$\vdash$
Joshua	Dorm	80	120	II II	SNY								
Laguna	Dorm	80	120 120		SNY								
Mariposa	Dorm	80 80	120	II II	SNY								
Otay	Dorm	80	120	II	SNY								
Sequioia	Dorm			II.	SNY								
Total		640	960										
FACIL	JITY B	I											
Birch	Cells (O/U)	154	154	N/A	RC/PWC								х
Cypress	Cells (O/U)	102	102	N/A	ASU								X
Madrone	Cells (O/U)	102	102	N/A	RC								X
Palm	Cells (O/U)	102	102	N/A	ASU								X
Sycamore	Cells (O/U)	102	102	N/A	RC								Х
Total	Colle (C/C)	562	562	1477	110								
7 010.		302	002										
FACIL	LITY C												
Alpine	Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Butte	Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Colusa	Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Del Norte	Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Total		400	600										
FACIL	LITY D												
Alder Hall	Dorm	100	150		GP	Х							Х
Spruce Hall	Dorm	100	150	I	GP	Х							Х
Willow Hall	Dorm	100	150	I	GP	Х							Х
Magnolia Hall	Dorm	100	150	I	GP	Х						X	Х
Juniper Hall	Dorm	100	150	I	GP	Х							Х
West Dorm	Cells	224	336	I	GP	Х							Х
South Dorm	Cells	52	78	I	GP	Х							Х
Redwood	Dorm	100	150		GP	Х			ļ				Х
Elm Hall	Dorm	156	234	I	GP	Х							Х
Cedar Hall	Dorm	100	150	ı	GP	Х							Х
Pine Hall	Dorm	100	150	1	GP	Х							Х
Oak Hall	Dorm	100	150		GP	Х			ļ				X
Firehouse	Dorm	10	10	ı	GP	Х							Х
Total		1342	2008										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,944	4,130			275	1,297	810	405	156	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

7% 31% 20% 10% 4% 0%

## CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR MEN PROGRAMMING PLAN

CIM will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1.0		
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	1.0		
Office Technician	_#	.0		.0	1.0		
Office Assistant		.0	1.0			.0	
Senior Librarian		2.0		.0		.0	
Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester	2	.0	4	.0	4	.0	
Teaching Assistant	3	.0	3	.0	3	.0	
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS		5.0	16	6.0	16	6.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	3.0	162	9.0	486	15.0	810	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	7.0	840	7.0	840	7.0	840	
TOTALS	10.0	1,002	16.0	1,326	22.0	1,650	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Carpentry	1.0	27	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	2.0	54	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electric Work		0		0	1.0	27	
Electronics		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0	1.0	27	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Office Technologies		0		0	1.0	27	
Plumbing	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0	1.0	27	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
<u> </u>							
TBD		0		0	1.0	27	

# CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR MEN PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	156	374	192	384	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	288	960	
TOTALS	120	288	156	374	480	1,344	
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	20	288	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	1,093	-	1,101	-	1,101	
TOTALS	0	1,093	20	1,389	20	1,329	
ADDITIONAL INMATE AC	CTIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	40	05	405		405		
Support Services Assignments	1,297		1,297		1,297		
TOTALS	1,7	02	1,702		1,702		
Total Annual Capacity *	4,1	66	5,0	034	6,430		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California Institution for Women

## CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	4.0	-1.0
	Captain	5.0	3.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	25.5	19.8	-5.7
	Sergeant	63.3	60.4	-2.9
	Officer	372.2	385.7	13.5
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	3.0	1.0	-2.0
	CCII	7.5	6.0	-1.5
	CCI	16.0	12.0	-4.0
Support Services	Total PY	93.8	94.0	0.2
Camp Support	Total PY	1.0	1.0	0.0
Canteen	Total PY	4.0	4.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	16.1	14.2	-1.9
Personnel	Total PY	13.2	18.0	4.8
Plant Operations	Total PY	44.0	42.0	-2.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	22.0	21.0	-1.0
Vocation	Total PY	3.0	5.0	2.0
Dental	Total PY	25.0	19.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	100.3	81.7	-18.6
Custody	Subtotal	499.5	493.9	-5.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	177.1	178.2	1.1
Inmate Program	Subtotal	25.0	26.0	1.0
Health Care	Subtotal	125.3	100.7	-24.6
Institution Total		826.9	798.8	-28.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
		r											
RC H													
Hall 1-4	Rooms	116	174	W	GP								$\square$
Total		116	174										
		r											
DOF			1						1	1			
Barneberg	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х							
Emmons	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х							
Harrison	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х				ļ			
Latham	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х							
Miller	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х							$\vdash$
Wilson	Dorm	120	180	W	GP	Х							
Total		720	1080										
		ſ											
G													
SP HU TIER 1	270	10	10	W	ASU EOP								
SP HU TIER 1	270	30	38	W	ASU								
SP HU TIER 1	270	10	10	W	SHU							Х	
SP HU TIER 2	270	50	50	W	SHU							Х	
Total		100	108										
		r											
so	CU									_			
North	Dorms	24	36	W	EOP							Х	
West	Dorms	23	35	W	EOP							Х	
East	Cells	23	20	W	PSU							Х	
Total		70	91										
CAN													
Camp #1	Camp	100	100	W	CMP								
Total		100	100										
Med	lical	Ī											
OHU	OHU	10	10	W	CMP					l			
Total		10	10										
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
IC		4-	4-	1 100	105/40: 75								
PIP Total	ICF	45 45	45 45	W	ICF/ACUTE								
Total		45	45										
WAL	KER												
North	Rooms	10	10	W	Infant Mother								
South	Rooms	10	10	W	Infant Mother								
Total		20	20										
												l	
GRAND	TOTAL	1,181	1,627			128	406	314	81	138	20		
												l	

	% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
8%	25%	19%	5%	8%	1%				

## CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN PROGRAMMING PLAN

CIW will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Assistant Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Office Technician	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Office Assistant	1	.0	1.0		1	.0	
Senior Librarian	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Librarian	1	.0	1	.0	1	0	
Library Technical Ass't	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	
Tester	1	.0	2.0		2	.0	
Teaching Assistant	5	.0	2.0		2	.0	
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS	13	3.0	12	2.0	12	2.0	
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	
General Population	6.0	324	6.0	324	6.0	324	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	3.0	360	3.0	360	
TOTALS	9.0	684	9.0	684	9.0	684	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0		0		0	
Cosmetology	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Electric Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0		0	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0	1.0	27	
TOTALS	3.0	81	4.0	108	5.0	135	

## CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN PROGRAMMING PLAN

I FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
	1 12		2 10			
Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
120	288	138	331	96	192	
0	0	0	0	120	384	
120	288	138	331	216	576	
Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
0	0	20	288	20	288	
0	626	-	249	20	249	
0	626	20	537	40	537	
TIVITIES						
14	15	14	45	14	45	
40	06	40	06	406		
55	51	5	51	55	51	
	120	Slots   Capacity     120   288     0   0	Slots         Capacity         Slots           120         288         138           0         0         0           120         288         138           Program Slots           0         0         20           0         626         -           0         626         20           TIVITIES           145         14	Slots         Capacity         Slots         Capacity           120         288         138         331           0         0         0         0           120         288         138         331           Program Slots         Annual Served         Served         Served           0         0         20         288           0         626         -         249           0         626         20         537           TIVITIES           406         406	Slots         Capacity         Slots         Capacity         Slots           120         288         138         331         96           0         0         0         120           120         288         138         331         216           Program Slots         Annual Served         Program Slots           0         0         20         288         20           0         626         -         249         20           0         626         20         537         40           TIVITIES           145         145         145         14	

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**California Medical Facility** 

# CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Starring Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	5.0	-1.0
	Captain	7.0	6.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	31.5	38.8	7.3
Caciacy	Sergeant	92.7	92.6	-0.1
	Officer	690.0	675.8	-14.2
Correctional Councilor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
Correctional Counselor	CCII	12.0	8.0	-4.0
	CCI	25.1	24.0	-1.1
Support Services	Total PY	97.5	117.5	20.0
Canteen	Total PY	4.0	4.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	29.0	37.4	8.4
Personnel	Total PY	23.9	24.0	0.1
Plant Operations	Total PY	47.0	52.0	5.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	4.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	25.0	22.0	-3.0
Vocation	Total PY	2.0	6.0	4.0
Dental	Total PY	26.0	24.0	-2.0
Mental Health	Total PY	165.9	138.9	-27.0
Custody	Subtotal	867.3	853.2	-14.1
Non Custody	Subtotal	205.4	238.9	33.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	27.0	28.0	1.0
Health Care	Subtotal	191.9	162.9	-29.0
Institution Total		1,291.6	1,283.0	-8.6

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	_	1											
Ma						1			ı				
A Wing - 2	Dorm	44	44	DMH	ICF-L							X	
A Wing - 3	Dorm	40	40	DMH "	ICF-L	<u> </u>						X	<u> </u>
C Dorm	Dorm	100	150	II 	GP							X	X
D Dorm	Dorm	100	150	II	GP	1						X	X
G Wing - 1	Cells	28	28	111	CTC	-						Х	X
G Wing - 2	Cells	29	29	III	CTC\GACH								Х
G Wing - 3	Cells	47	47	III	OHU	<u> </u>						Х	Х
H Wing - 1	Dorm	43	43	III	OHU							Х	Х
H Wing - 2	Cells	51	77	III	GP							Х	Х
H Wing - 3	Cells	51	77	III	GP								Х
I Wing - 1	Cells	37	56	III	GP	<u> </u>							Х
I Wing - 2	Cells	38	57	III	GP							Х	Х
I Wing - 3	Cells	38	38	Ш	ASU EOP								Х
J Wing	Dorm	244	366	III	GP								
L Wing	Cells	113	147	III	EOP							Х	
M Wing - 1	Cells	37	48	III	EOP								
M Wing - 2	Cells	38	49	Ш	EOP							Х	
M Wing - 3	Cells	38	38	Ш	ASU EOP								Х
N Wing	Cells	113	147	Ш	EOP								Х
P Wing - 1	Cells	32	42	Ш	GP								
P Wing - 2	Cells	36	47	III	EOP								
P Wing - 3	Cells	30	39	Ш	GP								
Q Wing	Cells	90	90	DMH	ACUTE								Х
R Wing - 1	Dorm	30	45	II	GP								Х
S Wing - 1	Cells	30	30	DMH	ACUTE							Х	х
S Wing - 2	Cells	30	30	DMH	ACUTE							Х	
S Wing - 3	Cells	18	18	III	ASU								
T Wing	Cells	158	158	III	GP								
U Wing	Cells	156	156	III	GP								
V Wing	Cells	158	158	III	GP								
W Wing	Cells	125	125	III	ASU								Х
X Corridor	Rooms	17	17	III	Hospice								X
Y - Dorm	Dorm	24	36	III	GP								Х
Total		2163	2620										
MED	ICAL												
MHCB		50	50	N/A	MHCB								<u> </u>
ICF		64	64	DMH	ICF				ļ				Щ_
RAN	ICH	ī											
Fire House	Dorm	9	9	1	GP								
M1 -M7	Dorm	126	126	I	GP								
Total		135	135										
GRAND TOTAL		2,362	2,869			539	869	378	162	0	0	1	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
19%	30%	13%	6%	0%	0%			

## CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

CMF will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0	1.0			.0	
Office Assistant		.0	1.0			.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		1.0	
Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0	
Teaching Assistant	4.	.0	2.0		2	.0	
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS	13	3.0	12	2.0	12	2.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	8.0	432	5.0	270	7.0	378	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	3.0	360	3.0	360	
TOTALS	12.0	912	8.0	630	10.0	738	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0		0	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy	1	0	1.0	27	2.0	54	
Cosmetology	1	0	1.0	0	2.0	0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	2.0	54	2.0	54	
HVAC	110	0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0	1.0	27	

# CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	869	869	869
TOTALS	869	869	869

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California Men's Colony

# CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Stafing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	5.0	-1.0
	Captain	9.0	7.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	44.1	33.0	-11.1
-	Sergeant	129.5	97.2	-32.3
	Officer	849.1	730.2	-118.9
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Correctional Councelor	CCII	15.7	9.0	-6.7
	CCI	48.3	30.0	-18.3
Support Services	Total PY	183.0	153.0	-30.0
Canteen	Total PY	8.0	8.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	35.0	42.8	7.8
Personnel	Total PY	25.0	24.0	-1.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	75.0	71.0	-4.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	48.0	48.0	0.0
Vocation	Total PY	9.0	13.0	4.0
Dental	Total PY	43.0	36.0	-7.0
Mental Health	Total PY	177.7	170.6	-7.1
Custody	Subtotal	1,106.7	914.4	-192.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	332.0	304.8	-27.2
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	57.0	61.0	4.0
Health Care	Subtotal	220.7	206.6	-14.1
Institution Total		1,716.4	1,486.8	-229.6

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

### CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	ACILITY												
	LITY A			Т		1							1
Bldg 1	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	GP OB	X					-		
Bldg 2	Cells (O/U)	300 600	300 600	III	GP	Х							
Total		600	600										
FACIL	JITY B												
Bldg 3	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	GP	Х							
Bldg 4	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	ASU/GP	Х							
Total		600	600										
EAC"	ITV C												
Bldg 5	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	GP	х		1	I		1	1	
Bldg 5	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	GP GP	X			<b>-</b>		1		
Total	30113 (070)	600	600	-111	51	Ê							
1 5 10													
FACIL	LITY D												
Bldg 7	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	EOP	Х						Х	
Bldg 8	Cells (O/U)	300	300	III	EOP/GP	Х						Х	
Total		600	600										
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													
Separa ASU	te ASU	25	25				1				1		
Total		25	25										
MH CRI	SIS BED	50	50	N/A	МНСВ	1					1		
Total		50	50	1071	WILLIAM								
	ACILITY												
	LITY E												1
Dorms 1-10	Dorm	450	675	II	GP	Х							
Total		450	675										
FACIL	LITY F												
Dorms 11-20	Dorm	450	675	II	GP	Х							
Total		450	675										
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
FACIL				T .		1		1			_		
Dorms 22-28	Dorm	303	455	II	GP	Х							
Total		303	455										
M	SF												
Dorm 30	Dorm	44	44	ı	Camp								
Dorm 31	Dorm	44	44	i	GP	х							
Dorm 32	Dorm	44	44	ı	GP	Х							
Dorm 33	Dorm	33	33	ı	GP	Х							
Dorm 34	Dorm	33	33	I	GP	Х					1		
Firehouse		12	12	ı	GP	X							
Total		210	210			539							
GRAND	TOTAL	3,838	4,490			614	2,277	1,080	354	120	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
14%	51%	24%	8%	3%	0%			

#### CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY PROGRAMMING PLAN

CMC will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION						
Staff	FY 11-12		FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1.0		
Assistant Principal	4	.0	3	.0	3	.0	
Office Technician	3	.0	3	.0	3	.0	
Office Assistant	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Senior Librarian	2	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Librarian	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Library Technical Ass't	2	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Teaching Assistant	13	3.0	4	.0	4	.0	
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
TOTALS	29	0.0	20	0.0	20	).0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	13.0	702	16.0	864	20.0	1,080	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	7.0	840	8.0	960	8.0	960	
TOTALS	20.0	1,542	24.0	1,824	28.0	2,040	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Education				, ,			
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0		0	1.0	27	
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electric Work		0		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0	1.0	27	
Machine Shop	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing		0		0	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
TBD		0		0	1.0	27	
TOTALS	8.0	216	8.0	216	13.0	351	

# CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	120	288	72	144	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	144	480	
TOTALS	120	288	120	288	216	624	
<b>Employment Programs</b>	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	20	228	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	871	-	871	
TOTALS	0	0	20	1,099	20	1,099	
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	CTIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	603		603		6	03	
Support Services Assignments	2,277		2,277		2,2	277	
TOTALS	2,8	880	2,8	380	2,8	380	
Total Annual Capacity *	4.0	)26	6.3	307	6.9	994	

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California Rehabilitation Center

## CALIFORNIA REHABILITATION CENTER STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	7.0	5.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	30.3	27.4	-2.9
-	Sergeant	64.6	62.6	-2.0
	Officer	630.9	638.6	7.7
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	10.0	8.0	-2.0
	CCI	28.4	24.0	-4.4
Support Services	Total PY	148.4	126.0	-22.4
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	28.0	31.6	3.6
Personnel	Total PY	16.9	20.0	3.1
Plant Operations	Total PY	50.0	57.0	7.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	36.0	45.0	9.0
Vocation	Total PY	10.0	19.0	9.0
Dental	Total PY	32.0	30.0	-2.0
Mental Health	Total PY	30.8	36.5	5.7
Custody	Subtotal	779.2	773.6	-5.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	255.3	246.6	-8.7
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	46.0	64.0	18.0
Health Care	Subtotal	62.8	66.5	3.7
Institution Total		1,143.3	1,150.7	7.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## CALIFORNIA REHABILITATION CENTER HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK	DPP FACILITY
Lev			1	1	ı					1			
Firehouse Total	Dorm	10 10	10 10	II	GP								
		T		•	•								
Dorm 101	Dorm	44	66	П	GP	_	1	1	ı	1		1	_
Dorm 102	Dorm	44	66	ii	GP								
Dorm 103	Dorm	44	66	II	GP								
Dorm 104 Dorm 105	Dorm Dorm	44 44	66 66	II II	GP GP	ļ							
Dorm 106	Dorm	50	75	II	GP GP								
Dorm 107	Dorm	44	66	II	GP								
Dorm 108	Dorm	44	66	II.	GP								
Dorm 109 Dorm 110	Dorm Dorm	50 50	75 75	II II	GP GP	_							
Dorm 111	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 112	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Total		558	837										
FACIL	ITY B	Ī											
Dorm 201	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 202	Dorm	50	75	ll ii	GP								
Dorm 203 Dorm 204	Dorm	50 50	75 75	II II	GP GP	$\vdash$	$\vdash$		-			$\vdash$	
Dorm 205	Dorm	50	75		GP								
Dorm 206	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 207	Dorm	50	75		GP	-							
Dorm 208 Dorm 209	Dorm Dorm	50 50	75 75	II II	GP GP	1							
Dorm 210	Dorm	50	75		GP								
Dorm 211	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 212	Dorm	50	75 75	II II	GP	-							
Dorm 213 Dorm 214	Dorm Dorm	50 100	75 150	ll ll	GP GP	<del>                                     </del>							
Total		750	1125										
FACIL	ITV C	ī											
Dorm 301	Dorm	50	75	П	GP	1	l		1			I	
Dorm 302	Dorm	50	75	ii	GP								
Dorm 303	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 304	Dorm	50 50	75 75	II II	GP GP	_							
Dorm 305 Dorm 306	Dorm Dorm	50	75 75	"	GP GP	1							
Dorm 307	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 308	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 309 Dorm 310	Dorm	50 50	75 75	II II	GP GP	1	-						
Dorm 311	Dorm	50	75 75	II	GP GP								
Dorm 312	Dorm	50	75	II	GP								
Dorm 313	Dorm	50	75	- 11	GP GP		-						
Dorm 314 Dorm 315	Dorm	32 31	48 47	II II	GP GP	$\vdash$	$\vdash$		-			$\vdash$	
Total	23111	713	1070	"	51								
	ITV D	T											-
Dorm 401	Dorm	43	65	П	SNY	1	1	1	l			1	
Dorm 402	Dorm	50	75	11	SNY				l				
Dorm 403	Dorm	47	71	II	SNY								
Dorm 404	Dorm	50	75	II	SNY	_							
Dorm 405 Dorm 406	Dorm Dorm	48 42	72 63	II II	SNY	-	-			-		$\vdash$	-
Dorm 407	Dorm	42	60	II	SNY								
Dorm 408	Dorm	40	60	II	SNY								
	Dorm	40	60	II	SNY								
Dorm 409													
Dorm 409 Total		400	600										

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 61% 27% 15% 4% 6%

## CALIFORNIA REHABILITATION CENTER PROGRAMMING PLAN

CRC will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal	1.0		1	.0	1.0		
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician	2.			.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian	2.			.0		.0	
Librarian	0.			.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester	2.			.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant	9.	.0	8	.0	8	.0	
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS	22	2.0	21	1.0	21	.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	10.0	540	14.0	756	18.0	972	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	5.0	600	6.0	720	6.0	720	
TOTALS	15.0	1,140	20.0	1,476	24.0	1,692	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Auto Repair		0	4.0	0		0	
Building Maintenance	1.0	0	1.0	27	2.0	54	
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	2.0	54	
Computer Literacy		0		0	2.0	54	
Cosmetology	4.0	0	4.0	0	4.0	0	
Electric Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Electronics	1.0	27	2.0	54	2.0	54	
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing	1.0	27	0.0	0	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0	2.0	54	
TOTALS	9.0	243	12.0	324	19.0	513	

## CALIFORNIA REHABILITATION CENTER PROGRAMMING PLAN

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	140	336	192	384	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	288	960	
TOTALS	120	288	140	336	480	1,344	
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	228	20	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	1,104	-	1,104	
TOTALS	0	0	228	1,124	20	1,332	
ADDITIONAL INMATE AC	TIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	0			)	0		
Support Services Assignments	2,2	25	2,225 2,		2,2	225	
TOTALS	2,2	25	2,2	225	2,2	225	

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California State Prison, Corcoran

## CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON-CORCORAN STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	7.0	1.0
	Captain	9.0	8.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	43.1	39.0	-4.1
	Sergeant	115.1	97.8	-17.3
	Officer	1,088.1	1,047.8	-40.3
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Correctional Councerer	CCII	17.0	14.0	-3.0
	CCI	39.5	33.0	-6.5
Support Services	Total PY	156.5	146.0	-10.5
Canteen	Total PY	9.5	9.5	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	38.4	37.6	-0.8
Personnel	Total PY	28.5	27.0	-1.5
Plant Operations	Total PY	85.0	77.0	-8.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	5.0	1.0
Education	Total PY	39.0	38.0	-1.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	5.0	-2.0
Dental	Total PY	42.0	39.0	-3.0
Mental Health	Total PY	114.1	104.0	-10.1
Custody	Subtotal	1,322.8	1,249.6	-73.2
Non Custody	Subtotal	321.9	302.1	-19.8
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	46.0	43.0	-3.0
Health Care	Subtotal	156.1	143.0	-13.1
Institution Total		1,846.8	1,737.7	-109.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

### CORCORAN STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
MS	SF												
A Dorm	Dorm	96	96	I	GP	х							Ь—
B Dorm	Dorm	96	96	!	GP	X							<u> </u>
C Dorm	Dorm	96	96		GP GP	X							<del>                                     </del>
D Dorm E Dorm	Dorm E-Dorm	96 100	96 100	<del>                                     </del>	GP GP	X							<b>—</b>
Firehouse	Dorm	8	8	<u> </u>	GP	<del>  ^</del>							
Total	20.111	492	492		5.								
FACILI	ITV 3A	Ī											
Blda 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	50	50	N/A	EOP ASU								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	50	63	N/A	ASU								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP							Х	
Total		500	688										
FACILI	TY 3B	ĺ											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY EOP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Total		500	750										
FACILI	TY 3C												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	х							
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Total		500	750										
FACILI	ITY 4A												
Bldg 4A-1L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU							Х	Х
Bldg 4A-1R	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								Х
Bldg 4A-2L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								Х
Bldg 4A-2R	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								X
Bldg 4A-3L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU	1							X
Bldg 4A-3R Bldg 4A-4L	180 Cells 180 Cells	64 64	77 77	N/A N/A	SHU	+							X
Bldg 4A-4L	180 Cells	44	53	N/A	SHU								x
Bldg 4A-4R	180 Cells	20	24	N/A	PHU								Х
Total		512	614										
FACILI		0.4	77	I N/A	01111	1						1	· ·
Bldg 4B-1L Bldg 4B-1R	180 Cells 180 Cells	64 64	77 77	N/A N/A	SHU	+	$\vdash$						X
Bldg 4B-1R Bldg 4B-2L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A N/A	SHU	+							X
Bldg 4B-2R	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU	†							x
Bldg 4B-3L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								X
Bldg 4B-3R	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								Х
Bldg 4B-4L	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								Х
Bldg 4B-4R	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								Х
Total		512	614										
AS	SU												
Stand Alone	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125										
Hos	nital												
Hospital	p., cui	0	99										
Total		0	99										
GRAND		3,116	4,132			343	1,138		135	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

8% | 28% | 24% | 3% | 0% | 0%

#### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, CORCORAN PROGRAMMING PLAN

COR will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal		1.0		.0	1.0		
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester		.0		.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			.0		.0	
TOTALS		2.0		9.0		0.0	
				-			
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	12.0	648	10.0	540	12.0	648	
Isolated Population	2.0	216	3.0	324	3.0	324	
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	4.0	480	4.0	480	
TOTALS	18.0	1,344	17.0	1,344	19.0	1,452	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics	+	0	-	0		0	
	+	0	-	0		0	
Auto Repair Building Maintenance	+	0	-	0		0	
Carpentry	+	0	-	0		0	
Computer Literacy	+	0		0		0	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	
Machine Shop	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Masonry	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing	2.0	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	
Sheet Metal	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Small Engine Repair	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	
Welding	1.0	27	1	0		0	
TBD	1.5	0		0		0	
4		-					

### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, CORCORAN PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	276	276	276
Support Services Assignments	1,138	1,138	1,138
TOTALS	1,414	1,414	1,414

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California State Prison, Los Angeles County

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON-LA COUNTY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	4.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	32.3	27.8	-4.5
,	Sergeant	68.9	77.8	8.9
	Officer	750.7	664.7	-86.0
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Composition Courted to	CCII	12.0	10.5	-1.5
	CCI	44.5	27.0	-17.5
Support Services	Total PY	165.9	119.0	-46.9
Canteen	Total PY	8.0	8.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	38.0	35.4	-2.6
Personnel	Total PY	20.2	22.0	1.8
Plant Operations	Total PY	59.0	56.0	-3.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	33.0	31.0	-2.0
Vocation	Total PY	2.0	7.0	5.0
Dental	Total PY	41.0	35.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	89.1	107.6	18.5
Custody	Subtotal	923.4	820.8	-102.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	297.1	246.4	-50.7
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	35.0	38.0	3.0
Health Care	Subtotal	130.1	142.6	12.5
Institution Total		1,385.6	1,247.8	-137.8

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON - LOS ANGELES COUNTY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT	PROGRAMS EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK	DPP FACILITY
M	SF	1											
Bldg 1	Dorm	100	100	ı	GP	Х							
Bldg 2	Dorm	100	100	i i	GP	X							
Total	Domi	200	200	_	GI .								
Total		200	200										
FACIL	LITY A												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		500	725										
			-		-								
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Total		500	750										
		1											
FACIL			ı		T				1				
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х				-	_	<u> </u>	
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х				-	_	<u> </u>	
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							
Total		500	750										
FACIL	ITV D	Ì											
FACIL		400	450	IV	FOR	I		ı	ı	1	1	1	1
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150		EOP					1			
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150 150	IV IV	EOP GP					1	-	<del>                                     </del>	
Bldg 3 Bldg 4	270 Cells 270 Cells	100 100	150	IV	GP GP						+	<del>                                     </del>	
Bldg 4 Bldg 5	270 Cells 270 Cells	100	100	N/A	ASU EOP	1			<del>                                     </del>	1	+	<del>                                     </del>	
Total	210 0015	500	700	IN/A	AGU EUF								
. 3.01													
	SU												
STAND ALONE	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,300	3,250			99	790	540	189	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY
3% 24% 17% 6% 0% 0%

#### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROGRAMMING PLAN

LAC will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC Staff		1-12	EV 4	2-13	EV 4	3-14	
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Librarian	0			.0	1.0 2.0		
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0			
Tester	3.			.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant	4			.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0						
TOTALS	22	2.0	10	5.0	16.0		
Academie Calvastia	Authorized	Budgatad	Droposs	Budgeted	Droposs	Dudasts	
Academic Education	Staff	Budgeted	Proposed Staff	_	Proposed Staff	Budgeted	
General Population	6.0	Capacity 324	8.0	Capacity 432	10.0	Capacity 540	
Isolated Population	6.0	0	0.0	0	10.0	0	
·	5.0	600	5.0	600	5.0	600	
Voluntary Educ. Program  TOTALS	11.0	924	13.0		15.0		
TOTALS	11.0	924	13.0	1,032	15.0	1,140	
	A cath contract	Declarated	Daniel	Decision to d	Daniel	Declarate d	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Computer Literacy		0		0	2.0	54	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Plumbing	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
1							

### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	97	97	97
Support Services Assignments	790	790	790
TOTALS	887	887	887

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California State Prison, Sacramento

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON SACRAMENTO STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Statting Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	33.3	32.8	-0.5
,	Sergeant	100.9	95.0	-5.9
	Officer	828.6	772.1	-56.5
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	16.0	14.0	-2.0
	CCI	19.5	18.0	-1.5
Support Services	Total PY	94.1	105.0	10.9
Canteen	Total PY	5.0 5.0	0.0	
Food Services	Total PY	35.1	32.4	-2.7
Personnel	Total PY	21.6	23.0	1.4
Plant Operations	Total PY	54.0	52.0	-2.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	5.0	1.0
Education	Total PY	22.0	25.0	3.0
Vocation	Total PY	3.0	3.0	0.0
Dental	Total PY	29.0	26.0	-3.0
Mental Health	Total PY	143.7	172.5	28.8
Custody	Subtotal	1,012.3	945.9	-66.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	213.8	222.4	8.7
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	25.0	28.0	3.0
Health Care	Subtotal	172.7	198.5	25.8
Institution Total		1,423.8	1,394.8	-28.9

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON - SACRAMENTO HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
N	SF												
D Dorm	Dorm	96	96	ı	GP	х							
E Dorm	Dorm	96	96	i	GP	T X							
Total	Domi	192	192		Gi								
Total		102	102										
FACII	LITY A												
Bldg A1	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU							х	Х
Bldg A2	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU								Х
Bldg A3	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU								Х
Bldg A4	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU								Х
Bldg A5	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	EOP ASU								
Bldg A6	180 Cells	64	96	N/A	EOP								
Bldg A7	180 Cells	64	96	N/A	EOP								
Bldg A8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Total	100 000	512	608		<u> </u>								
1000		<u> </u>								•			
FACII	JITY B												
Bldg B1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Bldg B2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Bldg B3	180 Cells	64	77	N/A	SHU								
Bldg B4	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								
Bldg B5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	EOP								
Bldg B6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	EOP								
Bldg B7	180 Cells	64	64	IV	PSU								
Bldg B8	180 Cells	64	64	IV	PSU								
Total		512	669										
1000		\$ . <u>_</u>								•			
FACII	LITY C												
Bldg C1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	X						1	
Bldg C4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg C8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Total		512	768										
A	SU												
Stand Alone	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125										
GRAND	TOTAL	1,828	2,362			67	691	378	81	0	0	l	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY							
3%	29%	16%	3%	0%	0%		

### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SACRAMENTO PROGRAMMING PLAN

SAC will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14		
Principal	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
Assistant Principal	1.	.0	2	.0	2	.0		
Office Technician	1.	.0	1	.0		.0		
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
Librarian	0	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Library Technical Ass't	2	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Tester	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
Teaching Assistant	3.	.0	3	.0	3	.0		
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
PE Teacher (Coach)	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
TOTALS	13	3.0	14	1.0	14	1.0		
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
General Population	6.0	324	6.0	324	7.0	378		
Isolated Population		0		0		0		
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	4.0	480	4.0	480		
TOTALS	9.0	684	10.0	804	11.0	858		
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0		
Auto Repair	1	0		0		0		
Building Maintenance		0		0		0		
Carpentry		0		0		0		
Computer Literacy		0		0		0		
Cosmetology		0		0		0		
Electrical Work		0		0		0		
Electronics		0		0		0		
HVAC		0		0		0		
Machine Shop		0		0		0		
Masonry		0		0		0		
Office Technologies	3.0	81	3.0	81	3.0	81		
Plumbing		0		0		0		
Sheet Metal		0		0		0		
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0		
Welding		0		0		0		
TBD		0		0		0		

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SACRAMENTO PROGRAMMING PLAN

ADDITIONAL INMATE ACT Prison Industries Authority Programs	IVITIES 67	67	67
Support Services Assignments	691	691	691
TOTALS	758	758	758
Total Annual Capacity *	1,523	1,643	1,697

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California State Prison, San Quentin

#### SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING REVIEW

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	7.0	6.0	-1.0
	Captain	7.0	7.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	42.8	34.2	-8.6
	Sergeant	107.3	105.4	-1.9
	Officer	946.3	919.0	-27.3
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	14.1	12.0	-2.1
	CCI	48.0	35.0	-13.0
Support Services	Total PY	198.5	154.0	-44.5
Canteen	Total PY	9.0	9.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	39.9	35.6	-4.3
Personnel	Total PY	22.0	25.0	3.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	57.7	66.0	8.3
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	4.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	23.0	33.0	10.0
Vocation	Total PY	2.0	11.0	9.0
Dental	Total PY	35.0	30.0	-5.0
Mental Health	Total PY	107.6	104.9	-2.7
Custody	Subtotal	1,176.5	1,121.6	-54.9
Non Custody	Subtotal	331.1	293.6	-37.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	25.0	44.0	19.0
Health Care	Subtotal	142.6	134.9	-7.7
Institution Total		1,675.2	1,594.1	-81.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

		SA	N QUE	ENTIN	STATE	PR	IS	NC					
HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
AD.JUSTME	NT CENTER												
Tier 1-3	Cells	102	102	N/A	ASU							х	
Total		102	102										
AI I	PINE												
Tier 1-5	Cells	247	371	II	GP								
Total		247	371										
D.4.F	2050	Ī											
Tier 1-5	Cells	247	371	N/A	RC						1		1
Total	OCIIO	247	371	1407	THO THO								
	RSON	44	44	NI/A	ACLI	1			1		1		1
Tier 1 Tier 2	Cells Cells	41 48	41	N/A N/A	ASU DR	+							
Tier 3	Cells	48	48	N/A	ASU								
Tier 4	Cells	48	48	N/A	ASU								
Tier 5 Total	Cells	48 233	48 233	N/A	DR								
			200										
Tier 1	NNER Cells	49	74	П	GP				1	I	1		ı
Tier 2	Cells	48	72	II	GP								
Tier 3	Cells	48	48	N/A	ASU								
Tier 4 Tier 5	Cells Cells	48 48	48 48	N/A N/A	ASU ASU								
Total		241	290										
EAS	T BAY												
Tier 1-5	Cells	260	260	N/A	DR								
Total		260	260										
	YARD	000	200		T 55					1			
Tier 1-5 Total	Cells	260 260	260 260	N/A	DR								
	INIT				•								
Tier 1-5	<b>Dorm</b>	500	750	II	GP	Х							l
Total		500	750										
NORTH	H BLOCK	Ī											
Tier 1	Cells	82	123	ll	GP	Х							
Tier 2 Tier 3	Cells Cells	83 83	125 125	II II	GP GP	X							
Tier 4	Cells	83	125	II	GP	Х							
Tier 5 Total	Cells	83 414	125 621	II	GP	Х							
,	TH OF O						•					•	
North Side	Cells	34	34	N/A	DR								1
South Side	Cells	34	34	N/A	DR								
Total		68	68										
	BLOCK	00	46.						1	1			
Tier 1 Tier 2	Cells Cells	89 90	134 135	II II	GP GP	1					}		
Tier 3	Cells	90	135	II	GP	1							
Tier 4 Tier 5	Cells Cells	90 90	135 135	II II	GP GP	1	<u> </u>				-	<u> </u>	
Total	GGIIG	449	674		Ji								
	DI OOK												
Fire House	BLOCK	15	15	1	GP						1		1
Total		15	15										
	alth Services Iding												
CHSB		45	45	N/A	Medical								
Total		45	45										
GRANE	TOTAL	3,081	4,058			261	865	540	324	0	0		

### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SAN QUENTIN PROGRAMMING PLAN

SQ will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC		1-12	EV 1	2-13	EV 1	3-14
Principal Assistant Principal		.0		.0	1.0 1.0	
Office Technician		.0				
		.0	1.0 1.0		1.0 1.0	
Office Assistant Senior Librarian		.0	1.0			.0
Librarian		.0		.0		.0
Library Technical Ass't	0			.0		.0
Tester		.0		.0		.0
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0
PE Teacher (Coach)		.0				.0
TOTALS		5. <b>0</b>	1.0 <b>17.0</b>			.∪ <b>′.0</b>
IUIALS	10	).U	1.4		17	.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Academic Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	4.0	216	7.0	378	10.0	540
Isolated Population	7.0	0	7.0	0	10.0	0
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	6.0	720	6.0	720
TOTALS	8.0	696	13.0	1,098	16.0	1,260
TOTALO	0.0	000	10.0	1,000	10.0	1,200
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
	Otan	Oupdoity	Otan	Capacity	Otan	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry		0		0	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0		0		0
Electronics		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0	1.0	27
Machine Shop	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Masonry		0		0	1.0	27
Office Technologies		0		0		0
Plumbing		0		0	1.0	27
Sheet Metal	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Small Engine Repair		0		0	1.0	27
	<b>-</b>	0		0		0
, and the second						
Welding TBD TOTALS	2.0	0 <b>54</b>	5.0	0 135	1.0 <b>11.0</b>	27 <b>297</b>

### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SAN QUENTIN PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	196	196	196
Support Services Assignments	865	865	865
TOTALS	1,061	1,061	1,061

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California State Prison, Solano

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON-SOLANO STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	5.0	5.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	31.8	27.8	-4.0
-	Sergeant	71.9	65.0	-6.9
	Officer	596.9	579.2	-17.7
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	10.0	9.5	-0.5
	CCI	33.3	27.0	-6.3
Support Services	Total PY	125.7	124.5	-1.2
Canteen	Total PY	9.0	9.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	38.0	28.8	-9.2
Personnel	Total PY	24.5	21.0	-3.5
Plant Operations	Total PY	54.0	54.0	0.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	32.0	45.0	13.0
Vocation	Total PY	9.0	7.0	-2.0
Dental Dental	Total PY	36.0	30.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	43.2	50.0	6.8
Custody	Subtotal	756.9	721.5	-35.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	256.2	242.3	-13.9
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	41.0	52.0	11.0
Health Care	Subtotal	79.2	80.0	0.8
Institution Total		1,133.3	1,095.8	-37.5

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON SOLANO HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
FACII	FACILITY A												
Unit 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	X							
Unit 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	х							1
Unit 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 6	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Total		600	900										
									•				
FACII	LITY B												
Unit 7	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 8	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 9	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 10	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Unit 11	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Unit 12	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Total		600	875										
		•											
FACII	LITY C								•				
Unit 13	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х							
Unit 14	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х							
Unit 15	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х							
Unit 16	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х							ļ
Unit 17	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х							
Unit 18	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х							
Total		690	1035										
		7											
	LITY D		1	Т					1	ı			
Unit 19	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х							<u> </u>
Unit 20	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х							
Unit 21	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х	<u> </u>						<u> </u>
Unit 22	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х						1	<u> </u>
Unit 23	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP	Х							
Unit 24	E-Dorm	100	150	II	GP	Х							
Total		720	1080										
												1	
GRAND	TOTAL	2,610	3,890			438	1,502	1,080	189	120	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
11% 39% 28% 5% 3% 1%								

#### CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SOLANO PROGRAMMING PLAN

SOL will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC		1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal		.0		.0		.0
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	2.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0	2.0	
Office Assistant		.0			2.0 1.0	
Senior Librarian		.0	1.0			.0
			1.0			
Librarian Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0
,		.0		.0		
Tester						.0
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0
PE Teacher (Coach)		.0		.0	2.0	
TOTALS	20	).0	19	9.0	19	0.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	10.0	540	15.0	810	20.0	1,080
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	6.0	720	6.0	720
TOTALS	13.0	900	21.0	1,530	26.0	1,800
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electric Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
	1.0	27		0		0
-	1.0					
Plumbing	1.0			0		()
Plumbing Sheet Metal	1.0	0		0		0
Plumbing Sheet Metal Small Engine Repair		0	1.0	0	1 0	0
Plumbing	1.0	0	1.0		1.0 -1.0	

# CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SOLANO PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES									
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14			
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity			
Substance Abuse	120	288	120	288	72	144			
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	120	384			
Lifer Program	0	0	0	0	TBD	TBD			
TOTALS	120	288	120	288	192	528			
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served			
Transitions Program	20	146	20	288	20	288			
Identification (ID) Project	0	603	-	424	-	424			
TOTALS	20	749	20	712	20	712			
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	CTIVITIES								
Prison Industries Authority Programs	(	)		0	0				
Support Services	1,5	02	1,5	502	1,5	502			
Assignments									
TOTALS	1,5	502	1,5	502	1,5	502			
Total Annual Capacity *	3,6	555	4,2	248	4,7	731			

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility** 

### CALIFORNIA SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	StaffingJuly 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	7.0	7.0	0.0
	Captain	9.0	7.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	41.3	38.2	-3.1
,	Sergeant	95.2	93.0	-2.2
	Officer	953.0	905.7	-47.3
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Correctional Counscion	CCII	16.0	13.0	-3.0
	CCI	45.9	37.0	-8.9
Support Services	Total PY	195.0	156.0	-39.0
Canteen	Total PY	12.0	12.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	56.0	45.6	-10.4
Personnel	Total PY	29.6	25.0	-4.6
Plant Operations	Total PY	97.0	69.0	-28.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	61.0	56.0	-5.0
Vocation	Total PY	14.0	16.0	2.0
Dental	Total PY	48.0	43.5	<b>-</b> 4.5
Mental Health	Total PY	53.1	92.5	39.4
Custody	Subtotal	1,172.4	1,103.9	-68.5
Non Custody	Subtotal	395.6	313.6	-82.0
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	75.0	72.0	-3.0
Health Care	Subtotal	101.1	136.0	34.9
Institution Total		1,744.1	1,625.5	-118.6

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

											<b>-</b>		
HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	LITY A					ı			1	1			
Bldg 1	270 Dorm	126	189	II II	SNY								X
Bldg 2 Bldg 3	270 Dorm 270 Dorm	126 126	189 189	II II	SNY								X
Total	270 Boilli	378	567	"	OIT								
										•			
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 1	270 Dorm	126	189	II	GP								Х
Bldg 2	270 Dorm	126	189	II	GP								Х
Bldg 3	270 Dorm	126	189	II	GP								Х
Total		378	567										
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Total		512	768										
FACIL	LITY D												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Total		500	750										
FACIL	JITY E												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х						Х	Х
Total		500	725										
FACIL	LITY F												
Bldg 1	SATCU Dorm	176	264	II.	GP								X
Bldg 2 Bldg 3	SATCU Dorm SATCU Dorm	176 176	264 176	II II	GP EOP							X	X
Total	C/TTCC BOITH	528	704		201								
FACIL Bldg 1	LITY G Cells	176	176	l II	SNY EOP					1	_		Х
Bldg 2	Cells	176	264	II	SNY							Х	X
Bldg 3	Cells	176	264	II	SNY							Χ	Х
Total		528	704										
Δ9	SU												
Stand Alone	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125										
GRAND	TOTAL	3,424	4,910			105	2,370	1,242	355	208	228		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

2% 48% 25% 7% 4% 5%

#### CALIFORNIA STUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

SATF will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
1	.0	1	.0	1.0		
				3.0		
		3.0		3.0		
					.0	
				1.0		
	0.0				.0	
8	.0	3	.0		.0	
2	.0				.0	
12	2.0	9	.0	9	.0	
		1	.0	1	.0	
					.0	
					7.0	
Authorized Staff	Budgeted Canacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Canacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	
					918	
	_				324	
					1,080	
					2,322	
	2,:00		_,	2010		
Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	_	-	_	-	Capacity	
			. ,			
					27	
					27	
1.0					27	
		1.0			27	
				1.0	27	
			-		0	
					54	
					27	
1.0		1.0		1.0	27	
	0		0		0	
1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
	27	2.0 1.0	27	2.0 1.0	27	
2.0	27 0	1.0	27 0	1.0	27 0	
2.0 1.0	27 0 27	1.0	27 0 27	1.0	27 0 27	
2.0	27 0	1.0	27 0	1.0	27 0	
	5. 3. 1. 1. 0. 8. 2. 12 1. 0.	5.0 3.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 8.0 2.0 12.0 1.0 0.0 34.0  Authorized Staff Capacity 13.0 12.0 1,440 28.0 2,466  Authorized Staff Capacity 1.0 27	S.0   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   1   1   1	S.0   S.0	Solution   Solution	

### CALIFORNIA STUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity
Substance Abuse	208	376	208	376	184	280
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	144	480
TOTALS	208	376	208	376	328	760
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served
Transitions Program	0	0	228	20	20	228
Identification (ID) Project	0	1,043	0	668	0	668
TOTALS	0	1,043	228	688	20	896
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	CTIVITIES					
Prison Industries Authority Programs	9	5	95		95	
Support Services Assignments	2,3	370	2,370		2,370	
TOTALS	2,4	65	2,4	165	2,4	165
Total Annual Capacity *	6,7	701	6.0	067	6.8	375

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Calipatria State Prison

#### CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	4.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	30.1	27.8	-2.3
•	Sergeant	66.0	71.6	5.6
	Officer	628.3	619.9	-8.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	9.0	8.5	-0.5
	CCI	26.0	20.0	-6.0
Support Services	Total PY	136.5	123.0	-13.5
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	39.0	32.6	-6.4
Personnel	Total PY	18.0	21.0	3.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	63.0	56.0	-7.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	28.0	33.0	5.0
Vocation	Total PY	4.0	5.0	1.0
Dental	Total PY	37.0	34.0	-3.0
Mental Health	Total PY	12.4	13.5	1.1
Custody	Subtotal	773.4	760.8	-12.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	268.5	244.6	-23.9
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	32.0	38.0	6.0
Health Care	Subtotal	49.4	47.5	-1.9
Institution Total		1,123.3	1,090.9	-32.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
M	SF	1											
Dorm 1	Dorm	100	100	ı	GP								
Dorm 2	Dorm	100	100	i	GP								
Firehouse		8	8	i	GP								
Total		208	208										
		•											
FACIL	_ITY A	1											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		500	725										
		•											
FACIL				1					1				
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								
Total		500	750										
FACIL	ITV C	1											
FACIL		400	450	NI/A	ILID				l				
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	N/A	IHP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100 100	150 150	N/A IV	IHP GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells			IV									
Bldg 4	270 Cells 270 Cells	100 100	150 150	IV	GP GP								
Bldg 5 Total	270 Cells	500	750	IV	GF								
Total		300	730										
FACIL	.ITY D	1											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								
Total		500	750										
Stand	Alone			1					•				
Ad Seg	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
GRAND	TOTAL	2,308	3,308			0	1,147	810	135	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 35% 24% 4% 0% 0%

Appendix B: Institution Staffing, Housing, and Programming Plan

#### CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

CAL will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION					
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 12-13		FY 1	3-14
Principal	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0
Assistant Principal	2	.0	2.0		2.0	
Office Technician	2	.0	2.0		2	.0
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Librarian	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Library Technical Ass't	3	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2.0	
Teaching Assistant	2	.0	1	.0	1.0	
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1.0		1.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			.0		.0
TOTALS		5.0		1.0	14.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
General Population	9.0	486	12.0	648	15.0	810
Isolated Population	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	4.0	480	4.0	480
TOTALS	13.0	966	16.0	1,128	19.0	1,290
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0		0		0
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies		0		0		0
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding		0		0		0
TBD		0		0		0
TOTALS	4.0	108	5.0	135	5.0	135

# CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,147	1,147	1,147
TOTALS	1,147	1,147	1,147

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Centinela State Prison** 

# CENTINELA STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2011	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	4.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	30.6	27.8	-2.8
	Sergeant	68.7	70.6	1.9
	Officer	599.8	635.4	35.6
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
oen eeuenar eeuneenen	CCII	9.0	8.5	-0.5
	CCI	27.0	20.0	-7.0
Support Services	Total PY	143.0	122.0	-21.0
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	37.1	36.4	-0.7
Personnel	Total PY	20.5	21.0	0.5
Plant Operations	Total PY	60.5	57.0	-3.5
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	33.0	39.0	6.0
Vocation	Total PY	11.0	6.0	-5.0
Dental	Total PY	38.0	34.0	-4.0
Mental Health	Total PY	13.1	12.5	-0.6
Custody Total	Subtotal	749.1	775.3	26.2
Non Custody	Subtotal	274.1	249.4	-24.7
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	44.0	45.0	1.0
Health Care	Subtotal	51.1	46.5	-4.6
Institution Total		1,118.3	1,116.2	-2.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### CENTINELA STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
		1											
	SF	400	400		OD				l	1	1	1	I
Dorm 1	E-Dorm	100	100	l	GP	<u> </u>					+		1
Dorm 2	E-Dorm	100 8	100 8	ı	GP	<u> </u>					+		1
Firehouse Total		208	208										
างเลเ		200	206										
FACIL	ΙΤΥ Δ												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								<u> </u>
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								1
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		500	725										
FACIL	ITY B												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	750										
		İ											
FACIL									1	1			
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	X					-		<u> </u>
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	X					-		<u> </u>
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	X							
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100 100	150	III	GP GP	X							
Bldg 5 Total	270 Cells	500	150 750	111	GP	<b>├</b> ^							
TOLAI		500	750										
FACIL	ITY D												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								<u> </u>
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								1
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	750										
				-								-	
STAND	ALONE												
Ad Seg	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								ļ
GRAND	TOTAL	2,308	3,308			73	1,285	702	162	0	0		

	% OF STAFFED CAPACITY						
2%	39%	21%	5%	0%	0%		

# CENTINELA STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

CEN will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal	1.	.0	1.0		1.0		
Assistant Principal	3	.0	2.0		2.0		
Office Technician	2	.0	2.0		2	.0	
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0	
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1.0		1	.0	
Librarian	0.	.0	1.0		1	.0	
Library Technical Ass't	4.	.0	2	2.0		.0	
Tester	2	.0	2.0		2	.0	
Teaching Assistant	4.	.0	3.0		3	.0	
Television Specialist	1.0		1.0		1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	3.0		3	.0	
TOTALS	19	0.0	19.0		19.0		
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	10.0	540	11.0	594	13.0	702	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	5.0	600	7.0	840	7.0	840	
TOTALS	15.0	1,140	18.0	1,434	20.0	1,542	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0		0		0	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work	1.0	27		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
TBD		0		0	-3.0	-81	
TOTALS	10.0	270	9.0	243	6.0	162	

# CENTINELA STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,285	1,285	1,285
TOTALS	1,285	1,285	1,285

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Central California Women's Facility

#### CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S FACILITY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	28.3	19.2	-9.1
-	Sergeant	54.6	45.0	-9.6
	Officer	403.8	368.1	-35.7
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	10.0	7.0	-3.0
	CCI	30.5	21.0	-9.5
Support Services	Total PY	153.0	130.0	-23.0
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	31.7	32.6	0.9
Personnel	Total PY	20.4	19.0	-1.4
Plant Operations	Total PY	56.0	53.0	-3.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	4.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	30.0	38.0	8.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	9.0	2.0
Dental	Total PY	32.0	26.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	71.1	63.0	-8.1
Custody	Subtotal	542.2	474.3	-67.9
Non Custody	Subtotal	272.0	245.6	-26.4
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	37.0	47.0	10.0
Health Care	Subtotal	103.1	89.0	-14.1
Institution Total		954.3	855.9	-98.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	LITY A			Т					1				1
Bldg 501	W Dorm	128	192	W	RC							Х	Х
Bldg 502	W Dorm	128	192	W	RC							X	
Bldg 503	270 Cells	100	150	W	RC							Х	Х
Bldg 504	270 Cells	33	41	W	ASU							X	
Bldg 504	270 Cells	40	54	W	EOP							Х	Х
Bldg 504	270 Cells	17	17	W	DR							X	
Bldg 504	270 Cells	10	10	W	ASU EOP							Х	
Total		446	646										
		_		•					-				
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 505	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х						Х	Х
Bldg 506	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х						Х	
Bldg 507	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х						Х	
Bldg 508	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х						Х	Х
Total		512	768										
						•			•	•		•	
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 509	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 510	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 511	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 512	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Total		512	768										
		•						•					
FACIL	_ITY D												
Bldg 513	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 514	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 515	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Bldg 516	W Dorm	128	192	W	GP	Х							
Total		512	768										
FACIL	LITY D												
Firehouse		12	12	W	GP								
GRAND	TOTAL	1,994	2,962			441	989	648	243	120	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
15%	33%	22%	8%	4%	1%			

#### CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

CCWF will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC Staff		1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal		.0		.0		.0
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	3.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0
Office Assistant		.0	1.0			.0
Senior Librarian		.0		1.0		.0
Librarian	1.0			.0		.0
Library Technical Ass't	2.0			.0		.0
Tester	3.0			.0		.0
Teaching Assistant	5.0			.0		.0
Television Specialist		.0	1.0			.0
PE Teacher (Coach)		.0		.0		.0
TOTALS		.0		2.0		2.0
TOTALO	Σ1	.0	LI	0	LI	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Academic Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	6.0	324	9.0	486	12.0	648
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	4.0	480	4.0	480
TOTALS	10.0	804	13.0	966	16.0	1,128
	1010	99.	1010	333	1010	1,120
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
	Otan		Otan		Otan	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Building Maintenance		0		0		0
Carpentry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54
Electric Work		0		0		0
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding		0		0		0
TBD		0		0	1.0	27
TOTALS	6.0	162	8.0	216	9.0	243

#### CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	12-13	FY 1	3-14
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity
Substance Abuse	120	288	120	288	96	192
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	144	480
Lifer Program	0	0	0	0	TBD	TBD
TOTALS	120	288	120	288	240	672
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served
Transitions Program	0	0	20	228	20	228
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	358	-	358
TOTALS	0	0	20	586	20	586
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	TIVITIES					
Prison Industries Authority Programs	19	94	19	94	19	94
Support Services Assignments	98	39	98	89	98	89
TOTALS	1,1	83	1,1	183	1,1	183
Total Annual Capacity *	2 /	137	2 4	239	2.0	312
Total Allitual Capacity	2,4	131	3,4	LJJ	3,0	714

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Chuckawalla Valley State Prison

## CHUCKAWALLA VALLEY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Staffing Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	4.0	4.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	4.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	26.5	26.8	0.3
	Sergeant	51.0	55.0	4.0
	Officer	380.5	367.8	-12.7
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	7.0	7.5	0.5
	CCI	22.5	16.0	-6.5
Support Services	Total PY	121.0	114.0	-7.0
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	30.0	28.6	-1.4
Personnel	Total PY	14.0	17.0	3.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	49.0	51.0	2.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	31.0	30.0	-1.0
Vocation	Total PY	9.0	10.0	1.0
Dental	Total PY	34.0	27.0	-7.0
Mental Health	Total PY	10.4	12.0	1.6
Custody Total	Subtotal	500.5	484.1	-16.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	225.0	221.6	-3.4
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	40.0	40.0	0.0
Health Care	Subtotal	44.4	39.0	-5.4
Institution Total		809.9	784.7	-25.2

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## CHUCKAWALLA VALLEY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	0.5	1											
	SF	400	400		OB	ı .		l	1	1	1		$\overline{}$
Bldg 1 Bldg 2	E-Dorm E-Dorm	100 100	100 100		GP GP								$\vdash$
Firehouse	E-DOIIII	8	8	<u>'</u>	GP GP								<del></del>
Total		208	208	ı	GF								
Total		200	200										
FACII	LITY A												
Bldg 1	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY	Х							
ASU	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		360	515										
FACII	LITY B												
Bldg 3	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY								<u> </u>
Bldg 4	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY								<u> </u>
Bldg 5	270 Dorm	130	195	II	SNY								
Total		390	585										
	ITY O	1											
	LITY C	400	405		OD			1	1	1	l		
Bldg 6	270 Dorm 270 Dorm	130 130	195 195	II II	GP GP								$\vdash$
Bldg 7	270 Dorm 270 Dorm	130	195	II II	GP GP								$\vdash$
Bldg 8 Total	270 DOITH	390	585	II	GF								
Total		390	363										
FACII	LITY D												
Bldg 9	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP								
Bldg 10	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP								
Bldg 11	270 Dorm	130	195	II	GP								
Total		390	585										
GRAND	TOTAL	1,738	2,478			52	1,630	648	270	120	228		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

2% | 66% | 26% | 11% | 5% | 9%

#### CHUCKAWALLA VALLEY STATE PRISION PROGRAMMING PLAN

CVSP will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	FY 1	1-12	FV 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14		
Principal		.0		.0		.0		
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0		
Office Technician	2.					.0		
Office Assistant		.0	2.0			.0		
Senior Librarian				1.0 1.0		.0		
Librarian	1.0 0.0			.0		.0		
Library Technical Ass't	4.0			.0		.0		
Tester	2.0			.0		.0		
Teaching Assistant	6.0			.0		.0		
Television Specialist		.0	1.0		1.0		1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.			.0		.0		
TOTALS	21			.o 1.0		.∪ I. <b>0</b>		
TOTALS		.0	,-	F. U	1-	F. U		
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
Academic Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
General Population	8.0	432	10.0	540	12.0	648		
Isolated Population		0		0		0		
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	4.0	480	4.0	480		
TOTALS	11.0	792	14.0	1,020	16.0	1,128		
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, -		
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Building Maintenance		0		0		0		
Carpentry		0		0		0		
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27		
Cosmetology		0		0		0		
Electric Work		0		0		0		
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Machine Shop		0		0		0		
Masonry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54		
Plumbing	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Sheet Metal		0		0		0		
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0		
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
TBD		0		0		0		
TOTALS	8.0	216	9.0	243	10.0	270		

#### CHUCKAWALLA VALLEY STATE PRISION PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES		4.40					
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	120	288	96	192	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	144	480	
TOTALS	120	288	120	288	240	672	
		FY 11-12 FY 12-13		_	3-14		
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	228	20	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	545	-	545	
TOTALS	0	0	228	565	20	773	
ADDITIONAL INMATE AC	CTIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Support Services Assignments	1,6	30	1,630		1,6	630	
TOTALS	1,6	74	1,674		1,674		
Total Annual Capacity *	2,9	70	3.7	790	4,5	517	

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Correctional Training Facility** 

#### CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	8.0	7.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	46.1	32.6	-13.5
•	Sergeant	130.4	84.8	-45.6
	Officer	837.3	721.3	-116.0
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
0011001101101101101101	CCII	17.0	11.0	-6.0
	CCI	43.5	33.0	-10.5
Support Services	Total PY	175.4	152.5	-22.9
Canteen	Total PY	9.0	9.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	38.2	36.6	-1.6
Personnel	Total PY	22.1	22.0	-0.1
Plant Operations	Total PY	80.0	70.0	-10.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	38.0	48.0	10.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	16.0	9.0
Dental	Total PY	46.0	40.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	31.8	42.0	10.2
Custody Total	Subtotal	1,091.3	897.7	-193.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	330.7	296.1	-34.6
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	45.0	64.0	19.0
Health Care	Subtotal	77.8	82.0	4.2
Institution Total		1,544.8	1,339.8	-205.0

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
FACILITY	A - CTF - N	1											
Fremont	E-Dorm	100	150	II	SNY								
Lassen	Cells	303	455	II.	SNY								
Rainier	Cells	303	455	II	SNY								
Total		706	1059										
		-											
FACILITY	B - CTF - N												
Shasta	Cells	303	455	II	SNY								
Toro	E-Dorm	100	150	II	SNY								
Whitney	Cells	303	455	II	SNY								
Total		706	1059										
FACILITY	C - CTF - C											,	
B Wing	Cells	127	191	II	GP								
C Wing	Cells	127	191	II	GP								
D Wing	Cells	127	191	II	GP								
E Wing	Cells	127	191	II	GP								
F Wing	Cells	175	263	II	GP								
G Wing	Cells	175	263	II	GP	Х							
O Wing	Cells	144	144	N/A	ASU								
X Wing	Cells	131	197	II	GP								
Y Wing	Cells	129	194	II	GP								
Z Wing	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
Total		1394	2019										
		1											
FACILITY	D - CTF - S				T				1				
Dorm 1		400	450		65								<del>                                     </del>
Dorm 2	Dorm	100	150	<u> </u>	GP				ļ				<b>-</b>
Dorm 3	Dorm	80	120	l	GP	1	ļ	<b> </b>	1		<u> </u>		<del></del>
Dorm 4	Dorm	80	120	<u> </u>	GP	-							<b></b>
Dorm 5	Dorm	80	120	<u> </u>	GP				<u> </u>				<del></del>
Dorm 6	Dorm	80	120	<u> </u>	GP	<b> </b>	ļ	<b></b>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		$\vdash$
Dorm 7	Dorm	80	120	<u>!</u>	GP	-							<b></b>
Firehouse		6	6	I	GP								
Total		506	756										
GRAND	TOTAL	3,312	3,834			414	2,532	1,188	432	120	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY
11% 66% 31% 11% 3% 1%

#### CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

CTF will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC		1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal		.0		.0		.0
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	1.0	
Office Technician		.0				.0
Office Assistant		.0	2.0 1.0			.0
Senior Librarian				.0		.0
Librarian	2.0 2.0			.0		.0
Library Technical Ass't	0.0			.0		.0
Tester	2.0			.0		.0
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0
Television Specialist		.0	1.0			.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			3.0		.0
TOTALS		). <b>0</b>		7.0		.∪ ′.0
TOTALS	13	, <u> </u>	17		17	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed Staff	Budgeted
General Population	<b>Staff</b> 13.0	Capacity 702	<b>Staff</b> 18.0	Capacity 972	22.0	Capacity
Isolated Population	13.0	0	16.0	0	22.0	1,188 0
Voluntary Educ. Program	7.0	840	9.0	1,080	9.0	1,080
TOTALS	20.0	1,542	27.0	2,052	31.0	2,268
TOTALS	20.0	1,342	21.0	2,052	31.0	2,200
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	1	0		0	1.0	27
Auto Repair		0		0	1.0	27
Building Maintenance		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	2.0	54
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electric Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0	1.0	27
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Plumbing		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair	1.0	27		0	2.0	54
Welding	1.0	27		0		0
TBD		0		0	2.0	54
TOTALS	6.0	162	8.0	216	16.0	432

## CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity
Substance Abuse	120	288	120	288	192	384
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	288	960
Lifer Program	0	0	0	0	TBD	TBD
TOTALS	120	288	120	288	480	1,344
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served
Transitions Program	0	0	20	228	20	228
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	-	896	-	896
TOTALS	0	0	20	1,124	20	1,124
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	TIVITIES					
Prison Industries Authority Programs	29	90	29	90	290	
Support Services Assignments	2,5	32	2,532		2,5	532
TOTALS	2,8	322	2,8	322	2,8	322
Total Annual Capacity *	4,8	314	6,5	502	7,9	990

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Deuel Vocational Institution** 

## DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Starring Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	5.0	5.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	33.3	25.4	-7.9
	Sergeant	73.6	64.0	-9.6
	Officer	609.1	421.2	-187.9
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
Correctional Counselor	CCII	12.0	8.0	-4.0
	CCI	44.0	22.0	-22.0
Support Services	Total PY	170.5	130.5	-40.0
Canteen	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	26.0	31.4	5.4
Personnel	Total PY	20.9	18.0	-2.9
Plant Operations	Total PY	63.0	63.0	0.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	18.0	24.0	6.0
Vocation	Total PY	0.0	5.0	5.0
Dental	Total PY	29.0	26.0	-3.0
Mental Health	Total PY	61.1	46.7	-14.4
Custody	Subtotal	786.0	553.6	-232.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	290.4	252.9	-37.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	18.0	29.0	11.0
Health Care	Subtotal	90.1	72.7	-17.4
Institution Total		1,184.5	908.2	-276.3

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
		Ī											
	rms	40	40			- V	ı —	ı —	1	1			
B Dorm	Dorm	18	18		1	X							
D Dorm	Dorm	18	18		<u> </u>	X							_
E Dorm	Dorm	18	18	!	!	X							
G Dorm Firehouse	E-Dorm	100 10	100 10	!	!	Х				1			$\vdash$
Total		164	164	ı									
TOtal		104	104										
H.A	<b>LL</b>												
East Hall	Cells	150	225	N/A	RC								Х
West Hall	Cells	149	224	N/A	RC								Х
Total		299	449										
										•			
WII	NGS												
С	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
D	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
E	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
F	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
G	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
Н	Cells	132	198	II	GP								
J	Cells	130	195	II	GP								
K	Cells	143	143	II	GP								
L 1/2	Cells	96	120	N/A	ASU								
L 3	Cells	49	74	II	GP								
Total		1210	1720										
GRAND	TOTAL	1,673	2,332			109	466	216	162	0	0	]	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

5% 20% 9% 7% 0% 0%

#### DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSITUTION PROGRAMMING PLAN

DVI will be designated as a Standard Program Site.


Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal	1.	.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0	1.0			.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Librarian		.0	1.0			.0	
Library Technical Ass't	0	.0	0.0		0	.0	
Tester	3	.0	6	.0	6	.0	
Teaching Assistant	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS	13	3.0	16	6.0	16	6.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	4.0	216	4.0	216	4.0	216	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	1.0	120	4.0	480	4.0	480	
TOTALS	5.0	336	8.0	696	8.0	696	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics	1	0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0	-	0	-	0	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0	1.0	27	
HVAC	0.0	0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies		0		0		0	
Plumbing		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
TOTALS	0.0	0	4.0	108	5.0	135	

## DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSITUTION PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	100	100	100
Support Services Assignments	431	431	431
TOTALS	531	531	531

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Folsom State Prison** 

## FOLSOM STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	4.0	4.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	5.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	28.0	25.4	-2.6
	Sergeant	68.9	63.6	-5.3
	Officer	474.8	401.7	-73.1
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	9.0	8.5	-0.5
	CCI	23.8	19.0	-4.8
Support Services	Total PY	106.1	107.5	1.4
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	21.5	22.8	1.3
Personnel	Total PY	14.2	16.0	1.8
Plant Operations	Total PY	55.0	55.0	0.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	5.0	1.0
Education	Total PY	34.0	34.0	0.0
Vocation	Total PY	9.0	13.0	4.0
Dental	Total PY	32.5	26.5	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	21.3	27.0	5.7
Custody Total	Subtotal	617.5	530.2	-87.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	206.8	212.3	5.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	43.0	47.0	4.0
Health Care	Subtotal	53.8	53.5	-0.3
Institution Total		921.1	843.0	-78.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## FOLSOM STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
M	SF	I											
Ranch	Dorm	265	265	1 1	GP	Х					1		
Total	20111	265	265		<u> </u>								
rotar		200	200										
FACII	LITY A	1											
	DING 1												
Tier 1	Cells	124	186	l II	GP	Х					1		
Tier 2	Cells	127	191	II	GP	X							
Tier 3	Cells	127	191	II	GP	X							
Tier 4	Cells	127	191	II	GP	X							
Tier 5	Cells	127	191	ıı II	GP	x				1	1		
Total	Cells	632	948	11	GF								
TOldi		032	940										
DIIII F	DING 2	ī											
	Cells	62	93	II	GP	х						1	
Tier 1											+		
Tier 2 Tier 3	Cells Cells	61 62	92 93	II II	GP GP	X					-	1	
Tier 4	Cells	62	93	l II	GP GP	X					-		
Tier 5	Cells	62	93	ii ii	GP	X				1	+		
Total	202	309	464		J.								
						•			•	•	•	•	
BUILD	DING 3												
Tier 1	Cells	79	119	II	GP	Х							
Tier 2	Cells	80	120	ll ll	GP	Х							
Tier 3	Cells	80	120	II.	GP	Х							
Tier 4	Cells	80	120	II	GP	X							
Tier 5	Cells	80	120	II	GP	Х							
Total		399	599										
DIW 5	DING 4	1											
	OING 4	40	40	NI/A	ACLI	l			1		1		
Tier 1	Cells	46	46	N/A	ASU					<u> </u>	+		
Tier 2	Cells	46	46	N/A	ASU	_					+		
Tier 3	Cells	46	46	N/A	ASU								
Total		138	138										
		1											
	DING 5	457	000		0.0	- V		1				1	1
Tier 1 Tier 2	Cells Cells	157 164	236 246	II II	GP GP	X	<u> </u>			-	+		
Total	Cells	321	482	11	GP	^							
Tulai		JZ I	402										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,064	2,895			639	841	648	297	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY
22% 29% 22% 10% 0% 0%

#### FOLSOM STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

FSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDU	_					
Staff		1-12		2-13		3-14
Principal		.0		.0		.0
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0
Office Technician		.0	3	.0	3	.0
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0
Librarian		.0		.0		.0
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0	3	.0
Tester		.0	2	.0	2	.0
Teaching Assistant	5.	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Television Specialist		.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			.0		.0
TOTALS	17	<b>'</b> .0	19	9.0	19	0.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	12.0	648	13.0	702	14.0	756
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	6.0	720	1.0	120	1.0	120
TOTALS	18.0	1,368	14.0	822	15.0	876
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54
Plumbing		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54
TBD	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.0	54
TOTALS	8.0	216	10.0	270	13.0	351

#### FOLSOM STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	431	431	431
Support Services Assignments	841	841	841
TOTALS	1,272	1,272	1,272

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services

## FOLSOM WOMEN'S FACILITY STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden		0.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy		0.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden		0.0	0.0
	Captain		1.0	1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant		2.0	2.0
,	Sergeant		5.2	5.2
	Officer		41.2	41.2
Correctional Counselor	CCIII		0.0	0.0
Correctional Counscion	CCII		1.0	1.0
	CCI		0.0	0.0
Support Services	Total PY		9.0	9.0
Canteen	Total PY		1.0	1.0
Food Services	Total PY		3.0	3.0
Personnel	Total PY		1.0	1.0
Plant Operations	Total PY		3.0	3.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY		0.0	0.0
Education	Total PY		1.0	1.0
Vocation	Total PY		1.0	1.0
Dental	Total PY		0.0	0.0
Mental Health	Total PY		1.0	1.0
Custody Total	Subtotal	0.0	50.4	50.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	0.0	17.0	17.0
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	0.0	2.0	2.0
Health Care	Subtotal	0.0	1.0	1.0
Institution Total		0.0	70.4	70.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## FOLSOM WOMEN'S FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK
FACIL	ITY A											
Dorm 1	Dorm	201	201	W	GP							
Dorm 2	Dorm	202	202	W	GP							
Total		201	201									
				•								_
GRAND	TOTAL	403	403			0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### FOLSOM WOMEN'S FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

FWF will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal	0	.0					
Assistant Principal	0	.0					
Office Technician	0	.0					
Office Assistant	0	.0					
Senior Librarian	0	.0					
Librarian	0	.0					
Library Technical Ass't	0	.0					
Tester	0	.0					
Teaching Assistant	0	.0					
Television Specialist	0	.0					
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0					
TOTALS	0	.0	0	0.0		.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population		0		0		0	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	0.0	0	1.0	120	1.0	120	
TOTALS	0.0	0	1.0	120	1.0	120	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics				0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0		0	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0		0	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies		0		0		0	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
TOTALS	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.0	27	

## FOLSOM WOMEN'S FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 12-13		FY 1	3-14	
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0	48	96	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	72	240	
TOTALS	0	0	0	0	120	336	
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Identification (ID) Project	0	0	0	0	0	42	
TOTALS	0	0	0	0	0	42	
ADDITIONAL INMATE AC	TIVITIES						
Prison Industries	10	00	100		100		
Support Services			0		0		
Assignments							
TOTALS	10	00	100		100		
Total Annual Capacity *	10	00	220		220 625		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**High Desert State Prison** 

## HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	6.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	29.7	29.4	-0.3
	Sergeant	87.7	81.6	-6.1
	Officer	704.5	728.9	24.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	10.0	10.0	0.0
	CCI	29.7	21.0	-8.7
Support Services	Total PY	144.0	119.0	-25.0
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	35.5	32.6	-2.9
Personnel	Total PY	23.0	22.0	-1.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	61.0	61.0	0.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	34.0	31.0	-3.0
Vocation	Total PY	2.0	4.0	2.0
Dental	Total PY	33.0	32.0	-1.0
Mental Health	Total PY	47.4	49.5	2.1
Custody	Subtotal	877.6	885.9	8.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	276.5	247.6	-28.9
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	36.0	35.0	-1.0
Health Care	Subtotal	80.4	81.5	1.1
Institution Total		1,270.5	1,250.0	-20.5

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

Dorm 1	DESIGN CAPACITY	HOUSING UNIT	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
Dorm 1	1	М											
Total	100		100	ı	GP								Х
Total	100		100	i	GP								X
Bidg A1	200		200										
Bidg A1	1												
Bidg A2								1					
Bidg A3	100	U	150	III	GP								Х
Bidg A4	100		150	III	GP	<u> </u>							Х
Bidg A5	100		150	III	GP	<u> </u>							X
Total	100		150	III	GP	<u> </u>							X
FACILITY B	100 500		150 750	III	GP								Х
Bidg B1	300	TULAI	750										
Bidg B2 270 Cells Bidg B3 270 Cells Bidg B4 270 Cells Bidg B4 270 Cells Bidg B5 270 Cells Total  FACILITY C Bidg C1 180 Cells Bidg C2 180 Cells Bidg C3 180 Cells Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C5 180 Cells Bidg C6 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C8 180 Cells Bidg C9 180 Cells	1	FACII											
Bidg B2 270 Cells Bidg B3 270 Cells Bidg B4 270 Cells Bidg B4 270 Cells Bidg B5 270 Cells Total  FACILITY C Bidg C1 180 Cells Bidg C2 180 Cells Bidg C3 180 Cells Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C5 180 Cells Bidg C6 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C8 180 Cells Bidg C9 180 Cells	100		150	IV	SNY								Х
Bidg B4	100		150	IV	SNY								Х
Bidg B5	100	Bldg B3	150	IV	SNY								Х
Total	100	Bldg B4	150	IV	SNY								Х
FACILITY C	100	Bldg B5	150	IV	SNY								Х
Bidg C1	500	Total	750										
Bidg C1 180 Cells Bidg C2 180 Cells Bidg C3 180 Cells Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C5 180 Cells Bidg C6 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C8 180 Cells Total  FACILITY D Bidg D1 180 Cells Bidg D2 180 Cells Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells	•												
Bidg C2		FACII							1				
Bidg C3	64		96	IV	GP	ļ							Х
Bidg C4 180 Cells Bidg C5 180 Cells Bidg C6 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C8 180 Cells Total  FACILITY D Bidg D1 180 Cells Bidg D2 180 Cells Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells	64		96	IV	GP	<u> </u>							Х
Bldg C5	64		96	IV	GP	<u> </u>							Х
Bidg C6 180 Cells Bidg C7 180 Cells Bidg C8 180 Cells Total  FACILITY D Bidg D1 180 Cells Bidg D2 180 Cells Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total	64		96	IV	GP	<u> </u>							Х
Bldg C7	64		96	IV	GP	<del>                                     </del>							Х
Bldg C8	64		96	IV	GP	<u> </u>							X
Total   FACILITY D	64		96	IV	GP OR	1							X
FACILITY D	64 512		96 768	IV	GP								Х
Bidg D1 180 Cells Bidg D2 180 Cells Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total	512	TOTAL	700										
Bidg D1 180 Cells Bidg D2 180 Cells Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total	1	FACII											
Bldg D2 180 Cells Bldg D3 180 Cells Bldg D4 180 Cells Bldg D4 180 Cells Bldg D5 180 Cells Bldg D6 180 Cells Bldg D7 180 Cells Bldg D7 180 Cells Bldg D8 180 Cells Total	64		96	IV	GP	1							Х
Bidg D3 180 Cells Bidg D4 180 Cells Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total  ASU Facility 7	64		96	IV	GP								Х
Bidg D5 180 Cells Bidg D6 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total  ASU Facility 7	64		96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg D6 180 Cells Bldg D7 180 Cells Bldg D8 180 Cells Total  ASU	64	Bldg D4	96	IV	GP								Х
Bidg D7 180 Cells Bidg D8 180 Cells Total  ASU	64	Bldg D5	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg D8 180 Cells Total  ASU Facility 7	64	Bldg D6	96	IV	GP								Х
Total ASU	64	Bldg D7	80	N/A	ASU								Х
ASU Facility 7	64		80	N/A	ASU	<u> </u>							Х
Facility 7	512	Total	736										
Facility 7	l	Λ.											
ASII	4		40-										
Stand Alone	100		125	N/A	ASU								
Total	100	Total	125										
GRAND TOTAL	2,324	GRAND	3,329			0	1,492	540	108	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 45% 16% 3% 0% 0%

#### HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

HDSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 11-12		FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal	1.0		1.0		1.0		
Assistant Principal	2	.0	2.0		2.0		
Office Technician	2	2.0		2.0		.0	
Office Assistant	1.0		1.0		1.0		
Senior Librarian	1.0		1	.0	1	.0	
Librarian	0.0		1	.0	1	.0	
Library Technical Ass't	4.	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Tester	3.	.0	3	.0	3	.0	
Teaching Assistant	5	.0	5	.0	5	.0	
Television Specialist	1.	1.0 1.0		.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1.0		1	.0	
TOTALS	20	0.0	20.0		20	0.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	11.0	594	7.0	378	8.0	432	
Isolated Population	1.0	108	1.0	108	1.0	108	
Voluntary Educ. Program	2.0	240	2.0	240	2.0	240	
TOTALS	14.0	942	10.0	726	11.0	780	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0		0	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies		0		0		0	
Plumbing		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
	2.0	54	4.0	108	4.0	108	

#### HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

2 1,492
1,492
2 1,492
2

	_,	_,	_,

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Ironwood State Prison** 

## IRONWOOD STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	4.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	29.0	27.8	-1.2
	Sergeant	68.9	68.8	-0.1
	Officer	613.5	609.1	-4.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
Correctional Counscion	CCII	8.0	8.5	0.5
	CCI	23.8	20.0	-3.8
Support Services	Total PY	120.1	111.0	-9.1
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	32.9	31.6	-1.3
Personnel	Total PY	16.6	20.0	3.4
Plant Operations	Total PY	52.0	48.0	-4.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	33.0	34.0	1.0
Vocation	Total PY	11.0	5.0	-6.0
Dental	Total PY	38.0	32.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	10.5	11.0	0.5
Custody Total	Subtotal	757.2	747.2	-10.0
Non Custody	Subtotal	234.6	223.6	-11.0
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	44.0	39.0	-5.0
Health Care	Subtotal	48.5	43.0	-5.5
Institution Total		1,084.3	1,052.8	-31.5

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## IRONWOOD STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
		1											
	SF					1		1	1	1	1		
Bldg 1	E-Dorm	100	100	l	GP								
Bldg 2	E-Dorm	100	100 200		GP								
Total		200	200										
FACIL	LITY A	1											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		500	725										
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Total		500	750										
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	750										
FACIL	LITY D	1											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 7	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP					1			
Total		500	750										
						<u> </u>							
GRAND	TOTAL	2,200	3,175			0	1,977	540	135	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY							
0%	62%	17%	4%	0%	0%		

#### IRONWOOD STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

ISP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDU		1 10	<b>5</b> )/ /	0.40	FY 13-14		
Staff		1-12		2-13			
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Librarian	0			.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester		.0	2	.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			.0	1.0		
TOTALS	20	0.0	16	6.0	16	5.0	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	8.0	432	10.0	540	10.0	540	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	6.0	720	8.0	960	8.0	960	
TOTALS	14.0	1,152	18.0	1,500	18.0	1,500	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Education							
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Computer Literacy		0		0		0	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Office Technologies	2.0	54	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Pluming	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding	1.0	27		0		0	
TBD		0		0	-4.0	-108	
TOTALS	10.0	270	9.0	243	5.0	135	

### IRONWOOD STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,977	1,977	1,977
TOTALS	1,977	1,977	1,977

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Kern Valley State Prison

#### KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	7.0	1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	31.3	31.8	0.5
	Sergeant	84.7	89.0	4.3
	Officer	914.0	822.5	-91.5
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
ochrosiional ocanicolor	CCII	12.0	11.0	-1.0
	CCI	30.5	22.0	-8.5
Support Services	Total PY	168.5	128.0	-40.5
Canteen	Total PY	8.0	8.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	56.2	36.4	-19.8
Personnel	Total PY	29.0	23.0	-6.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	72.7	62.0	-10.7
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	35.0	36.0	1.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	6.0	-1.0
Dental	Total PY	38.0	35.0	-3.0
Mental Health	Total PY	41.1	58.5	17.4
Custody	Subtotal	1,087.5	992.3	-95.2
Non Custody	Subtotal	339.4	262.4	-77.0
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	42.0	42.0	0.0
Health Care	Subtotal	79.1	93.5	14.4
Institution Total		1,548.0	1,390.2	-157.7

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
M	SF												
Dorm 1	E-Dorm	100	100	I	GP								Х
Dorm 2	E-Dorm	100	100	1	GP								Х
Total		200	200										
EACII	_ITY A	1											
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								х
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								X
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								х
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP CP					-	+	<b></b> -	X
Bldg 8 Total	180 Cells	64 512	96 768	IV	GP								Х
IUlai		312	100										
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								Х
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP					-		<u> </u>	X
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64 64	96 96	IV IV	GP GP					<u> </u>		<b>-</b>	X
Bldg 7 Bldg 8	180 Cells 180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP GP							<del>                                     </del>	X
Total	100 0013	512	752	1 V	Gi								^
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY								Х
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY								Х
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	96	IV.	SNY	-				-			X
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64 64	96 96	IV IV	SNY							<del>                                     </del>	X
Bldg 5 Bldg 6	180 Cells 180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY							<del>                                     </del>	x
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY								X
Bldg 8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY EOP								Х
Total		512	768										
	LITY D		ı	1	T	1	1		1				
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	96	IV.	SNY	1				-	+	<b>-</b>	X
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY	-				<del>                                     </del>	+	<del>                                     </del>	X
Bldg 3 Bldg 4	180 Cells 180 Cells	64 64	96 96	IV IV	SNY	1				<del>                                     </del>			X
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY	+				<del>                                     </del>			X
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	SNY	1				t	1		X
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	THU								
Bldg 8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	THU								Х
Total		512	768										
ACII STA	ND ALONE												
Z01	ND ALONE ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU					1			х
Z02	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								X
Total	7.50	200	250		7.00								
	TOTAL	2,448	3,506			0	1,469	940	162	0	0	1	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
0% 42% 23% 5% 0% 0%								

#### KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN


KVSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDUC		4.40	EV 4	0.40	FY 13-14		
Staff		1-12		2-13			
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester		.0		.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)		.0		.0		.0	
TOTALS	20	).0	17	7.0	17	7.0	
= : :							
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
0 15 1 "	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	12.0	648	14.0	756	15.0	810	
Isolated Population	1.0	0	1.0	0	4.0	0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	4.0	480	4.0	480	
TOTALS	16.0	1,128	18.0	1,236	19.0	1,290	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0		0	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0		0	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies	3.0	81	2.0	54	2.0	54	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
TBD		0		0		0	
TOTALS	6.0	162	5.0	135	6.0	162	

### KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,469	1,469	1,469
TOTALS	1,469	1,469	1,469

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Mule Creek State Prison** 

#### MULE CREEK STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Changes	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	4.0	4.0	0.0
	Captain	5.0	5.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	22.7	23.6	0.9
-	Sergeant	67.2	69.8	2.6
	Officer	542.5	536.1	-6.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	12.0	7.5	-4.5
	CCI	22.5	18.0	-4.5
Support Services	Total PY	109.2	109.0	-0.2
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	26.3	28.6	2.3
Personnel	Total PY	12.8	21.0	8.2
Plant Operations	Total PY	49.5	54.0	4.5
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	28.0	32.0	4.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	3.0	-4.0
Dental	Total PY	32.0	27.5	-4.5
Mental Health	Total PY	76.2	106.5	30.3
Custody	Subtotal	678.9	667.0	-11.9
Non Custody	Subtotal	210.8	225.6	14.8
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	35.0	35.0	0.0
Health Care	Subtotal	108.2	134.0	25.8
Institution Total		1032.9	1061.6	28.7

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# MULE CREEK STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
MC	F 1	Ī											
Dorm #1	Dorm	96	96	ı	GP	Х			ı	1	1	l .	
Dorm #2	Dorm	96	96	<u>'</u> I	GP	x							
Firehouse	Domi	8	8	i	GP	<u> </u>							
Total		200	200	-	Gi								
FACII	LITY A												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	х							
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	х							
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	х							
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY EOP								
Total		500	750										
FACIL	LITY B												
Bldg 6	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY EOP								
Bldg 7	270 Cells	100	150	Ш	SNY EOP							Х	
Bldg 8	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х						Х	
Bldg 9	270 Cells	100	150	Ш	SNY	Х							
Bldg 10	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Total		500	750										
		•											
FACIL	LITY C												
Bldg 11	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 12	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Bldg 13	270 Cells	50	50	N/A	ASU EOP								
Bldg 13	270 Cells	50	75	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 14	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 15	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Total		500	700										
GRAND	TOTAL	1,700	2,400			359	1,374	540	81	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

15% 57% 23% 3% 0% 0%

#### MULE CREEK STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

MCSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION					
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Assistant Principal	2	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Office Technician	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Librarian	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Library Technical Ass't	3.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Teaching Assistant	6.	.0	6	.0	6	.0
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
TOTALS	19	0.0	18	3.0	18	3.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	6.0	324	8.0	432	10.0	540
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	4.0	480	4.0	480	4.0	480
TOTALS	10.0	804	12.0	912	14.0	1,020
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0		_		0
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0		0		0
Cosmetology		_		0		0
Electrical Work	2.0	0	2.0	0	2.0	0
Electronics		54		54		54
HVAC	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry	4.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	0
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair	4.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	0
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
TBD	0.0	0		0	-3.0	-81
TOTALS	6.0	162	6.0	162	3.0	81

### MULE CREEK STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	326	326	326
Support Services Assignments	1,374	1,374	1,374
TOTALS	1,700	1,700	1,700

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



North Kern State Prison

#### NORTH KERN STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Starring Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	6.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	28.7	29.8	1.1
	Sergeant	78.6	60.8	-17.8
	Officer	722.7	626.2	-96.5
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	12.2	12.0	-0.2
	CCI	61.4	44.0	-17.4
Support Services	Total PY	214.0	165.0	-49.0
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	41.0	37.6	-3.4
Personnel	Total PY	21.5	22.0	0.5
Plant Operations	Total PY	65.0	54.0	-11.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	23.0	23.0	0.0
Vocation	Total PY	0.0	3.0	3.0
Dental	Total PY	40.0	35.5	-4.5
Mental Health	Total PY	71.0	79.8	8.8
Custody	Subtotal	919.6	787.8	-131.8
Non Custody	Subtotal	353.5	290.6	-62.9
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	23.0	26.0	3.0
Health Care	Subtotal	111.0	115.3	4.3
Institution Total		1,407.1	1,219.7	-187.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### NORTH KERN STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	05												
	SF C Darm	100	100		GP	1			l				
Bldg 1	E-Dorm	100 100	100	l I	GP GP								
Bldg 2	E-Dorm	100	100 10	<u>'</u>	GP GP								
Firehouse Total		210	210	I	GP								
Total		210	210							ļ			
FACII	LITY A												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	750										
	LITY B			1	1	1			1	1			
Bldg 1	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 2	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 3	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 4	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 5	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 6	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Total		600	900										
FACIL	ITV C												
	Dorm	440	040	NI/A	DO.	1			I				l v
Dorm 1		146	219	N/A	RC DC								X
Dorm 2 Dorm 3	Dorm Dorm	146 146	219 219	N/A N/A	RC RC								X
Dorm 4	Dorm	146	219	N/A	RC								X
Dorm E	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								X
Dorm W	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								X
Total	E Boilli	784	1176	14/7 (	T(O								^
rotar		701	1110							l .			
FACII	LITY D												
Bldg 1	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 2	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 3	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC	Ì							Х
Bldg 4	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 5	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 6	Wingnut Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								Х
Total		600	875										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,694	3,911			0	474	108	81	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 12% 3% 2% 0% 0%

#### NORTH KERN STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

NKSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Assistant Principal	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Office Technician	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Office Assistant	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Senior Librarian	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Librarian	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Library Technical Ass't	4	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Tester	6	.0	6	.0	6.0		
Teaching Assistant	4	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1.0		
TOTALS	20	).0	16	6.0	16.0		
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	
General Population	1.0	54	2.0	108	2.0	108	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	2.0	240	5.0	600	5.0	600	
TOTALS	3.0	294	7.0	708	7.0	708	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0		0	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0	4.0	0	4.0	0	
Electronics		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC	<del> </del>	0		0		0	
Machine Shop	<b>_</b>	0		0		0	
Masonry	<b>_</b>	0		0		0	
Office Technologies	<b>_</b>	0		0		0	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal	<b>_</b>	0	4.0	0	4.5	0	
Small Engine Repair		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
TOTALS	0.0	0	3.0	81	3.0	81	

### NORTH KERN STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	474	474	474
TOTALS	474	474	474

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Pelican Bay State Prison

### PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	8.0	6.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	34.6	30.8	-3.8
	Sergeant	96.1	96.2	0.1
	Officer	822.3	807.4	-14.9
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
Concellenar Counscion	CCII	17.0	13.5	-3.5
	CCI	25.5	24.0	-1.5
Support Services	Total PY	106.0	116.0	10.0
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	36.0	36.4	0.4
Personnel	Total PY	23.4	23.0	-0.4
Plant Operations	Total PY	56.0	66.0	10.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	4.0	-1.0
Education	Total PY	21.0	20.0	-1.0
Vocation	Total PY	1.0	1.0	0.0
Dental	Total PY	31.7	30.7	-1.0
Mental Health	Total PY	72.6	72.0	-0.6
Custody	Subtotal	1,011.5	985.9	-25.6
Non Custody	Subtotal	232.4	251.4	19.0
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	22.0	21.0	-1.0
Health Care	Subtotal	104.3	102.7	-1.6
Institution Total		1,370.2	1,361.0	-9.2

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
MC	`F	1											
Bldg 1	Dorm	96	96	I	GP	х							
Bldg 2	Dorm	96	96	1	GP	х							
Firehouse		8	8	1	GP								
Total		200	200										
FAOU	ITV A	1											
FACIL Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU		ı —						
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	х							
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU	<u> </u>							
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	х							
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	Х							
Bldg 8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	х							
Total		512	736										
FACIL	ITY B	1											
Bldg 1	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU		1					Х	
Bldg 2	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	PSU								
Bldg 3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	EOP								
Bldg 4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Bldg 5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Bldg 6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								
Bldg 7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	-							
Bldg 8 Total	180 Cells	64 512	96 704	IV	GP								
Total		512	704										
FACIL	ITY C	1											
Bldg 1	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 2	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 3	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 4	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 5	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 6	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU	-	-						
Bldg 7 Bldg 8	SHU SHU	48 48	58 58	N/A N/A	SHU								
Bldg 9	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 10	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 11	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 12	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Total		576	691										
FAC::	ITV D	ı											
FACIL Bldg 1	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU		ı						
Bldg 2	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 3	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 4	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 5	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 6	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 7	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU								
Bldg 8	SHU	48	58	N/A	SHU	<u> </u>	ļ						
Bldg 9 Bldg 10	SHU SHU	48 48	58 58	N/A N/A	SHU SHU	-	-						
Total	5.10	480	576	.,,,,	3110								
		1											
AS			1		1				1				1
Stand Alone	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,380	3,032			17	665	540	27	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

1% | 22% | 18% | 1% | 0% | 0%

Appendix B: Institution Staffing, Housing, and Programming Plan

#### PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

PBSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDU	_	4.40	EV 4	0.40	EV 4	2.44	
Staff		1-12		2-13		3-14	
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0		.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Senior Librarian		.0		.0	1.0		
Librarian		.0		.0		.0	
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0	
Tester		.0		.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant		.0		.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)		.0		.0		.0	
TOTALS	12	2.0	12	2.0	12	2.0	
= : :	A 41						
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
0 15 1 "	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	0.0	0		0		0	
Isolated Population	6.0	648	5.0	540	5.0	540	
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	3.0	360	3.0	360	
TOTALS	9.0	1,008	8.0	900	8.0	900	
			_		_		
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0	
Auto Repair	1.0	27		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0		0	
Carpentry		0		0		0	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work		0		0		0	
Electronics		0		0		0	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0		0	
Office Technologies		0		0		0	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0	
Welding		0		0		0	
TBD		0		0		0	
TOTALS	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	

### PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	17	17	17
Support Services Assignments	665	665	665
TOTALS	682	682	682

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Pleasant Valley State Prison

### PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	5.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	33.1	28.8	-4.3
,	Sergeant	80.8	78.8	-2.0
	Officer	712.9	706.3	-6.6
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
correctional counscion	CCII	10.0	9.0	-1.0
	CCI	31.5	21.0	-10.5
Support Services	Total PY	144.0	122.0	-22.0
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	38.2	32.6	-5.6
Personnel	Total PY	20.7	22.0	1.3
Plant Operations	Total PY	74.9	61.0	-13.9
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	4.0	5.0	1.0
Education	Total PY	33.0	37.0	4.0
Vocation	Total PY	11.0	5.0	-6.0
Dental	Total PY	41.0	33.0	-8.0
Mental Health	Total PY	46.0	64.5	18.5
Custody	Subtotal	883.3	857.9	-25.4
Non Custody	Subtotal	287.8	248.6	-39.2
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	44.0	42.0	-2.0
Health Care	Subtotal	87.0	97.5	10.5
Institution Total		1,302.1	1,246.0	-56.1

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	-	1											
Did a		400	400	ı	OD				l				v
Bldg 1	E-Dorm	100	100	l I	GP GP							-	X
Bldg 2	E-Dorm	100 8	100 8	l	GP GP								Х.
Firehouse Total		208	208	-	GP								
TOtal		200	200										
FACIL	ITY A	Ī											
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								
Total		500	750		511.1								
FACIL	ITY B												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								
Total		500	750										
_													
FACIL	ITY C												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP								Х
Total		500	750										
		•											
FACIL				,					1				
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY							Ш	Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								Х
Total		500	725										
AS	SU .												
Stand Alone	ASU	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Total		100	125		1.50								
GRAND	TOTAL	2,308	3,308			0	1,740	918	135	0	0	]	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 53% 28% 4% 0% 0%

#### PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN


PVSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDU	JCATION						
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Principal	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
Assistant Principal	3	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Office Technician	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1	.0	1.0		
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1	.0	1.0		
Librarian	2	.0	1	.0	1.0		
Library Technical Ass't	3	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Teaching Assistant	4.	.0	2	.0	2	.0	
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0	
TOTALS		0.0		6.0		6.0	
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	
General Population	12.0	648	14.0	756	17.0	918	
Isolated Population		0		0		0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	2.0	240	4.0	480	4.0	480	
TOTALS	14.0	888	18.0	1,236	21.0	1,398	
				,		,,,,,,,	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
				. ,		. ,	
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Building Maintenance	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Computer Literacy		0		0		0	
Cosmetology		0		0		0	
Electrical Work	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
HVAC		0		0		0	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Plumbing		0		0		0	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
TBD*		0		0	-5.0	-135	
TOTALS	10.0	270	10.0	270	5.0	135	

### PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	1,740	1,740	1,740
TOTALS	1,740	1,740	1,740

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility

### RICHARD J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY STAFFING STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 7A*	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staning Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	5.0	-1.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	32.1	27.8	-4.3
	Sergeant	84.3	78.8	-5.5
	Officer	749.4	682.5	-66.9
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	12.1	10.0	-2.1
	CCI	44.5	25.0	-19.5
Support Services	Total PY	179.4	119.5	-59.9
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	34.8	35.4	0.6
Personnel	Total PY	20.0	22.0	2.0
Plant Operations	Total PY	54.0	57.0	3.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	32.5	31.0	-1.5
Vocation	Total PY	3.0	7.0	4.0
Dental	Total PY	36.0	34.0	-2.0
Mental Health	Total PY	114.5	138.1	23.6
Custody	Subtotal	938.4	838.1	-100.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	301.2	246.9	-54.3
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	35.5	38.0	2.5
Health Care	Subtotal	150.5	172.1	21.6
Institution Total		1,425.6	1,295.1	-130.5

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## RICHARD J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
24	SF	Ī											
Bldg 21	Dorm	96	96	ı	GP	Π			1				
Bldg 21	Dorm	96	96	l I	GP GP					-			
Fire House	Domi	8	8	ı İ	GP					<u> </u>			
Total		200	200		Gi								
Total		200	200										
FACIL	ITY A												
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	EOP								Х
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	EOP								Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	х							Х
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							Х
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							Х
Total		500	750										
		•											
FACIL	JTY B												
Unit 06	270 Cells	50	63	N/A	ASU								Х
Unit 06	270 Cells	50	50	N/A	EOP ASU								Х
Unit 07	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								Х
Unit 08	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Unit 09	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Unit 10	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							Х
Total		500	688										
		ī											
	LITY C		1	1			1					1	1
Unit 11	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	X						Х	X
Unit 12	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х							Х
Unit 13	270 Cells	100	150	IV.	SNY	X							X
Unit 14	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY	Х				ļ			X
Unit 15	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY EOP							Х	Х
Total		500	750										
EACII	.ITY D	1											
Bldg 16	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	1			1			1	Х
Bldg 17	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	1						1	X
Bldg 18	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY								X
Bldg 19	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY				<u> </u>				X
Bldg 20	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	х							X
Total		500	750			Ė							
GRAND	TOTAL	2,200	3,138			248	886	432	189	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

8% 28% 14% 6% 0% 0%

#### RICHARD J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

RJD will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

CORRECTIONAL EDUC	ATION							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14			
Principal	1.	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Assistant Principal	2	.0	2	.0	2.0			
Office Technician	2	2.0		.0	2.0			
Office Assistant	1.	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Senior Librarian	1.	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Librarian	2	.0	1	.0	1.0			
Library Technical Ass't	4.	.5	2	.0	2.0			
Tester	3	.0	3	.0	3	.0		
Teaching Assistant	4	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0		
TOTALS	21	.5	16	6.0	16	6.0		
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity		
General Population	3.0	162	4.0	216	4.0	216		
Isolated Population	2.0	216	2.0	216	2.0	216		
Voluntary Educ. Program	6.0	720	9.0	1,080	9.0	1,080		
TOTALS	11.0	1,098	15.0	1,512	15.0	1,512		
		,		7-		7-2		
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted		
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity		
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0		
Auto Repair		0		0		0		
Building Maintenance		0		0		0		
Carpentry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	2.0	54		
Cosmetology		0		0		0		
Electrical Work		0		0		0		
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
HVAC		0	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Machine Shop	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
Masonry		0		0		0		
Office Technologies		0		0		0		
Plumbing		0		0		0		
Sheet Metal		0		0		0		
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0		
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27		
TBD		0		0		0		
TOTALS	3.0	81	6.0	162	7.0	189		

#### RICHARD J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	186	186	186
Support Services Assignments	886	886	886
TOTALS	1,072	1,072	1,072

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Salinas Valley State Prison

## SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes			
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0			
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0			
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	6.0	0.0			
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0			
Custody*	Lieutenant	28.7	29.8	1.1			
	Sergeant	99.2	93.0	-6.2			
	Officer	813.6	798.1	-15.5			
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0			
	CCII	11.0	11.0	0.0			
	CCI	30.5	27.0	-3.5			
Support Services	Total PY	120.1	120.5	0.4			
Canteen	Total PY	7.0	7.0	0.0			
Food Services	Total PY	44.4	38.6	-5.8			
Personnel	Total PY	21.5	23.0	1.5			
Plant Operations	Total PY	68.0	58.0	-10.0			
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0			
Education	Total PY	32.0	25.0	-7.0			
Vocation	Total PY	0.0	1.0	1.0			
Dental	Total PY	36.0	33.0	-3.0			
Mental Health	Total PY	78.7	85.5	6.8			
Custody	Subtotal	998.0	973.9	-24.1			
Non Custody	Subtotal	266.0	252.1	-13.9			
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	32.0	26.0	-6.0			
Health Care	Subtotal	114.7	118.5	3.8			
Institution Total		1,410.8	1,370.5	-40.3			

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

## SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	SF	1											
Bldg 1	Dorm	100	100	I	GP	1	1	l		ı	I		х
Bldg 2	Dorm	100	100	i	GP GP								X
Total	20.111	200	200		G.								,
					•					•			
FACIL	ITY A												
Bldg A1	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								Х
Bldg A2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								Х
Bldg A3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY								Х
Bldg A4	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY		<u> </u>					L	X
Bldg A5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	SNY EOP							Х	Х
Total		500	750										
Bldg B1	LITY B 270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP							х	х
Bldg B2	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Bldg B3	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP		-						Х
Bldg B4	270 Cells	100	150	IV.	GP					-			X
Bldg B5	270 Cells	100	150	IV	GP								Х
Total		500	750										
FACIL	ITY C	I											
Bldg C1	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg C2	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg C3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg C4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg C5	180 Cells	64	64	DMH	ICF								Х
Bldg C6	180 Cells	64	64	DMH	ICF								Х
Bldg C7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg C8	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Total		512	704										
FACIL	ITV D	I											
Bldg D1	180 Cells	64	64	N/A	ASU EOP		1						Х
Bldg D2	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								х
Bldg D3	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg D4	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP								Х
Bldg D5	180 Cells	64	96	IV	EOP								Х
Bldg D6	180 Cells	64	96	IV	EOP								Х
Bldg D7	180 Cells	64	96	IV	GP	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		Х
Bldg D8	180 Cells	64	80	N/A	ASU								Х
Total		512	704										
IC	F	1											
I-1		64	64	DMH	ICF								Х
I-2		64	64	DMH	ICF								Х
Total		128	128										
	ACLI	100	105	NI/A	ACII	1	I						
Stand Alone Total	ASU	100	125 125	N/A	ASU								
I Jiai		100	120										
GRAND	TOTAL	2,452	3,361			0	1,287	324	27	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

0% 38% 10% 1% 0% 0%

## SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

SVSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDU	JCATION					
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal	1	.0	1	1.0		.0
Assistant Principal	2	.0	1.0		1.0	
Office Technician	2	.0	2.0		2	.0
Office Assistant	1	.0	1.0		1	.0
Senior Librarian	1	1.0		1.0		.0
Librarian	1.0		1.0		1	.0
Library Technical Ass't	3	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Teaching Assistant	5	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Television Specialist	1	.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0	.0	1	.0	1	.0
TOTALS	19	0.0	14	1.0	14	1.0
Academic Education	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity
General Population	7.0	378	6.0	324	6.0	324
Isolated Population	7.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Voluntary Educ. Program	6.0	720	5.0	600	5.0	600
TOTALS	13.0	1,098	11.0	924	11.0	924
TOTALO	10.0	1,000	11.0	JE4	11.0	JZ-T
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0		0		0
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0		0		0
Electronics		0		0		0
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies		0		0		0
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding		0		0		0
TBD		0		0		0
TOTALS	0.0	0	1.0	27	1.0	27

## SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0		
Support Services Assignments	1,287	1,287	1,287		
TOTALS	1,287	1,287	1,287		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Sierra Conservation Center** 

## SIERRA CONSERVATION CENTER STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	7.0	6.0	-1.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	46.0	44.0	-2.0
	Sergeant	72.7	82.0	9.3
	Officer	519.5	500.3	-19.2
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	1.0	1.0	0.0
	CCII	8.0	7.5	-0.5
	CCI	32.5	22.0	-10.5
Support Services	Total PY	130.4	129.0	-1.4
Support Services (Camps)	Total PY	7.0	8.0	1.0
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Canteen (Camps)		1.0	1.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	25.0	24.8	-0.2
Food Services (Camps)	Total PY	2.0	3.0	1.0
Personnel	Total PY	18.7	19.0	0.3
Plant Operations	Total PY	48.0	56.0	8.0
Plant Operations (Camps)	Total PY	5.0	3.0	-2.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	30.0	36.0	6.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	11.0	4.0
Dental	Total PY	39.0	33.0	-6.0
Mental Health	Total PY	23.8	26.0	2.2
Custody	Subtotal	693.7	669.8	-23.9
Non Custody	Subtotal	248.1	254.8	6.7
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	37.0	47.0	10.0
Health Care	Subtotal	62.8	59.0	-3.8
Institution Total		1,041.6	1,030.6	-11.0

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

#### SIERRA CONSERVATION CAMP HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
	ITV A	1											
	LITY A	400				1				1		1	
Section A	Dorm	192	288	<u> </u>	GP	X					ļ		
Section B	Dorm	224	336	I	GP	Х					<u> </u>		
Section C	Dorm	192	288	I	GP	Х					ļ		
Firehouse		10	15	I	GP								
Total		618	927										
		1											
	LITY B												
Section D	Dorm	192	288	II	GP	Х					ļ		
Section E	Dorm	224	336	II	GP	Х							
Section F	Dorm	192	288	II	GP	Х							
Total		608	912										
	LITY C					1				ı			1
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Bldg 5	270 Cells	100	150	III	SNY	Х							
Total		500	725										
·	-	•							-		•		
CAI	MPS												
Camp #1	Camps	120	120	I	CMP								
Camp #2	Camps	120	120	<u> </u>	CMP	1							
Camp #3	Camps	120	120		CMP								
Camp #4	Camps	120 120	120 120	l	CMP CMP	1							
Camp #5 Camp #6	Camps Camps	120 160	120 160	I	CMP						<u> </u>		
Camp #6 Camp #7	Camps	100	100	1	CMP	+							
Camp #7	Camps	160	160	1	CMP	1							
Camp #9	Camps	120	120	ı	CMP	1					1		1
Total	Carripo	1140	1140	,	O.WII								
GRAND	TOTAL	2,866	3,704			121	1,274	702	297	0	0	]	

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY								
3%	34%	19%	8%	0%	0%			

#### SIERRA CONSERVATION CENTER (SCC)

SCC will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Assistant Principal	3	.0	3.0		3.0	
Office Technician	2	.0	2.0		2.0	
Office Assistant	1.	1.0		.0	1.0	
Senior Librarian	1.	1.0		1.0		.0
Librarian	2	.0	1.0		1	.0
Library Technical Ass't	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
Tester	2	.0	2	.0	2	.0
Teaching Assistant	5	.0	4	.0	4	.0
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	3	.0	3	.0
TOTALS	19	0.0	20	0.0	20	0.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population	9.0	486	11.0	594	13.0	702
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	3.0	360	3.0	360	3.0	360
TOTALS	12.0	846	14.0	954	16.0	1,062
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Auto Repair	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Building Maintenance	1.0	0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Carpentry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Computer Literacy	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	27
Cosmetology	<del> </del>	0		0	1.0	0
Electrical Work		0		0		0
Electronics		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
Plumbing		0	-	0	-	0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0	1.0	27
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27
TBD				0	1.0	27
IBD	0		8.0 216		1.0	41

### SIERRA CONSERVATION CENTER (SCC)

Prison Industries Authority Programs	152	152	152		
Support Services Assignments	1,274	1,274	1,274		
TOTALS	1,426	1,426	1,426		

Total Annual Capacity *	2,434	2,596	2,785

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



Valley State Prison for Women

## VALLEY STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	5.0	5.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	4.0	-2.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	26.4	19.2	-7.2
	Sergeant	59.8	49.8	-10.0
	Officer	409.6	437.0	27.4
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	9.0	7.0	-2.0
	CCI	24.5	18.0	-6.5
Support Services	Total PY	116.6	108.0	-8.6
Canteen	Total PY	6.0	6.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	25.9	32.6	6.7
Personnel	Total PY	15.9	18.0	2.1
Plant Operations	Total PY	45.0	56.0	11.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	26.0	35.0	9.0
Vocation	Total PY	7.0	16.0	9.0
Dental	Total PY	31.5	27.0	-4.5
Mental Health	Total PY	59.7	42.5	-17.2
Custody	Subtotal	544.3	543.0	-1.3
Non Custody	Subtotal	214.4	225.6	11.2
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	33.0	51.0	18.0
Health Care	Subtotal	91.2	69.5	-21.7
Institution Total		882.9	889.1	6.2

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# VALLEY STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
FACIL	ITY A												
Bldg 1	Cross Top	128	192	II	GP								Х
Bldg 2	Cross Top	128	192	II.	GP								Х
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	II	GP								Х
Bldq 4	270 Cells	88	110	II.	ASU								х
Total		444	644										
			-										
FACIL	ITY B												
Bldg 1	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 2	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 3	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 4	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Total		512	768										
FACIL	TY C												
Bldg 1	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 2	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 3	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 4	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Total		512	768										
FACIL										1		1	
Bldg 1	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 2	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х					<u> </u>		Х
Bldg 3	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Bldg 4	Cross Top	128	192	II	SNY	Х							Х
Total		512	768										
GRAND	TOTAL	1,980	2,948			199	1,287	864	432	140	20		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY

7% | 44% | 29% | 15% | 5% | 1%

### VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

VSP will be designated as a Re-Entry Hub.


Programs at this institution will include Academic Education, Career Technical Education, Substance Abuse Treatment, Cognitive-Behavioral Programs (including criminal thinking, anger management, and family programming), Employment Training, and a cognitive behavioral based program for life-term inmates. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 13-14		
Principal		.0		.0		.0	
Assistant Principal		.0		.0	2.0		
Office Technician		.0	1.0		1.0		
Office Assistant		.0	1.0		1.0		
Senior Librarian		.0	1.0		1.0		
Librarian		.0	1.0		1.0		
Library Technical Ass't	0.0			.0		.0	
Tester	3.0			.0		.0	
Teaching Assistant		5.0		.0		.0	
Television Specialist		.0		.0		.0	
PE Teacher (Coach)	0			.0		.0	
TOTALS		3.0		5. <b>0</b>		5. <b>0</b>	
TOTALO	1		1.	,. <u>.</u>		,,, <u>v</u>	
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Academic Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
General Population	7.0	378	10.0	540	16.0	864	
Isolated Population	7.0	0	10.0	0	10.0	0	
Voluntary Educ. Program	2.0	240	4.0	480	4.0	480	
TOTALS	9.0	618	14.0	1,020	20.0	1,344	
1017120	0.0	0.10	1-110	1,020	2010	1,044	
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	
		. ,					
Auto Mechanics	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Auto Repair		0		0		0	
Building Maintenance		0		0	2.0	54	
Carpentry		0	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	2.0	54	
Cosmetology	1.0	27	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Electric Work		0		0		0	
Electronics	1.0	27	1.0	27	2.0	54	
HVAC	1.0	27		0	1.0	27	
Machine Shop		0		0		0	
Masonry		0		0	1.0	27	
Office Technologies	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
Plumbing		0		0	1.0	27	
Sheet Metal		0		0		0	
Small Engine Repair		0		0	1.0	27	
Welding	1.0	27	1.0	27	1.0	27	
TBD		0		0	2.0	54	
TOTALS	6.0	162	6.0	162	16.0	432	

## VALLEY STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

OFFENDER SERVICES							
Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14	
Contract Treatment Programs	Authorized Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	Program Slots	Annual Capacity	
Substance Abuse	120	288	140	336	192	384	
Cognitive-Behavioral	0	0	0	0	288	960	
TOTALS	120	288	140	336	480	1,344	
Employment Programs	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	Program Slots	Annual Served	
Transitions Program	20	290	20	228	20	228	
Identification (ID) Project	0	914	-	405	-	405	
TOTALS	20	1,204	20	633	20	633	
<b>ADDITIONAL INMATE AC</b>	CTIVITIES						
Prison Industries Authority Programs	(	)		0	0		
Cumpart Convince	1,2	997	1.	287	1.1	287	
Support Services Assignments	1,2	.01	1,4	207	1,2	.07	
TOTALS	1,2	287	1,2	287	1,2	287	
Total Annual Capacity *	3,5	559	3,438		5,040		

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



**Wasco State Prison** 

#### WASCO STATE PRISON STANDARDIZED STAFFING SUMMARY

Staffing Category	Classification	Staffing July 2011	Standardized Staffing Totals July 2013	Staffing Changes
Management	Warden	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Chief Deputy	1.0	1.0	0.0
	Assoc. Warden	6.0	6.0	0.0
	Captain	6.0	6.0	0.0
Custody*	Lieutenant	35.5	33.6	-1.9
,	Sergeant	77.5	81.4	3.9
	Officer	780.7	702.7	-78.0
Correctional Counselor	CCIII	2.0	1.0	-1.0
	CCII	13.2	14.0	0.8
	CCI	66.5	49.0	-17.5
Support Services	Total PY	229.4	172.0	-57.4
Canteen	Total PY	8.0	8.0	0.0
Food Services	Total PY	50.8	50.8	0.0
Personnel	Total PY	30.1	23.0	-7.1
Plant Operations	Total PY	74.0	60.0	-14.0
Enterprise Information Systems (EIS)	Total PY	5.0	5.0	0.0
Education	Total PY	20.0	21.0	-1.0
Vocation	Total PY	0.0	3.0	3.0
Dental	Total PY	41.0	37.5	-3.5
Mental Health	Total PY	77.7	74.0	-3.7
Custody	Subtotal	989.4	895.7	-93.7
Non Custody	Subtotal	397.3	318.8	-78.5
Inmate Programs	Subtotal	20.0	24.0	2.0
Health Care	Subtotal	118.7	111.5	-7.2
Institution Total		1,525.4	1,350.0	-177.4

<sup>\*</sup>Custody includes Health Care Access (HCA), assumes an 8% reduction and ratio relief positions. Staffing numbers are subject to change, not all of the institutions have been reviewed.

# WASCO STATE PRISON HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	ТҮРЕ	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	SUPPORT SERVICES	ACADEMIC EDUCATION	CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION	CONTRACT TREATMENT PROGRAMS	EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
N	/ISF												
Bldg 1	Dorm	96	96	I	GP	Х							
Bldg 2	Dorm	96	96	I	GP	Х							
Firehouse		8	8	I	GP								
Total		200	200										
	ILITY A		ı	1	1	+			1				
Bldg 1	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	Х							
Bldg 2	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP	X							
Bldg 3	270 Cells	100	150	III	GP OB	X							
Bldg 4	270 Cells	100	150 150	III III	GP GP	X							
Bldg 5 Total	270 Cells	500	750	III	GP GP								
Total		300	7 30		Gi								
FAC	ILITY B												
Bldg 1	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 2	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 3	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 4	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 5	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 6	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Total		600	900	N/A	RC								
	LITY C					1	1	1	1		_		
Dorm 1	Wingnut Dorm	146	219	N/A	RC								X
Dorm 2 Dorm 3	Wingnut Dorm Wingnut Dorm	146 146	219 219	N/A N/A	RC RC								X
Dorm 4	Winghut Dorm	146	219	N/A	RC								X
Total	Wilighat Donn	584	876	IV/A	IXC								^
Total		004	010										
FAC	ILITY D												
Bldg 1	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 2	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 3	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 4	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 5	Wingnut Cells	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Bldg 6	Wingnut Cells	100	125	N/A	ASU								Х
Bldg 7 Total	E-Dorm	100 700	150 1025	N/A	RC								Х
Total		700	1025										
FAC	ILITY H												
Dorm 1	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Dorm 2	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Dorm 3	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Dorm 4	E-Dorm	100	150	N/A	RC								Х
Total		400	600										
												1	
GRAN	D TOTAL	2,984	4,351			76	615	0	81	0	0		

% OF STAFFED CAPACITY					
2%	14%	0%	2%	0%	0%

## WASCO STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

WSP will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

Staff	FY 1	1-12	FY 1	2-13	FY 1	3-14
Principal		.0	1.0		1.0	
Assistant Principal		1.0		.0	1.0	
Office Technician		.0		.0	1.0	
Office Assistant		.0		.0		.0
Senior Librarian		.0		.0		.0
Librarian	0			.0		.0
Library Technical Ass't		.0		.0		.0
Tester	5	.0	5	.0	5	.0
Teaching Assistant	4.	.0	3	.0	3	.0
Television Specialist	1.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)	0.	.0	1	.0	1	.0
TOTALS	18	3.0	17	7.0	17	7.0
Academic Education	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
General Population		0		0		0
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program	2.0	240	4.0	480	4.0	480
TOTALS	2.0	240	4.0	480	4.0	480
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair		0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0		0		0
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0		0		0
Electronics		0		0		0
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies	0.0	0	1.0	27	1.0	27
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0	1.0	27
Welding		0		0		0
TBD		0		0		0
TOTALS	0.0	0	2.0	54	3.0	81

## WASCO STATE PRISON PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries Authority Programs	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	615	615	615
TOTALS	615	615	615

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services



California Health Care Facility

# CALIFORNIA HEALTH CARE FACILITY / DEWITT HOUSING PLAN

HOUSING UNIT	TYPE	DESIGN CAPACITY	STAFFED CAPACITY	CUSTODY LEVEL	PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT	PIA PROGRAM	CLARK CLUSTERING	DPP FACILITY
FACIL	ΙΤΥ Δ	1						
A301	Cells	60	60	N/A	МНСВ			Х
A302	Cells	77	77	N/A	МНСВ			Х
A304	Cells	100	100	III	PWC			Х
		237	237					
FACIL	ITV D	1						
B301	Cells	55	55	N/A	ICF			х
B301	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			x
B303	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			X
B304	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			Х
B305	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			Х
B306	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			Х
B307	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			Х
B308	Cells	60	60	N/A	ICF			Х
		475	475					
FACIL	ITY C	ī						
C301	Dorms	100	100	N/A	LOW ACUITY			
C302	Cell	96	96	N/A	LOW ACUITY			х
C303	Cell	96	96	N/A	LOW ACUITY			Х
C304	Dorms	98	98	N/A	LOW ACUITY			
C305	Dorms	100	100	N/A	LOW ACUITY			
C306	Dorms	100	100	N/A	LOW ACUITY			
		590	590					
FACIL	ITV D	1						
D301	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D301	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D303	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D304	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D305	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D306	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
D307	Cells	60	60	N/A	HIGH ACUITY			
Total		420	420					
				1				
тот	AL	1,722	1,722					
DEWITT FA	CILITY A							
301	Dorm	180	180	II	GP			
302	Dorm	176	176	II	SGP			
303	Dorm	176	176	II	SGP			
304	Dorm	176	176	II	SGP			
Total		708	708					
DEWITT FA	ACII ITV P	1						
301	Cell	150	150	EOP	EOP	1		
302	Cell	150	150	EOP	EOP	+		
303-A	Cell	75	75	EOP	EOP			
303-B	Cell	50	50	EOP	EOP-ASU			
Total		425	425					
ТОТ	AL	1,133	1,133	J				
GRAND	TOTAL	2,855	2,855	1				
		,	,	•				

## CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

CHCF will be designated as a Standard Program Site.

Programs at this institution will include Academic Education and Career Technical Education. The tables below illustrate the estimated staffing and capacities by program area:

CORRECTIONAL EDU Staff		4.40	EV 4	2.42	EV 4	2.4.4
	FYI	1-12	FY 12-13		FY 13-14	
Principal	<u> </u>				1	.0
Assistant Principal					4	
Office Technician	1				1.0	
Office Assistant						
Senior Librarian	1					.0
Librarian	1				1	.0
Library Technical Ass't						
Tester	1					
Teaching Assistant						
Television Specialist					1	.0
PE Teacher (Coach)						_
TOTALS	0.	.0	0	.0	5	.0
		-				
Academic Education **	Authorized Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity	Proposed Staff	Budgeted Capacity
General Population		0	2.0	108	2.0	0
Isolated Population		0		0		0
Voluntary Educ. Program		0	1.0	0	1.0	0
TOTALS	0.0	0	3.0	108	3.0	0
	9.0					
Career Technical	Authorized	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted	Proposed	Budgeted
Education	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity	Staff	Capacity
Auto Mechanics		0		0		0
Auto Repair	<del> </del>	0		0		0
Building Maintenance		0		0		0
Carpentry		0		0		0
Computer Literacy		0		0	1.0	27
Cosmetology		0		0		0
Electrical Work		0		0		0
Electronics		0		0		0
HVAC		0		0		0
Machine Shop		0		0		0
Masonry		0		0		0
Office Technologies		0		0		0
Plumbing		0		0		0
Sheet Metal		0		0		0
Small Engine Repair		0		0		0
Welding		0		0		0
TBD		0		0		0
TOTALS	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.0	27

## CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE FACILITY PROGRAMMING PLAN

Prison Industries	0	0	0
Support Services Assignments	100	100	100
TOTALS	100	100	100

Total Annual Capacity *	100	208	127

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes Self-help, Recreation, Inmate Leisure Time Activity Groups, PIA, and Support Services

<sup>\*\*</sup> Teachers will be redirected from other institutions after inmates are transferred.

### **Inmate Classification Score System Changes**

	Institutional Security Levels					
Level	Current	New				
	0 – 18	No Change				
II	19 – 27	19 - 35				
III	28 - 51	36 - 59				
IV	52+	60+				

Reason for Mandatory Minimum Score	Current	New
Condemned	52	60
Life without possibility of parole	52	36
CCR 3375.2 (a)(7) Life inmate (multiple/execution style murders; escapes)	28	delete
History of escape	19	No Change
Warrants "R" Suffix (sex crimes)	19	No Change
Violence exclusion	19	No Change
Public interest case	19	Delete
Other life sentence	19	No Change

### **Division of Rehabilitative Program Capacity Changes**

#### Academic Education

	Current Capacity	Proposed Capacity
Capacity	31,890	43,248
Percent of Population	59%	81%

#### Career Technical Education

	Current Capacity Proposed Capacity			
Capacity	4,779	7,553		
Percent of Population	44%	70%		

#### Substance Abuse Treatment

	Current Capacity	Proposed Capacity
Capacity	2,842	3,264
Percent of Population	57%	65%

#### **Employment Programs**

	Current Capacity	Proposed Capacity
Capacity	658	2,736
Percent of Population	13%	56%

#### Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

	Proposed Capacity	Percentage Served
Criminal Thinking	3,264	73%
Anger/Hostility	3,264	67%
Family Relationships	1,680	54%

#### **CDCR Male and Female Mental Health Bed Plan**

	Male Mental Health Population Projections									
				Occupancy						
			Spring 2012 Trued with	Space by						
	Spring 2012 Standard -	Spring 2012 Trued - 2013	no Occupancy Rate -	Level of						
Level of Care:	2013 Need:	Need:	2013 Need:	Care						
EOP	3,655	3,563	3,380	423						
ASU	639	561	529	21						
PSU	474	474	451	61						
МНСВ	343	289	254	135						
Acute	232	232	208	24						
ICF - LC	276	276	248	92						
ICF - HC	556	556	500	124						
Total:	6,175	5,951	5,570							

	Californ	nia Departme	nt of Correcti	ons of Rehab	ilitation Male	Mental Heal	th Beds		
Institution	EOP	ASU	PSU	MHCB	Acute	ICF-LC	ICF-HC	Total	
SAC	384	64	384	24	0	0	0	856	
RJD	450	50	0	14	0	0	0	514	
CMC	400	50	0	50	0	0	0	500	
CIM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LAC	300	100	0	12	0	0	0	412	
SVSP	342	64	0	10	0	0	128	544	
CMF	438	72	0	50	150	84	64	858	
PBSP	66	0	128	10	0	0	0	204	
COR	150	50	0	23	0	0	0	223	
MCSP	450	50	0	8	0	0	0	508	
SQ	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	17	
HDSP	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	
KVSP	96	0	0	12	0	0	0	108	
NKSP	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	
PVSP	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	
SATF	352	0	0	20	0	0	0	372	
SOL	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	9	
WSP	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	
Dewitt	375	50	0	0	0	0	0	425	
CHCF	0	0	0	98	82	0	432	612	
Total:	3,803	550	512	389	232	84	624	6,194	
	Department of Mental Health Hospital Beds								
ASH	0	0	0	0	0	206	0	206	
CSH	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	50	
Total:	0	0	0	0	0	256	0	256	
Grand Total:	3,803	550	512	389	232	340	624	6,450	

Female Mental Health Population Projections									
	Spring 2012 Standard -	Spring 2012 Trued - 2013	Spring 2012 Trued with no Occupancy Rate -	Occupancy Space by Level of					
Level of Care:	2013 Need:	Need:	2013 Need:	Care					
EOP	85	85	81	48					
ASU	13	5	5	15					
PSU	22	22	21	-1					
MHCB	8	8	7	15					
Acute/ICF	17	17	15	30					
Total:	145	137	129						

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Female Mental Health Beds									
Institution EOP ASU PSU MHCB Acute/ICF Total									
CCWF	54	10	0	12	0	76			
CIW	75	10	20	10	45	160			
VSPW	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total:	129	20	20	22	45	236			

#### **Housing Plan**

The Housing Plan includes the complete elimination of non-traditional beds and includes the following new standards for crowding within specific bed types:

Bed Type	Old Crowding Standard	New Crowding Standard
	CELLS	
Level IV GP Cells	190%	150%
Level III GP Cells	190%	150%
Level II GP Cells	190%	150%
RC Cells	190%	150%
Condemned	100%	100%
Over/Under Cells	160%	100%
	PROGRAMS	
EOP (Except CMF/CMC)	150 – 180%	150%
CMC – EOP	150%	100%
CMF	170%	130%
SHU	105 – 150%	120%
ASU	150 – 175%	125%
ASU-EOP	150 %	100%
PSU	100%	100%
	DORMITORIES	
Level II GP Dorm	200%	150%
Level I GP Dorm	200%	150%
MSF Dorms	200%	100%
RC Dorm	200%	150%
Female Dorms	200%	150%
Camps	100%	100%

Appendix F: Housing Plan

### Base Line Gap Chart (New Capacity is added into Design Capacity to Rated Capacity)

Fiscal Year		06/27/12	12/27/12	06/27/13	12/27/13	06/30/14	06/30/15	06/30/16
Spring 2012	Spring 2012 Population Projections		129,691	127,674	125,844	125,006	123,649	123,363
	Other Population Reduction Strategies Law Changes (civil addicts) Alternative Custody Program (ACP)	22	300 200	300 200	300 200	300 200	300 200	300 200
Revised Popu	ulation	133,746	129,191	127,174	125,344	124,506	123,149	122,863
G '4								
Capacity	<b>Current Design Capacity</b>							
	Institutions	79,756	79,756	81,478	81,478	82,611	82,611	82,496
	Prison Closure <sup>1</sup>							-2,491
	Level I/II/III/RC/Female	59,643	59,643	61,476	61,476	62,609	62,609	62,494
	IV/SHU Cells	20,113	20,113	20,002	20,002	20,002	20,002	20,002
	Camps <sup>2</sup>	4,480	3,800	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
	New Construction							
	DJJ Renovation or Activations		0	0	0	1,133	1,133	1,133
	CHCF			1,722	1,722	1,722	1,722	1,722
	Infill Level II				0	0	0	2,376
	Mental Health Capacity	109	109	109	109	109	109	109
	Contract Capacity							
	MCCF	600	600	600	1,825	1,825	1,825	1,825
	FRCCC/CPMB	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
	Out of State Capacity <sup>3</sup>	9,588	8,988	8,988	4,596	2,696	1,160	0
Total Capaci	ity (Assumes 137.5% at 33 prisons)	124,541	123,261	126,050	122,883	123,674	122,138	123,196
Total Capaci	ity (Assumes 145% at 33 prisons)	130,522	129,242	132,161	128,994	129,870	128,334	129,383
Institution	n Population	118,979	115,704	114,987	116,324	117,386	117,565	118,439
Overcrow	ding Rate	149.2%	145.1%	141.1%	142.8%	142.1%	142.3%	143.6%
Goal at 137	.5%	123,622	117,241	112,032	112,032	113,590	113,590	113,432
Goal at 145	%			118,143	118,143	119,786	119,786	119,619
Surplus/D	Surplus/Deficit at 137.5% Overcrowding		1,537	(2,955)	(4,292)	(3,796)	(3,975)	(5,007)
Surplus/D	eficit at 145% Overcrowding	N/A	N/A	3,156	1,819	2,400	2,221	1,180

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assumes the closure of CRC in FY 15/16.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Assumes the Camp beds will reduced to the current level of offenders that will not be eligible for AB 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Assumes reducing the COCF beds by 600 in FY 12/13, deactivating the Oklahoma and Mississippi contracts by 12/27/13, deactivating 1900 contract beds in Arizona by 6/30/14, 1536 contract beds in Arizona by 6/30/15 and deactivating 1160 contract beds in Arizona by 6/30/16.

### **Parolee Rehabilitative Programs**

PSN	FY 2012-13	Daily Slots	Cycles per Year	Annual Capacity	FY 2013-14	Daily Slots	Cycles per Year	Annual Capacity
PSN	Substance Abuse Programs (SAP	P)						
RNSC	SASCA Residential	1,131	2	2,262	Residential Substance Abuse			
Residential Sub-Total:   2,441	PSN	470	2					
TOTEP   300   1   300   FOTEP   300   1   300   50TEP   300   50TEP   300   1   300   50TEP   300   1   300   50TEP   300   300   50TEP   300   2   300   50TEP   300   3   300   50TEP   300	RMSC	840	2	1,680	SASCA, PSN, RMSC & ICDTP II)	2,071	2	4,142
SASCA Outpatienty Sober Living Environment (SLE)	Residential Sub-Total:	2,441		4,882	Residential Sub-Total:	2,071		4,142
Environment (SLE) 105 2 210 TX/SLE (Folds SASCA & STAR) 365 2 73 SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals): 2,846 5,392 SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals): 2,736 5,17 STAR 328 12 3,936 Potential to fold into evidence-based Outpatient Model for FY 13/14 (ICDTP II 600 2.4 1,440 Terminate June 2013 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 3,744 10,768 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 3,744 10,768 SAP TOTALS: 2,736 5,17 SAP TOTALS: 3,744 SAP TOTALS: 3,745 SAP	FOTEP	300	1	300	FOTEP	300	1	300
SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals):   2,846   5,392   SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals):   2,736   5,17	, ,		_					
STAR   328   12   3,936   Potential to fold into evidence-based Outpatient Model for FY 13/14	` '		2		TX/SLE (Folds SASCA & STAR)			730
CDTP	SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals):	2,846		5,392	SAP CAPACITY (Subtotals):	2,736		5,172
CDTP								
Terminate April 2012   SAP TOTALS:   3,744   10,768   SAP TOTALS:   2,736   5,17					-	d Outpatient Model f	or FY 13/14	
SAP TOTALS:   3,744   10,768   SAP TOTALS:   2,736   5,17	ICDTP II	600	2.4	1,440	Terminate June 2013			
Contracts Expire 6/30/12   Transitional Job Model (including GSW)	Terminate April 2012 SAP TOTALS:	3,744		10,768	SAP TOTALS:	2,736		5,172
Contracts Expire 6/30/12   Transitional Job Model (including GSW)	Employment Programs (EMP)	,				,		5,2
Transitional Job Model (Including GSW)								
Long-Term Residential   Employment Programs (Folds   PSC)   800   2   1,600	Transitional Job Model (including							
Employment Programs (Folds   Folds	GSW)	45	n/a	750		90	n/a	1,500
PSC 838 2 1,676 PSC) 800 2 1,600 CBC 100 3 300 Drop-In Day Reporting Centers DRC 900 3 2,700 (Folds CBC/DRC) 937 3 2,81								
CBC	DEC	020	2	1.676	. ,	900	2	1.600
DRC   900   3   2,700   Folds CBC/DRC)   937   3   2,810					,	800		1,000
EMP CAPACITY:   1,883   5,426   EMP CAPACITY:   2,518   5,91				300	Drop-In Day Reporting Centers	007	2	
CLLC   368   9   3,400     Program Model   402   7   2,81			3		, ,		_	
CLLC 368 9 3,40 New or Expanded Education CLLC 368 9 3,400 Program Model 402 7 2,81		1,005		5,426	EIVIP CAPACITY.	2,516		5,915
New or Expanded Education  CLLC 368 9 3,400 Program Model 402 7 2,81	Education Programs (EDUC)				SU S	250		2 400
CLLC 368 9 3,400 Program Model 402 7 2,81						368		3,400
	cuc	368	9	3 400		402	-	2,819
	EDUC CAPACITY:	368		,		770		6,219

